

The 1611 Holy Bible versus Bible Critic Rick Norris' Bogus Review of *Final Authority* by William P. Grady

Introduction


Besides attacking Sister Riplinger and her book *The Language of the King James Bible*, Rick Norris has also turned his ire against two more Bible-believing works; *Final Authority* by William P. Grady, Grady Publications 1993 and *One Book Stands Alone* by Dr Douglas D. Stauffer, McCowen Mills Publishers, 2001.

This writer has found Dr Grady's and Dr Stauffer's books to be most helpful and would highly recommend both to any serious student of the Bible version issue. That is why these responses to Rick Norris' criticisms of those works have been undertaken.

The first of these responses is with respect to Rick Norris' attack on Dr Grady and his book *Final Authority*.

The second response, with respect to Rick Norris' attack on Dr Stauffer's book *One Book Stands Alone* will follow separately.

It should first be noted that yet again, in both his articles attacking *Final Authority* and *One Book Stands Alone*, Rick Norris reveals that he is clueless about what is **"all scripture"** that **"is given by inspiration of God"** 2 Timothy 3:16 extant as a single document between two covers. Rick Norris refers to the preserved words of the prophets and apostles in the original languages that were given by direct inspiration of God but once again he is unable to specify where these words may be found as a single document between two covers, why God was apparently unable to preserve them in any language other than the ancient Biblical languages or how, as in Rick Norris' bogus review of *The Language of the King James Bible* **"ignorant and unlearned men"** Acts 4:13 are supposed to understand what God really said, supposedly, in the original languages.



[www.chick.com/catalog/
books/0168.asp](http://www.chick.com/catalog/books/0168.asp)

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php
The 1611 Holy Bible versus Bible Critic Rick Norris.

This writer would hope that he is not altogether **"unskilful in the word of righteousness"** Hebrews 5:13 but he is certainly unskilful in the original languages aka 'the Hebrew/Aramaic/Greek' and Rick Norris' bogus reviews provide no enlightenment in that respect.

Yet again, therefore, Rick Norris has violated the priesthood of all believers, 1 Peter 2:5, 9.

As before, in his bogus review of *The Language of the King James Bible* Rick Norris refers contemptuously to what he terms the KJV-only view but yet again he reveals his 'originals-onlyist' view with no final authority apart from his own opinion.

See remarks in response to Rick Norris' attack on *The Language of the King James Bible* following the **Introduction** in that study on Rick Norris' 'originals-onlyist' view.

The response to Rick Norris' bogus reviews of *Final Authority* and *One Book Stands Alone* will be set out as for the response to Rick Norris' diatribe against *The Language of the King James Bible*, with extracts from his review shaded in **yellow** and this writer's particular responses continuing in **blue** with inserted citations in **green** or *green italic*. As was done for Rick Norris' article against *The Language of the King James Bible*, all parts of Rick Norris' articles against *Final Authority* and *One Book Stands Alone* will be included in this writer's responses to those articles.

Rick Norris' Bogus Review of Final Authority

See www.unboundscriptures.com/review-of-gradys-final-authority/.

According to its book jacket, this book claimed to be a scholarly defense of the *King James Bible*. Evangelist Dennis Corle asserted that this book is “irrefutable.” This book is supposedly one of the best defenses of the KJV-only view.

Rick Norris is lying. *Final Authority* is not about the so-called KJV-only view. It is about showing that the 1611 Holy Bible is “*the scripture of truth*” Daniel 10:21 for the English-speaking people, *Preface* p v.

While this book may have an impressive bibliography, the actual contents and claims made by its author are not so impressive. It is interesting that this bibliography included no books written by Peter Ruckman even though Ruckman seemed clearly to be the source for some of its claims. Years later in his own 2005 sermon entitled “Seven Signs of Pseudo King James Onlyism,” Grady indicated an indebtedness to Peter Ruckman and his book *Manuscript Evidence*. Grady reported that Ruckman advised him not to mention his name in the book or to quote him. In his later 2010 book entitled *Given by Inspiration*, Grady also acknowledged his reading Ruckman’s book in 1988 (p. ix), which he indicated opened his eyes “to the supremacy of the King James Bible” (p. 48). In this new book, Grady credited Ruckman with being “a man of God who was used of God to open our eyes to the word of God” (p. 51). Do those who recommend and endorse Grady’s book know that they are also in effect endorsing the arguments, claims, or information that Grady borrowed from Ruckman?

Rick Norris is lying again. Dr Grady did not ‘borrow’ anything from Dr Ruckman, any more than the folk of Samuel’s time ‘borrowed’ from Samuel or the folk of whom Isaiah prophesied will ‘borrow’ from God. Unlike Rick Norris, they were, are and will be faithful disciples “*taught of God*” John 6:45 like those of whom Paul spoke in Romans 6:17 “*But God be thanked, that ye were the servants of sin, but ye have obeyed from the heart that form of doctrine which was delivered you.*”

“*Moreover as for me, God forbid that I should sin against the LORD in ceasing to pray for you: but I will teach you the good and the right way*” 1 Samuel 12:23.

“*And all thy children shall be taught of the LORD; and great shall be the peace of thy children*” Isaiah 54:13.

It is ironic that Rick Norris should attempt to discredit Dr Grady’s book by means of Dr Grady’s acknowledgement of the help that he received from Dr Ruckman, as if the name ‘Ruckman’ constituted a blanket condemnation of all 1611 Holy Bible believers and of any and all information they could produce in support of their stance. Rick Norris later accuses Dr Grady of making an *ad hominem* attack against new version promoter Robert Sumner but he has used precisely the same attack against Dr Grady by means of the apparently highly-charged word ‘Ruckman.’

Even Job’s comforters understood Rick Norris’ folly better than he does.

“*...the triumphing of the wicked is short, and the joy of the hypocrite but for a moment*” Job 20:5.

Rick Norris again reveals his duplicity by omitting some key portions of the statements from Dr Grady’s book *Given by Inspiration*, this writer’s emphases:

“*Among conservative Christians, a grassroots backlash against contemporary English-language Bibles has triggered a renewed interest in the famed King James Version with its word-for-word translation and its long-standing authority*” Maxwell, Joe. “*King-James-Only Advocates Experience a Renaissance.*” *Christianity Today*, 23 Oct. 1995. From *Given by Inspiration* p ix. Full citation from www.jesusadventismandi.com/2012/10/when-king-james-rides-to-war.html.

Rick Norris clearly resents the long-standing authority of the 1611 Holy Bible, having no authority higher than his own opinion, which is in itself blatant defiance of God’s authority vested in the 1611 *Authorized King James Holy Bible*, as a king, King David, testified for all eternity.

“I will worship toward thy holy temple, and praise thy name for thy lovingkindness and for thy truth: for thou hast magnified thy word above all thy name” Psalm 138:2.

See again remarks in response to Rick Norris’ attack on *The Language of the King James Bible* following the **Introduction** in that study on Rick Norris’ ‘originals-onlyist’ view.

Concerning the statement *“My eyes were opened to the supremacy of the King James Bible back in 1988”* *Given by Inspiration* p 49, not p 48, the context is Acts 22:21-23 that Dr Grady cites as follows *“And he said unto me, Depart: for I will send thee far hence unto the GENTILES. And they gave him audience unto this word, and then lifted up their voices, and said, Away with such a fellow from the earth: for it is not fit that he should live. And as they cried out, and cast off their clothes, and threw dust into the air”* his emphases and capitalisation.

As Dr Grady points out, the enemies of **“the scripture of truth”** Daniel 10:21 went ballistic, as the saying goes, at the mention of the G word. They now do so at the mention of the R word because, as Dr Grady states on pp 50-51 of *Given by Inspiration*, God has given Dr Ruckman much more light on the scripture than He has given Dr Ruckman’s critics like Rick Norris and they resent that.

Rick Norris should yet again carefully reflect upon the wisdom of King Solomon.

“The fear of the LORD is to hate evil: pride, and arrogancy, and the evil way, and the froward mouth, do I hate” Proverbs 8:13.

Concerning the statement *Grady credited Ruckman with being “a man of God who was used of God to open our eyes to the word of God”* from *Given by Inspiration* p 51, Dr Grady’s complete statement in context, his emphases, reads *“We have been searching out things that are true, honest, and just. Consequently, it would be false, dishonest, and unjust to vilify a man of God who was used of God to open our eyes to the word of God!”* That is exactly what Rick Norris does in his very next sentence after insertion of the quote from p 51 of *Given by Inspiration* with his *ad hominem* attack on Dr Ruckman. It is not surprising that he did not include the context and the full statement of the quote.

“Their throat is an open sepulchre; with their tongues they have used deceit; the poison of asps is under their lips” Romans 3:13.

Grady based some of his claims on several misleading and invalid arguments. Several times this author repeated the statement “Facts are stubborn things” while he ignored many important facts that relate to this subject about which he was writing. For example, Grady does not discuss the fact of the differences between the various editions of the Textus Receptus. He does not mention the fact of the important differences between the earlier English Bibles such as Tyndale’s and the Geneva Bible and the KJV.

Rick Norris doesn’t attempt to discuss the differences between the various editions of the Textus Receptus either so his objection to Dr Grady’s work in that respect is a non-issue. Rick Norris’ brief comments about the Textus Receptus and his more specific comments about differences between the pre-1611 Bibles and the 1611 Holy Bible are addressed below.

Although Rick Norris has alluded to Dr Grady’s later book *Given by Inspiration*, he fails to mention that just a few pages before Rick Norris’ two quotations from that book on pp 48, 51, Dr Grady does refer to different editions of the Textus Receptus and in outline discusses differences between them, on pp 43-44. Dr Grady’s purpose in so-doing is to show that the 1611 Holy Bible is a genuine eclectic text that does not precisely match any of its New Testament Greek Textus Receptus sources. Dr Grady then states, quite rightly, that the Christian who eschews the modern versions and their Greek counterparts, e.g. Nestle’s various Greek New Testament editions, must either accept the English Text of the 1611 Holy Bible as his final authority* or choose between the three major Textus Receptus editions currently in print; Scrivener’s, Green’s, Ricker Berry’s Edition of Stephanus’ 1550 Edition, augmented by a selection between the available ‘Majority’ Text editions; Hodges and Farstad’s, Robinson and Pierpoint’s. It isn’t rocket science to see which would be the most realistic choice.

Rick Norris has therefore quite wilfully misled his readers in neglecting to mention Dr Grady's evaluation in outline of the Textus Receptus in his book *Given by Inspiration* because he must have been fully aware of it. Rick Norris is like unto ***“strange children, whose mouth speaketh vanity, and their right hand is a right hand of falsehood”*** Psalm 144:11.

*Noting that differences exist between *current* editions of the 1611 Holy Bible e.g. Cambridge Cameo and Cambridge Concord, this writer has listed separately the most major differences forwarded by Bro. Peter Heisey, missionary to Romanian Gypsies. The differences are genuinely miniscule. See ***The 1611 Holy Bible versus Bible Critic Rick Norris' Bogus Review of The Language of the King James Bible by Gail Riplinger Appendix***. See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php *The 1611 Holy Bible versus Bible Critic Rick Norris*.

Grady repeatedly seemed to attack logic and scholarship while he claimed to be making a logical defense of the KJV-only view. Instead of consistent reasoning and logic, Grady used informal fallacies to argue for his view. For example, he used the fallacy of complex question since he treated a statement involving a plurality of assumptions as if it required nothing more than a single, simple answer.

“How forcible are right words! but what doth your arguing reprove?” Job 6:25. In Rick Norris' case, nothing. He raises no issues of substance but merely resorts to ***“great swelling words of vanity”*** 2 Peter 2:18.

He also used the fallacy of composition when he assumed or implied that the Textus Receptus and the KJV are identical without valid evidence or proof for his assertion. Even KJV defender Edward Hills admitted that the KJV is “an independent variety of the Textus Receptus,” and he discussed some of the actual differences between the KJV and the various editions of the Textus Receptus.

Rick Norris is lying. He gives no indication of where Dr Grady has supposedly either assumed or implied that the Textus Receptus and the 1611 Holy Bible are identical. See remarks above and note again that Rick Norris does not understand God's sevenfold purification of the Textus Receptus as a translation source for the 1611 Holy Bible. The differences between different editions of the Textus Receptus simply reflect different stages of God's purification process for the Textus Receptus.

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *Seven purifications of the Textus Receptus* and note these extracts.

The 1611 Holy Bible, the Perfect Textus Receptus

Dr Hills makes this insightful comment.

See wilderness-cry.net/bible_study/books/kjv-defended/chapter8.html and printed edition p 220.

...the King James Version ought to be regarded not merely as a translation of the Textus Receptus but also as an independent variety of the Textus Receptus

This writer believes that the 1611 Holy Bible is both an independent variety of the Textus Receptus and the authoritative, perfect final version of the Textus Receptus on the basis of the sevenfold purification process that Psalm 12:6-7 set out and is observed in the history of the Textus Receptus.

The Seven Stage Purification of the Textus Receptus

The pre-1611 editions of the Received Text may reasonably be listed as follows, combining the individual editions of each editor. The Elzevir editions are set aside because they are post-1611.

1. Erasmus/Aldus 1516-1535, 1518 – Aldus being mainly a reproduction of Erasmus' 1st Edition
2. Ximenes/Stuncia/Complutensian 1522
3. Colinaeus 1534
4. Stephanus 1546-1551
5. Beza 1560-1598
6. Plantin/Antwerp
7. 1611 Authorized King James Holy Bible...

The historical languages Bibles, the English Bibles up to 1611 and the King James Bible Editions all fulfill Psalm 12:6-7 with respect to ***“The words of the LORD”*** Psalm 12:6. As shown, history shows that the Textus Receptus likewise follows a seven stage purification process as Psalm 12:6-7 set out but its final perfected inspired form is in English, not Greek and is the 1611 Holy Bible.

That is in effect the thrust of *Final Authority*. The fine distinctions between individual Editions of the Textus Receptus are important historically as evidence of the sevenfold purification of the Textus Receptus. They have additional significance in showing that the 1611 Holy Bible is itself an independent variety of the Textus Receptus, as Dr Hills states. See also *Hazardous Materials* by Gail Riplinger Part III *Greek New Testament Texts*. Those fine distinctions are nevertheless secondary to Dr Grady's overarching theme that the 1611 Holy Bible is perfect and they do not need to be discussed in any detail. Rick Norris would have understood that if he'd been prepared to ***“Provide things honest in the sight of all men”*** Romans 12:17 with respect to Dr Grady's work. He wasn't.

When Grady claimed that “the KJV-only position has been necessitated by the modern Bible movement,” he used the *ad hominem* fallacy that appeals to the situation or prejudices of the person to be convinced instead of logically proving the premises that pertain to the subject under discussion. His use of this fallacy is also seen in his many personal attacks against those who may disagree with his opinions. For example, Grady labelled Robert Sumner as a “tabloid-style editor” (p. 113), and he continually used subjective, emotionally-charged, biased words such as “asinine” (p. 112), “desperation” (p. 166), “conniving” (p. 254), “pontificating” (p. 257), and “whimpering” (p. 263) to describe the words of those scholars he disagreed with.

It will be observed that the remainder of Rick Norris' bogus review of *Final Authority* consists of gnat-straining and camel-swallowing, as the Lord Jesus Christ rebuked the Rick Norrises of His day, ***“Ye blind guides, which strain at a gnat, and swallow a camel”*** Matthew 23:24. Indeed, any honest reader of *Final Authority* will observe that Rick Norris has largely by-passed all the major themes of and wealth of material in Dr Grady's book with respect to the development, compilation and refinement of the 1611 Holy Bible, God's hand on the 1611 Holy Bible throughout church history since 1611 and its subversion by ***“heady, highminded...traitors”*** 2 Timothy 3:4 that continues to this day.

It can truly be said of Rick Norris and his fellow-travellers that ***“Ye...rejoice in a thing of nought”*** Amos 6:13 especially in that none of them has any Holy Bible worthy of the name. See *Introduction*.

Dr Grady uses those terms that Rick Norris lists above to describe Bible critics who disagreed with ***“the scripture of truth”*** Daniel 10:21, the 1611 Holy Bible, not any opinions of his. The terms are exact. There is nothing subjective, emotionally-charged or biased about any of them. Rick Norris has yet again resorted to ***“the sleight of men, and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive”*** Ephesians 4:14.

The terms used to describe Robert Sumner, *Final Authority* pp 112-113 refer to Sumner’s lie, p 112, that Erasmus had no knowledge of the Alexandrian manuscripts. Erasmus certainly knew of the corrupt nature of these manuscripts because he knew of their corrupt readings that were perpetuated in Jerome’s Latin Vulgate as Dr Grady states, p 112, but which Rick Norris has neglected to mention. See ***The 1611 Holy Bible versus Bible Critic Rick Norris’ Bogus Review of The Language of the King James Bible by Gail Riplinger*** with respect to ***THE TWO PARALLEL STREAMS OF BIBLES***. See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php *The 1611 Holy Bible versus Bible Critic Rick Norris* p 17.

Dr Grady also notes that Erasmus received 356 readings from Vaticanus against his editions of the Textus Receptus from the Catholic Sepulveda in 1533 and that Erasmus had been apprised of Codex Vaticanus and its contents as early as 1521 by the Papal Librarian, Paul Bombasius.

Again, Rick Norris has failed to mention the context of his criticism, thereby wilfully misleading his readers yet again, along with gnat-straining and camel-swallowing, Matthew 23:24.

The *desperation* of which Dr Grady writes on p 166 of *Final Authority* indicates the length to which Robert Sumner was prepared to go in order to discredit the 1611 Holy Bible by reference to the inclusion of the Apocrypha in early editions of the 1611 Holy Bible. Dr Grady points out, pp 166-167, that the Apocryphal books were inserted *between* the Testaments for historical purposes only and that the King James translators listed seven reasons why the Apocryphal books are not scripture. Dr Grady states that Robert Sumner conveniently failed to mention those facts. So did Rick Norris, again misleading his readers, along with more gnat-straining and camel-swallowing, Matthew 23:24.

The word *conniving*, p 254 of *Final Authority*, perfectly describes the surreptitious efforts of B. F. Westcott to help impose on the body of Christ a corrupt New Testament Greek text i.e. that of Vaticanus, Sinaiticus that underlies Jerome’s Latin Vulgate as Dr Grady reveals, his emphases, *Final Authority* p 254 citing Benjamin Wilkinson kjv.benabraham.com/html/chapter-10.html *Our Authorized Bible Vindicated Chapter 10 Revision at Last!*

When the English New Testament Committee met, it was immediately apparent what was going to happen. Though for ten long years the iron rule of silence kept the public ignorant of what was going on behind closed doors, the story is now known.

Wilkinson succinctly summarises in the same chapter the *conniving* efforts of Westcott and Hort to displace the Received New Testament text that, as Wilkinson shows, Hort dubbed “vile” and “villainous” and with it the 1611 Holy Bible and replace them with their own corrupt Catholic Greek New Testament text and the corrupt RV New Testament derived from it, this writer’s emphases.

The Committee being assembled, the passage for consideration was read. Dr. Scrivener offered the evidence favoring the Received Text, while Dr. Hort took the other side. Then a vote was taken...Settling the Greek Text occupied the largest portion of time both in England and in America...The new Greek Testament upon which Westcott and Hort had been working for twenty years was, portion by portion, secretly committed into the hands of the Revision Committee...Their Greek Text was strongly radical and revolutionary...The Revisers followed the guidance of the two Cambridge editors, Westcott and Hort, who were constantly at their elbow, and whose radical Greek New Testament, deviating the farthest possible from the Received Text, is to all intents and pur-

poses the Greek New Testament followed by the Revision Committee...And this Greek text, in the main, follows the Vatican and Sinaiticus manuscripts...

Hort's partiality for the Vatican Manuscript was practically absolute...We can almost hear him say, The Vaticanus have I loved, but the Textus Receptus have I hated. As the Sinaiticus was the brother of the Vaticanus, wherever pages in the latter were missing, Hort used the former. He and Westcott considered that when the consensus of opinion of these two manuscripts favored a reading, that reading should be accepted as apostolic...This attitude of mind involved thousands of changes in our time-honored Greek New Testament because a Greek text formed upon the united opinion of Codex B and Codex ([X]) would be different in thousands of places from the Received Text. So the Revisers "went on changing until they had altered the Greek Text in 5337 places."

Wilkinson's work, to which as indicated Dr Grady has alluded, thoroughly vindicates Dr Grady's use of the word *conniving* on p 254 of *Final Authority*. Yet again, Rick Norris has misled his readers by withholding relevant information, along with more gnat-straining and camel-swallowing, Matthew 23:24.

The term *pontificating*, *Final Authority* p 257, well describes Hort's apparent overbearing attitude and presumption of infallibility that he shared with his crony Westcott. As Dr Grady shows, citing Wilkinson again, Westcott and Hort came to dominate the Revision Committee.

Note first the citation from the extract above that Dr Grady also includes.

The Revisers followed the guidance of the two Cambridge editors, Westcott and Hort, who were constantly at their elbow

Wilkinson describes the bullying tactics of Westcott and Hort as follows, which Dr Grady also cites.

"Nor is it difficult to understand," says Dr. Hemphill, "that many of their less resolute and decided colleagues must often have been completely carried off their feet by the persuasiveness, and resourcefulness, and zeal of Hort, backed by the great prestige of Lightfoot, the popular Canon of St. Paul's, and the quiet determination of Westcott, who set his face as a flint. In fact, it can hardly be doubted that Hort's was the strongest will of the whole Company, and his adroitness in debate was only [equalled] by his pertinacity [stubbornness]."

Rick Norris has withheld the above citation that reveals that Dr Grady's use of the term *pontificating* is precise in its context. He has yet again misled his readers, along with more gnat-straining and camel-swallowing, Matthew 23:24.

The term *whimpering*, *Final Authority* p 268, not 263 is, like the other terms to which Rick Norris objects, precise in that it describes Hort's histrionics on receipt of Dean Burgon's reasoned response to the Revised Version's corruption of 1 Timothy 3:16 (not 2 Timothy 3:16 as in *Final Authority* p 268 although the revisers tampered with that verse as well, changing "**all scripture is given by inspiration of God**" to "Every scripture inspired of God" again showing themselves to be well-embedded in the ranks of "**many, which corrupt the word of God**" 2 Corinthians 2:17).

See www.gutenberg.org/files/36722/36722-pdf.pdf *The Revision Revised* pp 105-106, author's emphases. The context of the following extract is the considerable evidence that Burgon advanced in favour of the reading "**God was manifest in the flesh**" 1 Timothy 3:16 1611 Holy Bible against the RV corruption "He who was manifested in the flesh" perpetuated in the NIVs as "He appeared in a body" 1984 NIV and "He appeared in the flesh" 2011 NIV.

*And thus much for the true reading of 1 Tim. iii. 16. We invite the reader to refer back...to a Reviser's estimate of the evidence in favour of Θεός [**"God"**] and ὃς [**"who"**] respectively, and to contrast it with our own. If he is impressed with the strength of the cause of our opponents, — their mastery of the subject, — and the reasonableness of their contention, — we shall be surprised. And yet **that** is not the question just now before us. The **only** question (be it clearly remembered) which has to be considered, is **this**:— Can it be said with truth that the "evidence" for ὃς (as against Θεός)*

in 1 Tim. iii. 16 is “**clearly preponderating**”? Can it be maintained that Θεός is a “**plain and clear error**”? Unless this can be affirmed — **cadit quaestio***.

**the argument collapses* www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/cadit%20quaestio

*The traditional reading of the place ought to have been let alone. May we be permitted to say without offence that, in our humble judgment, if the Church of England, at the Revisers’ bidding, were to adopt this and thousands of other deprivations of the sacred page... — with which the Church Universal was once well acquainted, but which in her corporate character she has long since unconditionally condemned and abandoned, — she would deserve to be pointed at with scorn by the rest of Christendom? Yes, and to have **that** openly said of her which S. Peter openly said of the false teachers of his day who fell back into the very errors which they had already abjured. The place will be found in 2 S. Peter ii. 22. So singularly applicable is it to the matter in hand, that we can but invite attention to the quotation on our title-page and p. 1 [i.e. “**But it is happened unto them according to the true proverb, The dog is turned to his own vomit again; and the sow that was washed to her wallowing in the mire**” 2 Peter 2:22, the underlined portion in *The Revision Revised* title page, p 1 is in English, the remainder in Greek].*

Hort’s *whimpering* to which Dr Grady refers is his mealy-mouthed correspondence to Mrs Hort in 1882 complaining about Burgon’s detailed evaluation of the Revised Version New Testament that Hort utterly failed to address and superficially dismissed as “*nonsense.*” See *Final Authority* p 269.

Rick Norris has utterly failed to address the context of *Final Authority* where Dr Grady has used the term *whimpering* and has totally by-passed the concerns that Dean Burgon raised about *thousands of...depravations of the sacred page* such as that inflicted by Westcott and Hort on 1 Timothy 3:16 (and 2 Timothy 3:16) and by most modern editors since then. Rick Norris has therefore misled his readers yet again, along with more gnat-straining and camel-swallowing, Matthew 23:24.

Plus ça change since 1882.

No critic of the 1611 Holy Bible appreciates Judges 3:21-22.

“And Ehud put forth his left hand, and took the dagger from his right thigh, and thrust it into his belly...and the dirt came out.”

When the sword goes in, the dirt comes out. See the *Ruckman Reference Bible* p 382.

Grady often used the fallacy of accident by making some accidental, irrelevant factor the essential point of his argument. Without any valid proof, Grady made modern Bible translations responsible for theistic evolution, Oral Roberts, Christian rock bands, Jesse Jackson’s Rainbow Coalition, Rev. Ike, Tammy Baker’s air-conditioned dog house, etc. (pp. 184-185). Grady connected the totally unrelated events of the ASV (1901) and the first recorded occurrence of speaking in tongues (1901) (p. 59). Some of these speculations are based on the *post hoc* fallacy that claims that what is first temporally is necessarily the cause of what follows. Grady’s use of so many unfounded and unproven speculations calls into question the validity of the case for his view.

Rick Norris’ gnat-straining and camel-swallowing continues, Matthew 23:24, along with his “**great swelling words of vanity**” 2 Peter 2:18 and superficiality. Rick Norris merely *assumes* that the publication of the ASV and the emergence of the modern tongues movement in the same year, 1901, are unconnected. He doesn’t *prove* it.

Rick Norris has wilfully misled his readers again by once again by-passing the context of Dr Grady’s statements, an especially serious and indeed sinful omission on Rick Norris’ part with respect to tongues-speaking on p 59 of *Final Authority* because the context of the modern occurrence of tongues-speaking, i.e. *satanic counterfeit* tongues-speaking is Mark 16:20 that Dr Grady cites; “**the Lord...confirming the word with signs following. Amen.**” The scripture forewarns of the coincidence of the Devil’s counterfeit bible version and counterfeit tongues and that warning is addressed below.

Moreover, Chapter 5 of *Final Authority*, which ends on p 59 of the book, addresses modern efforts to excise Mark 16:9-20 from ***“the scripture of truth”*** Daniel 10:21. Rick Norris has misled his readers in that respect also because, unlike Dr Grady, Rick Norris is apparently too timid even to mention the blatantly satanic attacks on Mark 16:9-20 that Dr Grady has described in considerable detail in his Chapter 5 together with the overwhelming evidence in favour of Mark 16:9-20. This evidence is still denied by modern versions e.g. the 1984, 2011 NIVs, their editors and supporters.

Chapter 5 of *Final Authority* occupies 19 pages. Rick Norris has by-passed the first 18 pages of detailed information on the vast array of ancient witnesses in support of Mark 16:9-20 and the futile but embittered Catholic attacks against Mark 16:9-20.

Rick Norris should therefore reflect carefully upon King Solomon’s wisdom.

“A false balance is abomination to the LORD: but a just weight is his delight” Proverbs 11:1.

The reader has nothing upon which to delight in Rick Norris’ current effort, though this writer suggests that there is much to abominate about it.

Rick Norris should also reflect carefully on Paul’s exhortation to Timothy.

“For God hath not given us the spirit of fear; but of power, and of love, and of a sound mind” 2 Timothy 1:7.

On p 59 of *Final Authority* Dr Grady explains how, as Mark 16:20 shows, sign gifts were necessary for the authentication of the apostles’ preaching and doctrine in the early church. These gifts were especially important insofar as the early church began with ***“Jews and proselytes”*** Acts 2:5, 10 on the day of Pentecost following the resurrection and ascension of the Lord Jesus Christ, Acts 1:1-9, 2:1-5 ***“For the Jews require a sign...”*** 1 Corinthians 1:22.

Dr Grady therefore cites Dr Hills from *Believing Bible Study* p 141 as follows.

Here we see that the purpose of the miracles promised by our Lord was to confirm the preaching of the divine Word by the Apostles. These miracles were signs which vouched for the purity of their doctrine. Of course, then, these signs ceased after the death of the Apostles. Today we have no need of these signs, since we have in our possession the Holy Scriptures.

Dr Hills adds that these scriptures, by which in the context he refers to the 1611 Holy Bible, are *infallibly inspired and providentially preserved. The Bible itself is the all-sufficient miracle. “Open thou mine eyes, that I may behold wondrous things out of thy law” (Psalm 119:18).*

The day of Pentecost saw the release of the scriptures into languages ***“of every nation under heaven”*** by the apostles who ***“began to speak with other tongues, as the Spirit gave them utterance”*** Acts 2:4-5, 6 and ***“other tongues”*** would include ***“new tongues”*** Mark 16:17 that their apostolic speakers would not have learned. Acts 2:1-12 therefore stands as a fulfilment of Mark 16:20*.

*Note in passing that the cessation of the gift of tongues to which Paul alludes in 1 Corinthians 13:8 refers to the end of the apostolic age and God’s shift from direct dealing with the Jews, who ***“require a sign”*** 1 Corinthians 1:22 to the Gentiles, as Paul sets out at the close of the Book of Acts ***“Be it known therefore unto you, that the salvation of God is sent unto the Gentiles, and that they will hear it”*** Acts 28:28. As Dr Hills shows, tongues and indeed signs as a whole were no longer needed to confirm the word because by then the New Testament Canon was complete. However, it should be understood that 1 Corinthians 13:10 ***“But when that which is perfect is come, then that which is in part shall be done away”*** does *not* refer to the cessation of the gift of tongues on completion of the New Testament but to the believer’s passing from partial to full knowledge of the Lord Jesus Christ at the Lord’s Return when ***“we shall see him as he is”*** 1 John 3:2 i.e. ***“face to face”*** 1 Corinthians 13:12 with 2 John 12, 3 John 13-14. For detailed comments on Acts 2 and the scriptures in many languages and the place of tongues as sign gifts see *In Awe of Thy Word Chapter 18 Acts 2 to You: From the Gothic Bible to the KJV* by Gail Riplinger and the *Ruckman Reference Bible* pp 1327, 1430, 1511, 1524-1525.

Dr Grady states that when the satanic counterfeit ASV* was published in 1901, the modern tongues movement started up in Topeka, Kansas. Rick Norris does not and indeed cannot deny either of those events. He simply denies by means of his *“great swelling words of vanity”* 2 Peter 2:18 that the events are in any way connected.

*See *New Age Versions* Chapter 33 *The Epitaph of Philip Schaff* by Gail Riplinger for a scriptural evaluation of the ASV.

That is not the indication from scripture.

The scripture shows that when God released His words in a multiplicity of languages in Acts 2, it was by means a *genuine* gift of *“other tongues”* *“confirming the word with signs following. Amen”* Mark 16:20. *“For ever, O LORD, thy word is settled in heaven”* Psalm 119:89 but the sign gift of *“other tongues”* that followed on the day of Pentecost confirmed it via the apostles for those that *“were dwelling at Jerusalem Jews, devout men, out of every nation under heaven...Jews and proselytes”* Acts 2:5, 10.

“And they were all filled with the Holy Ghost, and began to speak with other tongues, as the Spirit gave them utterance” Acts 2:4, as indicated above, in the context of Acts 2:1-12.

However, even Rick Norris cannot deny that the Devil is the great counterfeiter.

“For such are false apostles, deceitful workers, transforming themselves into the apostles of Christ. And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light” 2 Corinthians 11:13-14.

The Devil’s overarching aim is to exalt himself i.e. *“I will be like the most High”* Isaiah 14:14. To anyone who has obeyed the Lord’s command to *“Search the scriptures”* John 5:39, it would make perfect sense for the Devil to counterfeit as far as possible the genuine gift of *“other tongues”* on the day of Pentecost uttering the words of spirit and life, John 6:63, Acts 2:4, with his own *counterfeit* tongues accompanying the release of his own *counterfeit* scriptures.

Note that the digits 1901 sum to 11, which may signify confusion or confounding according to Genesis 11:9, with the digits 1, 9, 1 embedded and again summing to 11. See the *Ruckman Reference Bible* p 24. The Lord did the confounding at Babel for judgement, the Devil in 1901 for self-exaltation.

“Therefore is the name of it called Babel; because the LORD did there confound the language of all the earth: and from thence did the LORD scatter them abroad upon the face of all the earth.”

The modern tongues movement is a confounded one *“with confused noise”* Isaiah 9:5 and Dr Grady is therefore right to associate the 1901 publication of the ASV with the emergence of the 1901 modern tongues movement. No-one, not even Rick Norris, could reasonably deny the *potential* for confusion among Bible readers with no fewer than **255** distinct modern bible versions appearing since 1881 up until 2010. The Devil seeks to confound folk, not edify them. The total is 255 as checked by EXCEL. See baptist-potluck.blogspot.co.uk/2010/08/bible-versions-since-1881.html.

Rick Norris’ subversive attempt to dissociate the 1901 publication of the ASV from the modern tongues movement shows that he is himself an *“author of confusion”* 1 Corinthians 14:33 and points to the identity of his mentor who still seeks to *“be like the most High”* Isaiah 14:14.

It should be further noted that just as God released His words in a multiplicity of languages in Acts 2 to the whole world, so the Devil has sought to counterfeit God’s strategy in Acts 2 by means of the false satanic versions instigated through his false church and, as shown below, worldwide ecumenical bible projects.

See again this statement from kiv.benabraham.com/html/chapter-2.html *Our Authorized Bible Vindicated* by Benjamin Wilkinson, Chapter 2 *The Bible Adopted by Constantine and the Pure Bible of the Waldenses*. See also www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php *The 1611 Holy Bible versus Bible Critic Rick Norris* p 16.

To Christians preserving apostolic Christianity, the world owes the Bible. It is not true, as the Roman Church claims, that she gave the Bible to the world. What she gave was an impure text, a text with thousands of verses so changed as to make way for her unscriptural doctrines. While upon those who possessed the veritable Word of God, she poured out through long centuries her stream of cruel persecution.

See also [New Age Versions Chapters 7 and 8](#) *Mystery Babylon the Great, Satan's Church: Spires of Seven Hills* respectively and note this extract from p 141.

*Westcott and Hort...changed the traditional Majority Greek text until it mirrored Vaticanus (B). When their grossly altered text finally matched that used by the Catholic church Pope Pius XII [Hitler's friend [See notes below with each paragraph designated by *]] said:*

[T]ranslations could be produced in cooperation with separated brethren.

NIV and NASB Outside, Catholic Inside

The Pope's dream has become Christianity's nightmare. Few know that the four wheels driving the current U.B.S. Greek New Testament – Aland, Black, Metzger, and Wikgren – were being steered by a fifth wheel – in the driver's seat – Italy's own Carlo M. Martini. His editorship is revealed only on the frontispiece of the edition for translators, lest Protestants panic.

Along with the Devil's strategy for counterfeiting Acts 2, the same Westcott-Hortian duplicity is clearly still in operation. See Wilkinson's statement above in the remarks concerning Dr Grady's use of the word *conniving* in *Final Authority* p 254.

When the English New Testament Committee met, it was immediately apparent what was going to happen. Though for ten long years the iron rule of silence kept the public ignorant of what was going on behind closed doors, the story is now known.

*See:

www.chick.com/reading/tracts/1077/1077_01.asp *Mama's Girls*

www.chick.com/catalog/comics/0114.asp *The Godfathers*

www.chick.com/catalog/books/0153.asp *Smokescreens* by Jack T. Chick Chapter 3 *A 20th Century Inquisition*

www.chick.com/catalog/books/0191.asp *The Secret History of the Jesuits* by Edmond Paris Part V *The Infernal Cycle*.

See also www.spirituallysmart.com/Paris-The_Secret_History_of_Jesuits_1975.pdf.

*The above detailed references have been included because the Catholic church has in recent times mounted a huge web campaign to whitewash Pius XII insisting that he was actually opposed to Hitler and the Nazi regime i.e. the usual Vatican cover-up. Significantly, however, the Catholic church has made no attempt to bring legal proceedings against Chick Publications, not once in the 30 years since *The Godfathers* and *Smokescreens* were first published. Ex-Jesuit priest the Late Dr Alberto Rivera said in his **Introduction** to *The Secret History of the Jesuits* of **The man, Edmond Paris: In the prophetic works of the Book of Revelation, Edmond Paris became a martyr for Jesus. In exposing such a conspiracy, he put his life at stake for truth of the prophetic signs to be known. Edmond Paris never knew me, but I knew him without meeting him personally when I, with other Jesuits under the extreme oath and induction, was being briefed on the names of institutions and individuals in Europe who were dangerous to the goals of the Roman Catholic Institution. His name was given to us. Moreover, this site, one-evil.org/content/acts_vatican_holocaust.html, though apparently secular, gives considerable detail that independently supports Chick's material. See this extract, emphases in article, with respect to Eugenio Pacelli, later Pope Pius XII:**

By 1919, a key protégé of Pope Pius [XI], **Eugenio Pacelli**, had already selected a suitable candidate for the church in Germany - a young fiercely Catholic intelligence officer named **Adolf Hitler** who **Pacelli** [met] at least once a week during the early years in Munich as both Hitler's patron and financier as well as his controller.

*Bible believers should note that sites such as the above are vastly outnumbered by Catholic or Catholic-supporting sites that seek to exonerate Pius XII from any pro-Hitler and pro-Nazi leanings. These sites are largely aimed at attacking a major work on Pius XII and Hitler entitled www.thedivineconspiracy.org/Z5276F.pdf *Hitler's Pope, The Secret History of Pius XII* by John Cornwell, first published in 1999 en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hitler%27s_Pope. See for example the article www.firstthings.com/article/2007/01/hitler-the-war-and-the-pope-39 *Hitler, the War, and the Pope* by John Jay Hughes, priest of the St Louis archdiocese and a church historian. In spite of his eulogy on behalf of both Pius XII and the sinister Croatian Archbishop Stepanic and his castigation of John Cornwell, Hughes does not refer to any Catholic court action against John Cornwell and certainly no such case leaps out of a Google search.

*Nevertheless, Rome's clear and overwhelming influence in all news media outlets, especially the web, should be an incentive to each of today's believers in these increasingly "*perilous times*" 2 Timothy 3:1 that he "*looketh well to his going*" Proverbs 14:15, "*Prove all things; hold fast that which is good*" 1 Thessalonians 5:21 and "*Watch ye, stand fast in the faith, quit you like men, be strong*" 1 Corinthians 16:13, especially concerning "**MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH**" Revelation 17:5.

Rome's involvement with ecumenical bible projects, enabling the Devil to counterfeit Acts 2, is as follows. See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *AV1611 vs Rome's Post-1611 Attack - The Holy Bible versus The Unholy Church!* p 14 and the following summary statement:

Rome and Ecumenical Bible Projects Worldwide

The TBS [*Ecumenism and the United Bible Societies*, The Trinitarian Bible Society, Quarterly Record, October-December 1985, No. 493, p 18ff] has published a further warning about *Roman Catholic attitudes to Scripture* worldwide.

"Catholics are now officially encouraged to read and study the Scriptures for themselves..."

*"However...in Roman Catholic circles, the current emphasis on the reading of Scripture is accompanied by an equal emphasis upon the authority of the Roman church. Catholics are exhorted not only to trust their church as being the infallible interpreter of Scripture, but also to look to the church as a source of divine truth **additional** to the truths of Scripture..."*

"The ecumenical movement, and in particular ecumenical activity in the translation and distribution of the Scriptures [worldwide] has not led to the change of a single Roman Catholic doctrine, [Catholic/ecumenical modern bibles are unfruitful, Ephesians 5:11] but has if anything enabled the Roman Catholic church to enlarge her sphere of influence and control"...

The article, from the 1980s, refers to 390 ecumenical Protestant-Catholic bible projects worldwide, in 50 languages. It expresses concern that "*the Rev Dr John R. W. Stott, who has a reputation as an evangelical writer and preacher*" was then a vice-president of the ecumenical United Bible Societies. We should not be surprised therefore, about "**the present distress**" 1 Corinthians 7:26 of our church and nation.

The article concludes with a profound warning that highlights the Jesuit strategy, "*to retire into the background, the King James.*" This was the main aim of the Jesuit Counter-Reformation and the Oxford Movement...

Baptist pastor, Robert Militello [*An Up-To-Date Testimony* by Robert Militello, The Churchman's Magazine, Vol. 140 Nos. 1680-1681 July/August, 1986, pp 60-61] also has a profound warning. Jesuits in New York educated Pastor Militello during the 1950s and 60s. He states.

“I see a grave danger for Protestants who do not study their Bible. Jesuits capitalise on this and promote the idea of looking to a man rather than to Scripture... They do this rather subtly by declaring that [although] the Bible is inerrant, that only the original manuscripts were inspired, and that since they are lost, believers must look to teachers and scholars as the final authority...”

This is precisely what Hodge and Warfield said in 1881, hand in glove with Jesuit strategy. Robert Militello continues.

“Jesuits have dedicated their lives to undoing the Reformation and the Bible it produced for the English-speaking world – the Authorized Version. Christian colleges now teach that only the original manuscripts were inspired and that all [contemporary] Bibles have errors.

“What a thorough job Rome has done in leavening Christian schools with unbelief in God’s Word and devotion to Scholarship and the opinions of man...” Why no revival? You have the answer.

Robert Militello sums up the Jesuit success through the modern versions.

“They have put to bed America’s Protestants.”

Rick Norris among them.

“His watchmen are blind: they are all ignorant, they are all dumb dogs, they cannot bark; sleeping, lying down, loving to slumber” Isaiah 56:10.

In sum, the Devil, in stubborn 1 Samuel 15:23 pursuit of his ambition that ***“I will be like the most high”*** Isaiah 14:14, has used the Jesuits to counterfeit Acts 2 worldwide with Catholic false versions, a false gift of tongues and ecumenical bible projects worldwide in many languages, with perfidious Protestants, like Rick Norris, giving aid and comfort to the enemy. That is a most serious offence in both the secular and spiritual realms.

legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/Aid+and+comfort *Article 3, section 3, clause 1 of the U.S. Constitution specifies that the giving of aid and comfort to the enemy is an element in the crime of Treason* underlining in the definition.

“Having damnation, because they have cast off their first faith...For some are already turned aside after Satan” 1 Timothy 5:12, 15.

It appears to this writer therefore that Dr Grady’s association of the 1901 ASV and the 1901 explosion of counterfeit tongues is entirely vindicated by both scripture and the bulk of post-1901 bible translation activity.

Rick Norris’ denial of any such association is giving aid and comfort to the enemy i.e. the Devil.

Rick Norris then denies that false and/or apostate Christendom featuring Oral Roberts, so-called Christian rock bands, Jesse Jackson, Rev Ike and Tammy Bakker etc. could in any way be the corrupt fruit of modern versions as Dr Grady states on pp 184-185 of *Final Authority*.

It should be noted that although he dismisses Dr Grady’s identification of the abandonment of the 1611 Holy Bible in favour of the modern versions as the prime cause of the abounding iniquity Matthew 24:12 that Dr Grady describes, Rick Norris in his article against *Final Authority* can give no alternative explanation for the spiritual and moral degeneration observed today.

That is a major failing on Rick Norris’ part. He cannot reasonably reject Dr Grady’s analysis of the present apostasy unless he can provide a credible alternative analysis but Rick Norris does not do so and it appears that he cannot do so.

The Lord Jesus Christ has described Rick Norris’ ilk exactly.

“Woe unto you, lawyers! for ye have taken away the key of knowledge: ye entered not in yourselves, and them that were entering in ye hindered” Luke 11:52.

Rick Norris fails to mention that Dr Grady was actually referring to Oral Roberts’ supposed vision of a 900-foot Jesus, not Roberts himself. That said, Colossians 2:18 comes to mind with respect to

Roberts' hallucination, whether emotionally, psychiatrically or satanically inspired or up to all three. **"Let no man beguile you of your reward in a voluntary humility and worshipping of angels, intruding into those things which he hath not seen, vainly puffed up by his fleshly mind."**

See www.jesus-is-savior.com/Wolves/oral_roberts.htm *VISION OF THE 900-FOOT JESUS*, insofar as that segment of the article is immediately followed by one entitled *ROBERTS' ENDORSEMENT OF THE READER'S DIGEST CONDENSED BIBLE*, a corruption that Dr Grady mentions on p 184 of *Final Authority* but which Rick Norris by-passes. That site has therefore provided independent support for Dr Grady's association of false visions with false versions.

Rick Norris also fails to mention Dr Grady's reference to Dr Billy Graham's inclusion in Hollywood's Walk of Fame - really *Shame* so far Dr Graham is concerned - and Gallup's *Ten Most Admired Men in the World*. Neither does Rick Norris mention Dr Grady's citation of the Lord's condemnation of worldliness in Luke 6:26 **"Woe unto you, when all men shall speak well of you! for so did their fathers to the false prophets."** That Dr Graham should by-pass Luke 6:26 is surely a mark of apostasy but as Dr Grady also points out, *Final Authority* pp 18, 19, 191, Dr Graham has repeatedly allied himself with false bible versions, such as The Living Bible and with the pope of Rome and therefore by extension with all of the Catholic-based false versions. See remarks above with respect to ***Rome and Ecumenical Bible Projects Worldwide***.

This is what Dr Graham has said about Bible versions.

See:

www.billygraham.org/articlepage.asp?articleid=3753
www.billygraham.org/articlepage.asp?articleid=1996.

Although I value the old King James Version, I also encourage people to use an accurate modern translation so that the Bible's meaning is clear...

It is also helpful to remember that, while King James "authorized" a particular translation for the Church of England in the 17th century, it is no more authoritative for us today than any other translation...Do not get caught up into a divisive and fruitless controversy over which of many good translations is best. Instead, consider using a number of them in your study and reading, and join in prayer that all peoples in all countries of the world might soon have the Word of God in their own language.

Apostasy writ large.

"For they that are such serve not our Lord Jesus Christ, but their own belly; and by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple" Romans 16:18.

Dr Graham clearly doesn't know what **"the word of God"** is any more than Rick Norris does; 1 Samuel 9:27, 1 Kings 12:22, 1 Chronicles 17:3, Mark 7:13, Luke 3:2, 5:1, 8:11, 21, 11:28, John 10:35, Acts 4:31, 6:2, 7, 8:14, 11:1, 12:24, 13:5, 7, 44, 46, 17:13, 18:11, 19:20, Romans 9:6, 10:17, 1 Corinthians 14:36, 2 Corinthians 2:17, 4:2, Ephesians 6:17, Colossians 1:25, 1 Thessalonians 2:13, 1 Timothy 4:5, 2 Timothy 2:9, Titus 2:5, Hebrews 4:12, 11:3, 13:7, 1 Peter 1:23, 2 Peter 3:5, 1 John 2:14, Revelation 1:2, 9, 6:9, 20:4, 45 occurrences in all.

Or Dr Graham has forgotten.

He should therefore ask God to minister to him by means of 1 Samuel 9:27 **"...but stand thou still a while, that I may shew thee the word of God."**

Others have noted Dr Graham's support for modern versions.

See:

www.wayoflife.org/database/billy_grahams_disobedience.html ***BILLY GRAHAM HAS PROMOTED PRACTICALLY EVERY PERVERTED BIBLE VERSION TO APPEAR IN THE LAST FOUR DECADES.***

These include the RSV, J. B. Phillips New Testament, Living Bible, TEV/Good News For Modern Man, The Message. David Cloud lists Dr Graham's evident approval of Catholicism and other non-Biblical religions, infant baptism, disbelief in the Biblical hell, denial of the Lord's virgin birth, theistic evolution, denial of Biblical inerrancy and support for Robert Schuler's false gospel. Dr Grady refers to the teaching of theistic evolution in so-called Christian colleges and Schuler's false teachings on pp 184-185 of *Final Authority* but Rick Norris has chosen to ignore those references as well.

See also this extract from www.jesus-is-savior.com/Bible/all_corrupt.htm, this writer's emphases.

The Good News Bible is one of the worst versions, yet it has been distributed by the millions, largely due to endorsements by Billy Graham, Bill Bright and other evangelical leaders.

"...In the mouth of two or three witnesses shall every word be established" 2 Corinthians 13:1.

In sum, Dr Grady in *Final Authority* pp 184-185 has shown that two leading acclaimed Christian personalities of recent times are associated with unscriptural, indeed blasphemous perceptions of the Lord Jesus Christ, high-profile worldliness, satanic religions and their leaders, ***"science falsely so called"*** 1 Timothy 6:20, false doctrine *and modern bible versions*. The so-called Christian rock/pop environment is unequivocally part of those associations.

"For their rock is not as our Rock, even our enemies themselves being judges" Deuteronomy 32:31:

"There's no such thing as a secure, family-oriented rock 'n roll song" – Mick Jagger, cited in *The Truth About Rock Music* by Hugh Pyle p 36.

"Rock has always been the devil's music. You can't convince me that it isn't" – David Bowie, cited in *The Devil's Disciples* by Jeff Godwin, p 7.

Rick Norris insists that no association exists between the above evils and modern bible versions.

It is Rick Norris who is disconnected, from reality.

Significantly, he fails to mention the further associations between the publication of modern versions and notable sinister developments occurring at about the same time that Dr Grady lists in *Final Authority* on pp 186, 276, 278, 299-316:

1901 – the ASV and President McKinley's assassination

1952 - the complete RSV and the setting up of the UN

Note in this context that even Rick Norris cannot deny that rock music, see remarks above, has proliferated as the modern bible versions have proliferated and displaced the 1611 Holy Bible *in authority* in many churches.

Note especially that the RSV was compiled between 1946, when its New Testament was published and, as indicated above, 1952, when both Testaments were published as a single volume.

Rock music effectively became main-stream within that time frame, in 1951.

See en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Origins_of_rock_and_roll#The_term_rock_and_roll.

...in 1951, Cleveland, Ohio disc jockey Alan Freed began broadcasting rhythm, blues, and country music for a multi-racial audience. Freed, familiar with the music of earlier decades, used the phrase rock and roll to describe the music he aired over station WJW (850 AM); its use is also credited to Freed's sponsor, record store owner Leo Mintz, who encouraged Freed to play the music on the radio.

Having *usurped* authority in many churches over the 1611 Holy Bible, the modern bible versions have achieved nothing in halting or even slowing the spread of the Devil's music since 1951, which Rick Norris cannot reasonably deny. That has to be counted as part of their 'fruit.'

1960-1963 – the NASV, NEB and the closure of the 103-year old Fulton Street prayer meetings in NYC, the Supreme Court ban on prayer, the degenerate Beatles’ tour in the US and the assassination of President Kennedy

1966 – Good News for Modern Man and the Vietnam War – *bad* news for American moms and dads

1973 – The New International Version and Watergate and Roe versus Wade, see also *Which Bible is God’s Word?* by Gail Riplinger p 124

1979-1982 – The New King James Version and President Reagan’s initiation of full diplomatic recognition of the Vatican State, to which all Catholics, including US Catholics, owe prior allegiance above their own nations. Dr Grady rightly calls the NKJV *The Cutting Edge of Apostasy*, the title of his Chapter 17 of *Final Authority*. Rick Norris’ complaints against Chapter 17 are addressed below.

Rick Norris would naively have his readers believe that the above is mere coincidence. He should read *Somebody Angry?* for further such ‘coincidences.’

See www.chick.com/reading/tracts/1055/1055_01.asp.

Moreover, Rick Norris has resorted to the same devious tactic for pp 184-185 of *Final Authority* that he used for denying Dr Grady’s association between the 1901 ASV and the modern counterfeit tongues movement. See remarks above with respect to p 59 of *Final Authority*. Rick Norris has by-passed the first 18 pages of the chapter in order to “*strain at a gnat*” Matthew 23:24 on the very last page of the chapter. Rick Norris picked on a very small portion of Chapter 12 of *Final Authority* entitled *Sanctioned from on High*, almost at the end of the chapter that occupies 15 pages in total. The bulk of the chapter describes the great movements of the Spirit of God in revivals, soul-winning and missionary effectiveness that stemmed from fidelity to the 1611 Holy Bible. On p 184 itself of *Final Authority*, Dr Grady alludes to the outstanding ministries of David Brainerd, “Gypsy” Smith, C. T. Studd, John Bunyan, the Moravian prayer chain, George Whitefield, Peter Cartwright, Charles Wesley, William Booth and his intrepid “*lasses*,” ‘Praying’ Hyde and David Livingstone.



Dr Grady attributes the above galaxy of spiritual greatness *and spiritual fruit* Philippians 4:17 to *one* Book that was indeed *Sanctioned from on High*.

Rick Norris fails to show otherwise and, in this writer’s considered view, cannot show otherwise.

Rick Norris, to be consistent with his criticisms of Dr Grady’s analysis of “*the present distress*” 1 Corinthians 7:26 on pp 184-185 of *Final Authority*, would have to show that God’s blessing of His servants who believed and preached the 1611 Holy Bible and their ministries was unconnected with their faithfulness to that Book. Rick Norris would have to show, for example, that equivalent results had been achieved since the year 1611 by means of the other versions e.g. Challoner’s 1749-1752 Revision of the Douay-Rheims Version, the 1881 RV, 1901 ASV etc., indeed by means of any modern version, or versions, that he happens to ‘prefer,’ *or by texts in the original languages*.

Rick Norris fails to show that level of consistency and, in this writer’s considered view, cannot show that level of consistency. That in itself invalidates Rick Norris’ criticisms of Dr Grady’s analysis of “*the present distress*” 1 Corinthians 7:26.

Rick Norris’ abject failures in the above respects remind this writer of the Lord’s condemnation of the ancient hellhole of Nineveh of Nahum’s time.

“Thy crowned are as the locusts, and thy captains as the great grasshoppers, which camp in the hedges in the cold day, but when the sun ariseth they flee away, and their place is not known where they are” Nahum 3:17.

Note that Dr Gipp provides further support for Dr Grady's analysis of "***the present distress***" 1 Corinthians 7:26 on pp 184-185 of *Final Authority*, additional information that Rick Norris has ignored, as indicated above *failing utterly to provide any explanation of why the proliferation of modern versions has not even arrested the spread of present-day evil, let alone reversed it.*

As Jeremiah rightly protests about the likes of Rick Norris and other modern version advocates:

"They have healed also the hurt of the daughter of my people slightly, saying, Peace, peace; when there is no peace" Jeremiah 6:14 with Jeremiah 8:11.

Dr Gipp's analysis is as follows, his emphases.

See samgipp.com/answerbook/?page=42.htm *The Answer Book*.

QUESTION #42:

Aren't modern English translations easier to understand?

ANSWER:

*No. Some may seem easier to **read**, but none are easier to **understand**...*

*It is elementary to see that if the "old archaic" King James Bible has been hampering the **desired** work of the Holy Spirit, then God should be eager to bless the use of any translation that would be easier for His people to understand.*

*Again, it is all too obvious that no mass spiritual awakening of **any kind** has been initiated by any one of today's modern translations. Today's modern translations haven't been able to spark a revival in a **Christian school**, let alone expected to close a bar.*

In fact, since the arrival of our modern English translations, beginning with the ASV of 1901, America has seen:

1. *God and prayer kicked out of our public school*
2. *Abortion on demand legalized*
3. *Homosexuality accepted nationally as an "alternate life style"*
4. *In home pornography via TV and VCR [and now the internet]*
5. *Child kidnapping and pornography running rampant*
6. *Dope has become an epidemic*
7. *Satanism is on the rise*

*If this is considered a "revival" then let's turn back to the King James to **STOP** it.*

Rick Norris has no coherent answer for Dr Gipp's detailed analysis of modern version 'fruit,' any more than he has had for Dr Grady's. In Job's time, Rick Norris would have made up a fourth with Eliphaz, Bildad and Zophar and rightly been on the receiving end of Elihu's dissatisfaction.

"Also against his three friends was his wrath kindled, because they had found no answer, and yet had condemned Job" Job 32:3.

Note further the following graphic illustrations of what has followed in the wake of "***the words of God***" the 1611 Holy Bible and "***the words of men***" 1 Thessalonians 2:13 the modern bible versions respectively. Rick Norris cannot arbitrarily dismiss the following respective outcomes with mere gnat-straining and camel-swallowing, Matthew 23:24, along with his "***great swelling words of vanity***" 2 Peter 2:18. Rick Norris has to *prove* that no association exists between belief in or rejection of "***the scripture of truth***" Daniel 10:21 the 1611 Holy Bible in favour of the modern versions and the following outcomes. He has not done so.

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *The Great Bible Robbery* pp 6-7.

Two Lines of Church History – Antioch vs. Alexandria




Figure 3 Two Lines of Church History, Antioch vs. Alexandria

from *The Monarch of the Books* by Dr Peter S. Ruckman p 6. "The time of reformation" Hebrews 9:10 via the AV1611 Text, "Destruction and misery" Romans 3:16 via Rome and Alexandria:

A Flood of Apostasy and Revision




Figure 4 A Flood of Apostasy and Revision

from *The Monarch of the Books* by Dr Peter S. Ruckman p 22

"Even so every good tree bringeth forth good fruit; but a corrupt tree bringeth forth evil fruit... Wherefore by their fruits ye shall know them" Matthew 7:17, 20.

A double standard seemed to be used in the examination of the theological views of translators and others involved with this issue. Grady attacked the Anglican views of Westcott and Hort, but he didn't apply the same standards to the Church of England views of the KJV translators or to the Roman Catholics (*sic*) views of Erasmus. Did Grady in effect show a respect of persons toward the KJV translators (James 2:9)?

Rick Norris is lying, again.

Dr Grady has not used a double standard with respect to the theological views of translators and he has not shown respect of persons, James 2:9, toward the King James translators.

Rick Norris appears to be criticising Chapter 14 of *Final Authority* entitled *Vessels of Dishonour* with respect to Westcott and Hort, although he fails to inform his readers of the basis for his criticisms in the extract above. Rick Norris has also misled readers again in that he neglects to specify *what particular* Anglican views of Westcott and Hort Dr Grady is supposedly attacking. In so doing, Rick Norris subtly implies that Westcott, Hort and the King James translators all had the same Church of England mindset. That particular subterfuge then enables Rick Norris to accuse Dr Grady of double standards, *falsely*.

Rick Norris' despicable subterfuge possibly explains why he fails to mention Chapter 14 of *Final Authority*. Inspection of that chapter quickly shows that Rick Norris is lying, as well as resorting to cowardly insinuation with respect to his reference to James 2:9.

Dr Grady states on p 214 of *Final Authority*, the *second* page of Chapter 14 that he had carefully read the biographies of Westcott and Hort written by their sons. Dr Grady's conclusion from that research is that Westcott and Hort were unsaved liberals and Jesuit infiltrators fully committed to Rome's avowed aim of subverting the 1611 Holy Bible and replacing it with their corrupt Catholic text. See Robert Militello's remarks above.

That conclusion, Dr Grady states, forms the central thesis of his Chapter 14. Dr Grady then describes in detail Westcott and Hort's rejection of the miracles of the Lord Jesus Christ that the Gospels record, their idolatrous attitudes to the Catholic Mary, their descent into spiritualism and *"philosophy and vain deceit"* Colossians 2:8 including the sinister speculations of sodomites Plato and Aristotle and their support for the *subversion* of the Church of England instigated by John Henry Newman, whom the pope rewarded with a cardinal's hat for his treachery.

See en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Henry_Newman *John Henry Newman*.

The King James translators referred rightly in their Dedicatory Epistle to the 1611 Holy Bible to Newman's benefactor as *that man of sin*. See www.jesus-is-lord.com/pref1611.htm.

Westcott and Hort were therefore poles apart from the King James translators in their respective attitudes to *"the scripture of truth"* Daniel 10:21, the 1611 Holy Bible. 'Anglican views,' especially unspecified 'Anglican views,' are not the issue but merely another *"thing of nought"* Amos 6:13 that Rick Norris has concocted in his blatant efforts to continue the subversion of the 1611 Holy Bible undertaken by Westcott and Hort.

Rick Norris gives no indication of having read the biographies of Westcott and Hort and he bypasses all of Dr Grady's material on Westcott and Hort. Rick Norris is therefore in no position to accuse Dr Grady of double standards and has, as indicated, falsely accused him in that respect.

Concerning Erasmus' supposed Catholic views, Rick Norris gives no indication of what these supposedly were and is obviously simply making a further insinuation against Dr Grady.

Rick Norris has misled his readers again in that he has failed to draw attention to Dr Grady's statements on pp 130-131 of *Final Authority* to the effect that Erasmus was forced to attend a Catholic monastery after he'd been orphaned, that he protested against the Catholic church's ungodliness, rejected the corrupt Catholic readings of Jerome's Vulgate and manifested a distinctly *non-Catholic* determination to circulate *"the scripture of truth"* Daniel 10:21 among ordinary individuals.

Dr Grady cites part of the following statement by Erasmus, as recorded by the historian Durant, that reveals Erasmus' vision for the widespread distribution of ***“the holy scriptures”*** 2 Timothy 3:15 among ordinary individuals. See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ ‘*O Biblios – The Book* p 12.

I would have the weakest woman read the Gospels and the Epistles of St. Paul...I would have those words translated into all languages, so that not only Scots and Irishmen, but Turks and Saracens might read them. I long for the plowboy to sing them to himself as he follows the plow, the weaver to hum them to the tune of his shuttle, the traveller to beguile with them the dullness of his journey...Other studies we may regret having undertaken, but happy is the man upon whom death comes when he is engaged in these. These sacred words give you the very image of Christ speaking, healing, dying, rising again, and make Him so present that were He before your very eyes you would not more truly see Him...

Rick Norris makes no mention of Erasmus' statement that Dr Grady cites and has therefore falsely accused Dr Grady with respect to Erasmus in addition to falsely accusing him about Westcott and Hort and the King James translators.

Rick Norris should reflect carefully on Paul's admonition to the Colossians, especially if he is saved.

“Lie not one to another, seeing that ye have put off the old man with his deeds” Colossians 3:9.

His bias or double standard can even be seen in his glossary where he listed Hort as an “unsaved” Cambridge professor, Westcott as a “liberal Anglican scholar who conspired” with Hort, but listed Erasmus as a “Dutch intellectual known as the ‘journalist of scholarship.’”

See remarks above with respect to Dr Grady's disclosures of Westcott, Hort and Erasmus that Rick Norris has surreptitiously by-passed in order to maintain a ***“cloke for...sin”*** John 15:22. Yet again, Rick Norris has falsely accused Dr Grady of a double standard.

Surprisingly, Grady quoted with approval several times Seventh-Day Adventist Benjamin Wilkinson without informing the reader of Wilkinson's ties to to (sic) this group (pp. 254, 273, 275).

Rick Norris' statement concerning Benjamin Wilkinson is more subterfuge and insinuation on his part, this time including another obvious *ad hominem* attack on Dr Grady. See remarks above with respect to Rick Norris' innuendo against Dr Ruckman and *Manuscript Evidence*.

Rick Norris doesn't cite what Benjamin Wilkinson said. As cited by Dr Grady in *Final Authority* pp 254, 273, 275 it is as follows, respectively. Emphases are Dr Grady's.

See again kjb.benabraham.com/html/chapter-10.html *Our Authorized Bible Vindicated* Chapter 10 *Revision at Last!*

When the English New Testament Committee met, it was immediately apparent what was going to happen. Though for ten long years the iron rule of silence kept the public ignorant of what was going on behind closed doors, the story is now known.

See remarks above with respect to Rick Norris' complaint about Dr Grady's use of the word *conniving* on p 254 of *Final Authority*. Rick Norris apparently doesn't care about clandestine revision committees tampering *in secret* with ***“the scripture of truth”*** Daniel 10:21. He has made no comment about ***“this thing...done in a corner”*** Acts 26:26 and therefore appears to have imitated Gallio who ***“cared for none of those things”*** Acts 18:17. Unlike Rick Norris, Gallio had sound motives.

See kjb.benabraham.com/html/chapter-15.html *Our Authorized Bible Vindicated* Chapter 15 *The Rising Tide of Modernism and Modern Bibles*.

Because of the changes which came about in the nineteenth century, there arose a new type of Protestantism and a new version of the Protestant Bible. This new kind of Protestantism was hostile to the fundamental doctrines of the Reformation. Previous to this there had been only two types of Bibles in the world, the Protestant, and the Catholic. Now Protestants were asked to choose between the true Protestant Bible and one which reproduced readings rejected by the Reformers...

False science teaches the origin of the universe by organic development without God, and calls it evolution. German philosophy early taught the development of humanity through the self-evolution of the absolute spirit. The outstanding advocates of this latter philosophy, Schelling and Hegel, were admitted pantheists...Their theory was applied to theology in the hands of Schleiermacher whose follower was Dr. Schaff, and whom Dr. Schaff characterizes as "the greatest theological genius" since the Reformation. He also said, "There is not to be found now a single theologian of importance, in whom the influence of his great mind is not more or less to be traced"...The basis of Schleiermacher's philosophy and theology was acknowledged by such men as Dorner to be "thoroughly pantheistic."

See kjv.benabraham.com/html/chapter-15.html *Our Authorized Bible Vindicated Chapter 15 The Rising Tide of Modernism and Modern Bibles.*

Dr Schaff sat in the Parliament of Religions at the Chicago World's Fair, 1893, and was so happy among the Buddhists, Confucianists, Shintoists, and other world religions that he said he would be willing to die among them.

Dr Schaff probably did.

See *New Age Versions* by Gail Riplinger Chapter 33 *The Epitaph of Philip Schaff* for an incisive account of the New Age heresies promoted by the World Parliament of Religions, resuscitated in 1993 for its 100th anniversary and of how these heresies have been perpetuated in modern versions from Schaff's 1901 ASV to the present day, with approximately **240** versions since 1901.

See again baptist-potluck.blogspot.co.uk/2010/08/bible-versions-since-1881.html.

Rick Norris missed all of this.

Not only has Rick Norris failed to cite any of Benjamin Wilkinson's statements that Dr Grady has cited or to address their contents but he also by-passed the contexts of *Final Authority* in which Dr Grady cited them. See remarks above with respect to the context of Benjamin Wilkinson's statement on p 254 of *Final Authority*.

Dr Grady states on p 273 of *Final Authority* that Britain's acceptance of the RV, which continues to this day in most churches via the various modern versions that they use, was a major factor in bringing upon Britain God's severe judgements including the Boer War, WW1, WW2, the Catholic IRA terror campaign in Ulster and national spiritual and moral degradation that also continues to this day.

The publication *Britain in Sin* has well summarised Britain's national spiritual and moral decline and wholly supports Dr Grady's overview of this country.

Britain in Sin www.christianvoice.org.uk/index.php/britain-in-sin/

The United Kingdom today is in deep decline. Social and economic trends and the UK crime statistics reveal a nation beset by rising crime, family breakdown, infertility, drug-taking, debt, indecency, profligacy and perversion.

Why has this happened? What responsibility is to be borne by Parliament, church and Monarch? Her Majesty the Queen promised in her Coronation oath on 2nd June 1953 to “Maintain the Laws of God and the True Profession Reformed Religion established by Law.” We have now passed the Golden Jubilee of Queen Elizabeth. Have her governments honoured her solemn undertaking?*



**50th anniversary. See en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Golden_Jubilee_of_Elizabeth_II.*

Britain in Sin examines some 57 pieces of post-war legislation in the light of the eternal precepts of God. We uncover the serious and growing departure of our law from Godly standards. Over 400 points of references in Holy Scripture demonstrate that Parliament has legislated against every single one of the Ten Commandments, overturning the very laws of God which Her Majesty promised to maintain in her realm.

Deuteronomy 28 describes what happens to a nation which rebels against the laws of God. With 40 charts of social and economic indicators, Britain in Sin shows that the passing of unrighteous laws in the United Kingdom has had exactly the effect prophesied in the Word of God. The adverse trends, speaking invariably of human misery, have followed our [nation's] rebellion from God. Britain today is deep in sin, and the judgment of God is falling.

Note again the following statement by George Bernard Shaw, made at a time during the 20th century when even though God's judgement on Britain was already falling for abandonment of the 1611 Holy Bible, most ordinary Britons still believed it to be **“the scripture of truth”** Daniel 10:21 and would continue to do so until about the 1950s.

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *The 1611 Holy Bible versus Bible Critic Rick Norris* p 5.

“In all these instances the Bible means the translation authorised by King James the First...to this day the common human Britisher or citizen of the United States of North America accepts and worships it as a single book by a single author, the book being the Book of Books and the author being God.”

Now note the following statement that describes Britain only a few decades later, from Rev M. J. Roberts of Greyfriars Free Church, Inverness, quoted in the TBS *Quarterly Record*, No. 529, October to December 1994.

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *'O Biblios' – The Book* p vii.

“The Bible is a lost book in Britain today. It has little influence on national life any more...We have to admit that we are not seeing souls converted in great numbers. It does not matter where you go. Go to Wales, to Scotland, or to England here. Few are being converted in these days. Where are the days when the Bible was being blessed to the conversion of thousands and ten thousands?...The problem is here. This book is not being read so as to bring light to bear upon men's lives. Therefore the tragedy is that men are not being converted to Christ. Could any curse in this life be greater? Could any judgment be more awful than this?”

No.

The same, or worse, is true 20 years later. Note this overview from a genuine 1611 Holy Bible believer who leads a Bible-believing church in Worcestershire, England.

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/tft-newsletters/ *Time for Truth* Issue 67 p 2. Rick Norris should read it.

We are a Bible BELIEVING Church, perhaps the only one left here in Worcestershire sadly to say! We DON'T use PERVERTED Bibles like the NKJV, ESV, NIV etc. & we DON'T have the terminal disease of 'GREEKITUS' which most churches DO!...Every church in town KNOWS about us (most can't stand us!), from the so called 'evangelical' to the Baptist & from the Unitarian CULT to the Church of England/Rome – THEY KNOW!!! We are a challenge to all that come & we want to stay that way, whether folks like it or not. Every person who has come through our doors has been CHALLENGED! 99.99% couldn't stay because of it! THAT BOOK does the talking & NOT me! We've had young Calvinistic un-scriptural men come & dotty old Pentecostal ladies turn up with hen-pecked husbands on their arms (beak marks all over them!!!) We have had to deal with cult members, wacko-jacko-Pentecostal-healers-&-tongue-speakers, rich-women who are NOT living as they should, single mothers who are backslidden Christians as well as those Christians who KNOW they need to get right with God but love their sin too much to COMMIT!!! We've had them all!

Dr Grady also refers on p 273 of *Final Authority* to Hort's 'prayer burden' for the USA, so-called, whereby, *Final Authority* pp 243-244, Hort declared that from the bottom of his heart, in Hort's own words, he prayed that ***"the American Union may be shivered to pieces"*** and that he cared more for England and Europe than for the USA and, again in Hort's own words, ***"how much more than for all the [n-word people, Hort used the n-word] in the world"*** Dr Grady's emphases.

Hort obviously had heart trouble that he never overcame though the scripture explains how and the results that follow.

"Receive, I pray thee, the law from his mouth, and lay up his words in thine heart...For then shalt thou have thy delight in the Almighty, and shalt lift up thy face unto God" Job 22:22.


See www.chick.com/reading/tracts/1034/1034_01.asp *Heart Trouble?*

Yet again, though, Rick Norris makes no comment. Concerning the dangers of heart trouble, Jeremiah 17:9, and Hort's 'prayer burden' for the USA, Rick Norris appears to have again imitated Gallio who ***"cared for none of those things"*** Acts 18:17.

That is very serious because given that Hort and Westcott* are behind the departures from the 1611 Holy Bible as found in the RV that are perpetuated in the current modern versions, including the NASV, NIVs, NKJV**, it seems to this writer that any US citizen who either supports those versions or downgrades the 1611 Holy Bible in any way e.g. by 'the Greek' etc., using lexicons or equivalent sources sympathetic to the RV e.g. *Expository Dictionary of New Testament Words* by W. E. Vine could be deemed un-American.

*Brooke Foss Westcott was Bishop of Durham 1890-1901. His name is listed on the bishops' plaque in the medieval Durham Cathedral about 45 minutes drive north of where this writer lives. See www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/640443/Brooke-Foss-Westcott. Spiritually, Durham Cathedral is and has long been like Joshua left Ai, ***"an heap for ever, even a desolation unto this day"*** Joshua 8:28.

James 2:10 states *"For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one point, he is guilty of all."*** Therefore, whether critics of or even supposed 'clarifiers' of the 1611 Holy Bible would make many changes to the 1611 Holy Bible e.g. by the NASV, NIVs or the Nestle-United Bible Societies Greek text, or fewer changes e.g. by the NKJV or even mere 'clarifications' e.g. by means of any Textus Receptus Greek text, their guilt is the same – or worse for the 'clarifiers,' so-



called, who are ***“spies, which should feign themselves just men”*** Luke 20:20 – interesting chapter and verse numbers, the Book sees through them with 20/20 X-Ray vision:

“Neither is there any creature that is not manifest in his sight: but all things are naked and opened unto the eyes of him with whom we have to do” Hebrews 4:13.

Benjamin Wilkinson’s statement on *False science* and *German philosophy* as cited by Dr Grady is a timely reminder for today’s believers of Paul’s warnings against ***“philosophy and vain deceit, after the tradition of men”*** Colossians 2:8 and ***“oppositions of science falsely so called”*** 1 Timothy 6:20.

Yet again, though, Rick Norris makes no comment and again appears to have imitated Gallio who ***“cared for none of those things”*** Acts 18:17.

Benjamin Wilkinson’s statement on *Dr Schaff...in the Parliament of Religions at the Chicago World’s Fair, 1893* reveals a prime modern version mover and shaker at ease with ***“the rulers of the darkness of this world”*** Ephesians 6:12 and ***“giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils”*** 1 Timothy 4:1. That worldly and satanic darkness notwithstanding, Dr Grady describes the earnest soul-winning efforts of D. L. Moody and his co-workers, who conducted 125 Gospel meetings at the fair, no doubt by means of the 1611 Holy Bible.

Yet again, though, Rick Norris makes no comment and again appears to have imitated Gallio who ***“cared for none of those things”*** Acts 18:17.

By inspection, Benjamin Wilkinson’s statements on pp 254, 273, 275 of *Final Authority* have nothing to do with Seventh Day Adventism and the *context* of each of those statements that Rick Norris by-passed each time is unequivocally meant for readers ***“That ye may approve things that are excellent; that ye may be sincere and without offence till the day of Christ”*** Philippians 1:10 and to ***“have their senses exercised that they may discern both good and evil”*** Hebrews 5:14. Rick Norris has misled his readers yet again and even Rick Norris’ anti-Biblical crony Doug Kutilek had better sense than to try and use Seventh Day Adventism as a stick to beat Benjamin Wilkinson with.

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php *KJO Review Full Text – White’s fraudulent claims against the 1611 Holy Bible refuted in detail!* pp 689-690 for this extract.

Kutilek begins with the *ad hominem* attack on Wilkinson as a Seventh Day Adventist but is forced to acknowledge that ***“We recognize that Wilkinson’s grossly errant theology is not sufficient grounds for rejecting his views on the text and translation of the Bible. And this was not our basis for casting aside his writing as unacceptable.”***

Inspection of the chapter of *KJO Review Full Text* that addresses Kutilek’s attack on Wilkinson will show that it is Kutilek’s writing, not Wilkinson’s, that should be cast aside as unacceptable, Kutilek having Hort’s heart trouble and ***“conceiving and uttering from the heart words of falsehood”*** Isaiah 59:13.

In sum, Rick Norris is guilty of creating a false impression of Benjamin Wilkinson’s statements that Dr Grady cites in *Final Authority* pp 254, 273, 275.

Rick Norris is therefore guilty in turn of violating Romans 13:9 ***“Thou shalt not bear false witness,”*** that particular phrase having been cut out of or disputed by modern bible versions that Rick Norris would most likely endorse, interestingly enough.

Grady attacked the *New King James Version* as “particularly deceptive because it uses the name King James in its title” (p. 299). He claimed that the NKJV “represents Satan’s ultimate deception” (p. 303). Again, the tactic of “poisoning the well” is essential to his claim as he attempted to connect the NKJV with the RSV and the Alexandrian manuscripts. An actual examination of the evidence would show that many times Grady seemed to bear false witness against the NKJV. For example, some of the renderings that Grady claims came from the RSV can actually be found in various Bibles on the KJV-only view’s own line or tree of good Bibles. In one case, the fact that the 1560 Geneva Bible has the same translation as the NKJV at Song of Solomon 5:12 is ignored. Grady attacked the NKJV for its translation of 2 Cor 2:17 (“peddling the word of God”) while an earlier English translation he praised (the Geneva Bible) has a similar translation (“make merchandise of the word of God”).

Rick Norris’ statement above and the next two which follow will be addressed together in **Table 1 Stage-wise Purification of Scripture from Tyndale to 1611, Examples** that provides a version comparison for the scriptures that Rick Norris mentions. That comparison will show that Rick Norris does not understand the Lord’s sevenfold purification of **“The words of the LORD”** Psalm 12:6.

See remarks above with respect to *The Seven Stage Purification of the Textus Receptus*.

See also:

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *The purification of the Lord’s word – Psalm 12:6-7*

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php *Seven Stage Purification Process - Oil Refinery - in answer to the AVI611 Critics.*

Rick Norris is of course lying again in his accusation of Dr Grady’s supposed manifold false witness against the NKJV. Rick Norris highlights *one* verse from the Song of Solomon, Song of Solomon 5:12, where the NKJV matches the Geneva Bible with the reading “like doves” instead of **“as the eyes of doves”** 1611 Holy Bible and the Bishops’ Bible, which Rick Norris only refers to where it differs from the 1611 Holy Bible, as in Acts 14:23. See below.

Rick Norris has in fact misled his readers yet again in that he fails to mention that Dr Grady lists in *Final Authority* pp 306-310 no fewer than **36** references from the Song of Solomon, *including* Song of Solomon 5:12 where the NKJV – supposedly a ‘King James’ version, *not* a ‘Geneva’ version – *agrees with* the RSV, NASV *against* the 1611 Holy Bible; Song of Solomon 1:4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, 2:5, 7, 9, 16, 17, 3:2, 9, 4:1, 3, 5, 9, 5:2, 5, 12, 6:2, 7, 8, 12, 13, 7:1, 4, 5a, 5b, 7, 8, 9, 12, 8:1, 9, 10.

Concerning 2 Corinthians 2:17, Rick Norris again forgets that the NKJV is supposed to be a ‘King James’ version, *not* a ‘Geneva’ version and fails to inform his readers that Dr Grady lists Job 17:1a, Psalm 19:6a, 22:2b where the NKJV *agrees with* the RSV, NASV *against* the 1611 Holy Bible and states that of the 51 verses in John 1, the NKJV *agrees with* the RSV, NASV *against* the 1611 Holy Bible in no fewer than 34 verses or 75%.

Yet again, Rick Norris should therefore reflect carefully upon King Solomon’s wisdom. See remarks above on *Final Authority* p 59 and the emergence in the year 1901 of the twin evils of the ASV and the modern tongues movement.

“A false balance is abomination to the LORD: but a just weight is his delight” Proverbs 11:1.

Again, the reader has nothing upon which to delight in Rick Norris’ current effort, though again this writer suggests that there is much to abominate about it.

Evidently, Grady has not carefully read or examined the early English translations such as Tyndale’s and the Geneva Bible that he praised. Grady claimed that 90% of the KJV has Tyndale’s words (p. 161). Was Grady aware of the fact that Tyndale’s did not have Mark 11:26, Luke 17:36, as well as many phrases and clauses (Mark 15:3c [b], John 8:6, John 8:9b, John 19:38c, James 4:6b, 1John 2:23b, Rev 18:23a, Rev 21:26) when compared to the KJV? On what authority did the Church of England translators of the KJV add so many words to Tyndale’s?

See **Table 1** below that lists the version comparison for the verses that Rick Norris mentions. For now, in brief, note that online statistics give 180,552 words for the King James New Testament.

See:

amazingbibletimeline.com/bible_questions/q10_bible_facts_statistics/ with the figure 180,552 words
www.biblebelievers.com/believers-org/kjv-stats.html with word totals for all Books of the Bible.

The above site shows that the 1611 Holy Bible has 31,102 verses in the Old and New Testaments and states, writer's emphases:

Note: Total verses: 31,102. 3+1+1+0+2 = 7

(completeness, spiritual perfection, pure)

God clearly set up the 1611 Holy Bible to be the perfect Bible "*from the beginning*" Deuteronomy 11:12, first occurrence of the expression "*from the beginning*" and showing that God cares for His Book as He does for His land, "*which is the glory of all lands*" Exodus 20:6, 15. His Book, the 1611 Holy Bible, is the glory of all *books*.

"A land which the LORD thy God careth for: the eyes of the LORD thy God are always upon it, from the beginning of the year even unto the end of the year" Deuteronomy 11:12.

Taking the King James New Testament as 90% Tyndale's New Testament, a figure that Rick Norris does not dispute directly, the figure for 180,552 words in the King James New Testament yields approximately 18,000 words where variations could and evidently *do* occur between Tyndale's New Testament and the 1611 Holy Bible. That figure of 18,000 words is far more than the handful of word variations or indeed omissions that arise between Tyndale and the King James New Testament from the few verses that Rick Norris refers to and therefore easily accounts for them.

See **Table 1** below.

It is therefore Rick Norris' responsibility to provide a full collation with accompanying statistics of the differences between Tyndale's and the King James New Testaments and, if possible, show that *they greatly exceed* 10% of the New Testament if he wishes to emphasise them as a means of criticising Dr Grady's work. He should also *disprove*, not simply deny, God's sevenfold purification process of "***The words of the LORD***" Psalm 12:6 alluded to above.

Rick Norris has failed to fulfil either of those responsibilities.

The answer to his question on by what authority the King James translators added to Tyndale's New Testament is simple - the authority of their king. "**Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?**" Ecclesiastes 8:4.

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *The KJB Story 1611-2011 Abridged* pp 6-7.

Being a Christian anarchist (if he is saved), Rick Norris wouldn't understand that but Ecclesiastes 8:4 applies, nevertheless.

See again www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php *The 1611 Holy Bible versus Rick Norris* p 2 and remarks above on Hort's 'prayer burden' for the USA

In particular, the King James translators followed the Bishops' Bible, which they declared to be *sound for substance*, www.jesus-is-lord.com/pref1611.htm *The Translators to the Reader* and in accordance with Rule 1 of the rules for the guidance of the translators. See **Table 1**.

1. *The ordinary Bible read in the Church, commonly called **the Bishops Bible**, to be followed, and as little altered as the Truth of the original will permit.*

See www.av1611.org/kjv/kjvhist.html *A Brief History of the King James Bible* by Dr Laurence M. Vance.

It appears to have escaped Rick Norris' notice that the 1611 Holy Bible has in fact superseded the pre-1611 Bibles, which are important mainly in the historical context. They are not the Holy Bible for believers today, as the King James translators themselves made clear and God Himself has vindicated their stance, this writer's emphases.

"Truly (good Christian Reader) we never thought from the beginning, that we should need to make a new Translation, nor yet to make of a bad one a good one...but to make a good one better, or out of many good ones, one principal good one, not justly to be excepted against; that hath been our endeavor, that our mark..."

See www.jesus-is-lord.com/pref1611.htm *The Translators to the Reader.*

In short, no-one, certainly not Rick Norris, has come up with a better mark in 400+ years.

No-one retains any pre-1611 Bible as their church's Bible today, except a handful of disaffected 5-Point Calvinists who have adopted the Geneva Bible against the 1611 Holy Bible mainly out of pique, though they do *not* perceive the Geneva Bible to be unequivocally **"all scripture" that "is given by inspiration of God"** 2 Timothy 3:16.

See:

brandplucked.webs.com/deficientgeneva.htm *The Deficiencies of the Geneva Bible*

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php

Reply to DiVietro's attack on Gail Riplinger - Flotsam Flush pp 451-452.

See further for the authority of the 1611 Holy Bible now superseding that of the pre-1611 Bibles:

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only *Royal Law - James 2:8*

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/version-comparison.php *AV1611 Authority – Absolute.*

Note further that Dr Grady has given a reference for the agreement between Tyndale's and the King James New Testaments. It is *Eerdmans' Handbook to the History of Christianity* edited by Dr Tim Dowley, Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1977, p 370. If Rick Norris has a serious problem with the up to 10% discrepancies between Tyndale's and the King James New Testaments, or he thinks they exceed 10%, his failure to take issue with the source work, i.e. Dr Dowley's, is rank cowardice.

It should also be noted that the edition of Tyndale's New Testament 1526 published by The Martyrs Bible Series in 1989, the quincenentary of Tyndale's birth, gives the following statistics for the 538 words of the Book of Revelation *as evidence, with approval, of the overall 90% agreement between Tyndale's and the King James New Testaments.* See *Preface* p 3.

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <i>Tindale (Tyndale) 1526</i> | <i>100%</i> |
| <i>Tindale 1534</i> | <i>99%</i> |
| <i>Coverdale 1535</i> | <i>99%</i> |
| <i>Matthew 1537</i> | <i>99%</i> |
| <i>Cranmer 1539</i> | <i>99%</i> |
| <i>Geneva 1557</i> | <i>96½% Rick Norris, please note</i> |
| <i>Bishops' 1569</i> | <i>97%</i> |
| <i>King James 1611</i> | <i>93½%</i> |

The *Preface* to this edition of Tyndale's New Testament 1526 has this instructive note immediately following the above list, this writer's emphases.

Almost every translation since 1611 is a radical departure from Tindale and the Authorized Version, using a fabricated Greek text based on the modern critics of the Bible.

Like Rick Norris.

Note that no exaggerated claims have been made for the similarity between Tyndale's and the King James New Testaments, simply that they approximately match i.e. 90%. Rick Norris is gnatt-straining again, Matthew 23:24.

There are also places where one of the earlier good Bibles on the KJV-only view's tree of good Bibles have more words than the KJV. Tyndale translated Acts 14:23a as "And when they had ordained elders by election in every congregation" while the KJV has "And when they had ordained them elders in every church." The two words "by election" missing in the KJV were found in all the earlier English Bibles (Tyndale's to Bishops'). Is it possible that the reason "by election" is missing is because of bias on the part of the KJV translators for Episcopal church government?

Again, Rick Norris can't answer his own question, which he uses merely as a mean-spirited device to attack the King James translators. That said, again, the answer to Rick Norris' question is straightforward. See remarks above with respect to the additions the King James translators made to Tyndale's New Testament.

The King James translators did not insert "*by election*" in Acts 14:23 because it isn't needed. Note the following references for the first mention of the term "***ordained***" in the New Testament, how that word is defined and how the ordination process was carried out.

"And he goeth up into a mountain, and calleth unto him whom he would; and they came unto him. And he ordained twelve, that they should be with him, and that he might send them forth to preach" Mark 3:13-14.

"And it came to pass in those days, that he went out into a mountain to pray, and continued all night in prayer to God. And when it was day, he called unto him his disciples: and of them he chose twelve, whom also he named apostles" Luke 6:12-13.

The Lord called who should be "***with him***" after spending a whole night in prayer in order to confirm who should be *chosen*, which is how the word "***ordained***" is defined by scripture itself. See *The Language of the King James Bible Chapter 1 How do you find the Bible's built-in dictionary?* and *In Awe of Thy Word Part 1 How to Understand King James Bible Words* author's emphasis for the principles of how the scripture defines its own terms.

The Lord prayed over his disciples, called all His disciples together and then called the twelve individuals *whom He ordained or chose following earnest prayer*. No election of the kind that Rick Norris tries to imply was involved or even necessary. (The irony of a *Bishops'* translation favouring an apparently *democratic* process for electing elders instead of an Episcopal i.e. *Bishops'* church government appointing them has escaped Rick Norris.)

In Acts 14:23, it is "***the apostles, Barnabus and Paul***" Acts 14:14 who ordain or choose the elders *from among those whom they had taught personally and whom they therefore knew to be the most steadfast disciples* and again, *earnest prayer was part of the ordination*, which as Paul also shows, is *an appointment, not the outcome of an election*. It is regrettable that Rick Norris does not appear to have read the context of Acts 14:23.

"And when they had preached the gospel to that city, and had taught many, they returned again to Lystra, and to Iconium, and Antioch, Confirming the souls of the disciples, and exhorting them to continue in the faith, and that we must through much tribulation enter into the kingdom of God. And when they had ordained them elders in every church, and had prayed with fasting, they commended them to the Lord, on whom they believed" Acts 14:21-23.

"For this cause left I thee in Crete, that thou shouldest set in order the things that are wanting, and ordain elders in every city, as I had appointed thee" Titus 1:5.

Again, no election of any kind is part of the ordination process for church leaders. The King James translators were entirely justified in their wording of Acts 14:23 for the reasons given above and Rick Norris' insinuation about them having been biased about Episcopal church government is entirely misleading.

There are many other differences between the KJV and the earlier good English Bibles. The first authorized Bible (the Great Bible) has over one hundred words in one New Testament book (Acts) and over one hundred words in an Old Testament book (Psalms) that are not found in the third authorized Bible (the KJV).

See again www.biblebelievers.com/believers-org/kjv-stats.html.

The Book of Psalms in the 1611 Holy Bible has 42,704 words.

The Book of Acts in the 1611 Holy Bible has 24,229 words.

The editing process from the pre-1611 Bibles to the 1611 Holy Bible could easily have reduced the word totals for Bible books as lengthy as Psalms and Acts by 100 words. The work of the King James translators was in large part an editing as well as a translation process as they themselves made clear.

See www.jesus-is-lord.com/pref1611.htm *The Translators to the Reader*, this writer's emphases.

For by this means it cometh to pass, that whatsoever is sound already (and all is sound for substance, in one or other of our editions, and the worst of ours far better than their [Catholic] authentic vulgar) the same will shine as gold more brightly, being rubbed and polished.

Gail Riplinger explains the rubbing, polishing, editing process that the King James translators carried out. It did result in the trimming of some words in the 1611 Holy Bible by comparison with the Bishops' Bible. Nevertheless, as Sister Riplinger shows, nothing was lost in the editing process and a great deal was gained.

See *In Awe of Thy Word Part Two How To Understand The King James Bible: From the Bishops' Bible to the KJV* and in particular Chapter 7 *The Little Book*. Note the following extracts from *In Awe of Thy Word* Chapter 7 pp 268, 269, 277-278, author's emphases, that reveal why the 1611 Holy Bible often has fewer words for a particular passage of scripture than the Bishops' Bible and why the 1611 Holy Bible is an improvement on the Bishops' Bible. Rick Norris missed it all.

Although the KJV has a few big or special words, most of its words and translations are shorter than those in new versions [and the Bishops' Bible, as Gail Riplinger shows]. The King James Bible is "a little book" [Revelation 10:2]. The translators forged the shortest, 'quickest' and most piercing path to man's soul...

God's polishing grindstone honed away at the sword of the Spirit so that it remained "purified" and "sharper." The razor's edge pen-points of the translators trimmed off any unnecessary "weight," so that the words 'come right to the point' and are penetrating and pointed. Like Paul, who said,

"...I wrote afore in few words, Whereby, when ye read, ye may understand..." Eph. 3:3, 4

"for I have written a letter unto you in few words..." Heb. 13:22...

*The following charts cite a few of the many places where the KJV found a succinct **One Word** substitute for two or more words. The KJV words are 'Bible' words powerful, yet sharper than any twoedged sword...those who do not believe in Jesus Christ will "**perish**" - a special word for an eternal decision. The unbeliever will be cut "**asunder**." These are blood pressure words, piercing and dividing asunder even to the soul and spirit. The other KJV words are likewise "sharper;" new versions are not.*

"all the law is fulfilled in one word"
[Gal. 5:14]

*A Little Book – One Word
Not More*

21 verses listed:

Matt. 24:51

Matt. 10:21

Matt. 13:28

Matt. 13:32

Matt. 17:1

Matt. 17:22

Matt. 23:12

Matt. 24:35

Matt. 25:1

Mark 4:32

Mark 9:1

Mark 11:13

Mark 12:6

Mark 13:31

Mark 14:22

Mark 16:1

Luke 8:24

Luke 12:3

Luke 13:19

Luke 14:11

Luke 14:30

| <i>Bishops' Bible</i> | <i>KJV</i> |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| <i>in pieces</i> | <i>asunder</i> |
| <i>fathers and mothers</i> | <i>parents</i> |
| <i>The malicious man</i> | <i>[An enemy]</i> |
| <i>make their nests</i> | <i>lodge</i> |
| <i>out of the way</i> | <i>apart</i> |
| <i>were conversant</i> | <i>abode</i> |
| <i>brought low</i> | <i>abased</i> |
| <i>in no wise</i> | <i>not</i> |
| <i>when they had taken</i> | <i>took</i> |
| <i>make their nests</i> | <i>lodge</i> |
| <i>in no wise</i> | <i>not</i> |
| <i>when he had spied</i> | <i>seeing</i> |
| <i>stand in awe of</i> | <i>reverence</i> |
| <i>in no wise</i> | <i>not</i> |
| <i>when he had taken</i> | <i>tooke</i> |
| <i>smelling ointments</i> | <i>spices</i> |
| <i>are lost</i> | <i>perish</i> |
| <i>top of the houses</i> | <i>housetops</i> |
| <i>made nests</i> | <i>lodged</i> |
| <i>brought lowe</i> | <i>abased</i> |
| <i>make an end</i> | <i>finish</i> |

The Bishops' Bible has used 62 words in the above 21 verses. The KJV has used 22. It is indeed "*a little book*" Revelation 10:2 but "*quick, and powerful, and sharper than any twoedged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit, and of the joints and marrow, and is a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart*" Hebrews 4:12 as Gail Riplinger has rightly remarked. *The translators forged the shortest, 'quickest' and most piercing path to man's soul...*

Rick Norris has missed all of that. While carping about supposed omissions in the 1611 Holy Bible, Rick Norris has also failed to inform the reader of the enormity of omissions in modern versions, especially the New International Version.

See www.av1611.org/niv.html *New International Perversion* by Terry Watkins, author's emphases. See biblewebapp.com/niv2011-changes/#summary for differences between the 1984 and 2011 NIVs.

The NIV completely “TAKETH AWAY” 17 verses!

Wonderful and precious verses like:

MATTHEW 18:11: “For the Son of man is come to save that which was lost.”

ACTS 8:37: “And Philip said, If thou believest with all thine heart, thou mayest. And he answered and said, I believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God.”

The NIV PERversion completely “TAKETH AWAY” Matthew 17:21, 18:11, 23:14, Mark 7:16, 9:44, 9:46, 11:26, 15:28, Luke 17:36, 23:17, John 5:4, Acts 8:37, 15:34, 24:7, 28:[29], Romans 16:24 and 1 John 5:7!

After Mark 16:8 the NIV says, “The most reliable early manuscripts and other ancient witnesses do not have Mark 16:9-20.” [1978 Edition. Thanks no doubt to pressure from Bible believers the 1984, 2011 Editions have The earliest manuscripts and some other ancient witnesses do not have Mark 16:9–20] ZAP - There goes another 12 verses! And by the way, that is absolutely untrue! The book, The Last Twelve Verses of the Gospel of Mark, by Dean Burgon contains over 400 pages of documented evidence for Mark 16:9-20, that has never been refuted, nor ever will!

After John 7:52, the NIV reads, “The earliest and most reliable manuscripts and other ancient witnesses do not have John 7:53-8:11.” [1978 Edition. The 1984, 2011 Editions note reads as for Mark 16:9-20. See remarks above] ZAP - There goes another 12 verses!

Matt. 12:47, 21:44, Luke 22:43 and 22:44 are all removed in the footnotes!

That’s 45 complete verses the NIV removes from the text or in the footnotes!

The NIV “TAKETH AWAY” 64,576 words! [The 2011 NIV has approximately 1600 more words than the 1984 NIV, which makes only a slight difference to the overall total of words that the NIVs remove from the 1611 Holy Bible, by means of corrupt sources, NOT by forging the shortest, ‘quickest’ and most piercing path to man’s soul.]

Don’t look for the “mercyseat” in the NIV - GONE!

Don’t look for “Jehovah” in the NIV - GONE!

Don’t look for the “Godhead” in the NIV - GONE!

The NIV removes wonderful Bible “terms” like remission, regeneration, impute, propitiation, new testament and many others!

Despite God’s clear warnings about “taking away” from His words - the NIV removes 64,576 words! Over 8 percent of God’s word is “TAKETH AWAY”!

That equals REMOVING the books of Ruth, Esther, Ecclesiastes, Song of Solomon, Lamentations, Hosea, Joel, Amos, Obadiah, Jonah, Micah, Nahum, Habakkuk, Zephaniah, Haggai, Malachi, Colossians, I Thessalonians, II Thessalonians, I Timothy, II Timothy, Titus, Philemon, James, I Peter, II Peter, I John, II John, III John, Jude and more - COMBINED!!! The equivalence of ripping out OVER 30 BOOKS of the Bible!

In case you think it’s insignificant words like “thee” and “thou”? The NIV removes major portions of at least 147 verses! Here’s a small (very small) sampling of words removed in the NIV!...

See Bro. Watkins’ site for details. Rick Norris has failed to inform his readers of any of these NIV corruptions.

Note further what the modern versions, in particular the NIVs, do with the comforting word “Amen” at the conclusion of most New Testament Books, about which Rick Norris says nothing.

See **Appendix – “Amen”** at the conclusion of this study and note that the remarks in the **Appendix** on revival in the UK and the effect of the modern versions further reinforce Dr Grady’s statements about the 1901 emergence of the ASV and the counterfeit modern tongues movement. Again, Rick Norris has no constructive comment to make.

While the impressive number of illogical arguments may give some the impression that Grady has made an “irrefutable” case, the fact remains that even an infinite number of fallacies would not prove any view to be valid. Grady tried to assume the status of a scholar who logically proved his case while at the same time he in effect repudiated bona fide scholarship as the domain of unbelief. He cannot have it both ways. In the name of defending truth, it appears that Grady has been careless with the truth by using fallacies, false claims, and unfounded speculations as supposed proof for his view. Unsubstantiated speculations and illogical claims do not prove the validity of the KJV-only view. In the opinion of this review, this book will only further confuse the confused or uninformed.

Rick Norris has here indulged in yet “*more great swelling words of vanity*” 2 Peter 2:18, “*words of falsehood*” Isaiah 59:13 and “*clouds...without water*” Jude 12 entirely lacking in substance.

Rick Norris refers to bona fide scholarship, so-called, but fails to identify any such scholarship, so-called.

Rick Norris further accuses Dr Grady of repeated carelessness, manifold fallacies, false claims and unfounded speculations but thus far Rick Norris’ review of *Final Authority* has been shown to be bogus and “*a thing of nought*” Amos 6:13 and indeed will be shown to be such in its entirety. See remarks above with respect to Dr Grady’s descriptions of Bible critics with terms such as *conniving*.

Rick Norris refers to folk whom he insists are likely to be *further* confused or uninformed* by the contents of Dr Grady’s book *Final Authority*.

These folk must therefore be confused and uninformed* *already* but Rick Norris fails identify them. Rick Norris’ failure in that respect is typical of 1611 Holy Bible critics who insist that the 1611 Holy Bible has confused many folk *who nevertheless remain anonymous*.

*An apposite description of Rick Norris with respect to “*the scripture of truth*” Daniel 10:21 the 1611 Holy Bible.

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php *Reply to Di-Vietro's attack on Gail Riplinger - Flotsam Flush* pp 307-311 and note the following extracts.

What is even *more* instructive for the present work is the apparent ecumenical agreement with respect to multiple authorities for ‘improving’ the 1611 Holy Bible (either by ‘correction’ or ‘clarification’) between a no-heller *outside* the church, a Reformed teacher of Koine Greek perceived to have been *inside* the church and *Dr DiVietro and their agreement that the KJB causes confusion and ambiguity...*

It should be understood that neither the no-heller nor the Reformed Calvinist was *ever* able to identify *anyone* who was confused by the 1611 Holy Bible and *neither does Dr DiVietro*, who, as indicated above, does not identify either a false doctrine or a rogue denomination that may be traced to the English Text of the 1611 Holy Bible interpreted independently of ‘the Hebrew and the Greek.’

The above ecumenical gang of self-appointed spokespersons for the nameless multitude supposedly confused by the 1611 Holy Bible has now been augmented by Rick Norris.

Sam Clemens aka Mark Twain was more honest than any of them.

See:

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mark_Twain

www.brainyquote.com/quotes/quotes/m/marktwain153875.html.

It ain't those parts of the Bible that I can't understand that bother me, it is the parts that I do understand.

Rick Norris should therefore reflect carefully upon Isaiah’s admonition to Bible critics from “*the King of Jacob*” Himself.

“Produce your cause, saith the LORD; bring forth your strong reasons, saith the King of Jacob...Behold, ye are of nothing, and your work of nought: an abomination is he that chooseth you” Isaiah 41:21, 24.

The truth is consistent.

Rick Norris has failed to identify any ‘truth’ as ***“the scripture of truth”*** Daniel 10:21 as a single extant document between two covers.

Rick Norris doesn’t know what ‘the truth’ is, let alone anything about its consistency.

As Job remarked of Rick Norris and his fellow-travellers ***“But ye are forgers of lies, ye are all physicians of no value”*** Job 13:4.

Perhaps KJV-only advocates should consider what the KJV says about the use of false claims and double standards.

Rick Norris should consider what the 1611 Holy Bible says about false accusers with no standards at all. There is no ‘perhaps’ about it.

“Therefore the ungodly shall not stand in the judgment, nor sinners in the congregation of the righteous” Psalm 1:5.

“Keep thee far from a false matter” (Exod 23:7). “No lie is of the truth” (1John 2:21). “Thou shalt not raise a false report” (Exod 23:1a). “Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbour” (Exod 20:16). “But if ye have respect of persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors” (James 2:9).

“And he saith unto him, Out of thine own mouth will I judge thee, thou wicked servant...” Luke 19:22.

Concerning ***“false witness”*** Exodus 20:16, ***“a false report”*** Exodus 23:1, ***“a false matter”*** Exodus 23:7 and in Rick Norris’ case ***“the refuge of lies”*** Isaiah 28:17, in his bogus review of *Final Authority* by Dr Grady:

- ***Rick Norris has lied about the purpose of the book Final Authority.***

The book is not about the so-called KJV-only view. It is about showing that the 1611 Holy Bible is ***“the scripture of truth”*** Daniel 10:21 for the English-speaking people, *Preface* p v.

- ***Rick Norris has lied about Dr Grady ‘borrowing’ material from Dr Ruckman.***

Dr Grady’s work is an individual effort of one who has been ***“taught of the LORD”*** Isaiah 54:13.

- ***Rick Norris has lied about Dr Grady and the Textus Receptus.***

Dr Grady discusses the various editions of the Textus Receptus in his book *Given by Inspiration* pp 43-44 and outlines the dilemma faced by anyone who forsakes the authority of the 1611 Holy Bible in order to become a ‘TR man.’

- ***Rick Norris has lied about Dr Grady making personal attacks against critics of the 1611 Holy Bible.***

Dr Grady, for example, has quite rightly rebuked Robert Sumner for lying about Erasmus’ supposed ignorance of the Alexandrian manuscripts. Erasmus certainly knew of the corrupt nature of these manuscripts because he knew of their corrupt readings that were perpetuated in Jerome’s Latin Vulgate as Dr Grady states, p 112, but which Rick Norris has neglected to mention. See ***The 1611 Holy Bible versus Bible Critic Rick Norris’ Bogus Review of The Language of the King James Bible*** by Gail Riplinger with respect to ***THE TWO PARALLEL STREAMS OF BIBLES***. See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php *The Holy Bible versus Bible Critic Rick Norris* p 17.

- ***Rick Norris has lied about Dr Grady's supposed failure to show that the modern Bible versions have produced no spiritual fruit worthy of the name.***

Chapter 5 of *Final Authority* addresses modern efforts to excise Mark 16:9-20 from **“the scripture of truth”** Daniel 10:21. Rick Norris has misled his readers in that respect because, unlike Dr Grady, Rick Norris is apparently too timid even to mention the blatantly satanic attacks on Mark 16:9-20 that Dr Grady has described in considerable detail in his Chapter 5 together with the overwhelming evidence in favour of Mark 16:9-20. This evidence is still denied by modern versions e.g. the 1984, 2011 NIVs. The efforts that persist to this day to excise Mark 16:9-20 from **“the scripture of truth”** Daniel 10:21 are part of the corrupt ‘fruit’ of the modern bible versions. See the additional material from Drs Gipp and Ruckman that reinforce that conclusion and which Rick Norris has signally failed to address.

- ***Rick Norris has lied about Dr Grady supposedly showing “respect of persons” James 2:9 in his perception of the King James translators and Erasmus versus his views on Westcott and Hort.***

Rick Norris has neglected to inform his readers that Dr Grady states on p 214 of *Final Authority*, the *second* page of Chapter 14 that he had carefully read the biographies of Westcott and Hort written by their sons. Dr Grady’s conclusion from that research is that Westcott and Hort were unsaved liberals and Jesuit infiltrators fully committed to Rome’s avowed aim of subverting the 1611 Holy Bible and replacing it with their corrupt Catholic text. It is therefore Rick Norris who could rightly be charged with showing **“respect of persons”** e.g. Jesuit infiltrators.

- ***Rick Norris has lied about Dr Grady's citations from the work of Benjamin Wilkinson.***

Rick Norris doesn’t cite what Benjamin Wilkinson said. See the following example as cited by Dr Grady in *Final Authority* pp 254. Emphases are Dr Grady’s.

See again kjv.benabraham.com/html/chapter-10.html *Our Authorized Bible Vindicated Chapter 10 Revision at Last!*

When the English New Testament Committee met, it was immediately apparent what was going to happen. Though for ten long years the iron rule of silence kept the public ignorant of what was going on behind closed doors, the story is now known.

Benjamin Wilkinson’s association with Seventh Day Adventism is not the issue. The issue is his warnings about **“many, which corrupt the word of God”** 2 Corinthians 2:17.

Rick Norris is unmindful of such warnings, being in that respect like Israel’s lax guardians of whom Isaiah despaired.

“His watchmen are blind: they are all ignorant, they are all dumb dogs, they cannot bark; sleeping, lying down, loving to slumber” Isaiah 56:10.

- ***Rick Norris has lied about Dr Grady's supposed false witness against the NKJV.***

Rick Norris highlights *one* verse from the Song of Solomon, Song of Solomon 5:12, where the NKJV matches the Geneva Bible with the reading **“like doves”** instead of **“as the eyes of doves”** AV1611 but fails to mention that Dr Grady lists in *Final Authority* pp 306-310 no fewer than **36** references from the Song of Solomon, *including* Song of Solomon 5:12 where the NKJV – supposedly a ‘King James’ version, *not* a ‘Geneva’ version – *agrees with* the RSV, NASV *against* the 1611 Holy Bible; Song of Solomon 1:4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, 2:5, 7, 9, 16, 17, 3:2, 9, 4:1, 3, 5, 9, 5:2, 5, 12, 6:2, 7, 8, 12, 13, 7:1, 4, 5a, 5b, 7, 8, 9, 12, 8:1, 9, 10.

Yet again, Rick Norris should therefore reflect carefully upon King Solomon’s wisdom. See remarks above on *Final Authority* p 59 and the emergence in the year 1901 of the twin evils of the ASV and the modern tongues movement.

“A false balance is abomination to the LORD: but a just weight is his delight” Proverbs 11:1.

Again, the reader has nothing upon which to delight in Rick Norris' current effort, though again this writer suggests that there is much to abominate about it.

- ***Rick Norris has lied about Dr Grady's allusions to the work of Tyndale and the supposed flouting of authority by the King James translators in adding to Tyndale's New Testament.***

The site www.biblebelievers.com/believers-org/kjv-stats.html shows that the 1611 Holy Bible is a work of perfection "***purified seven times***" Psalm 12:6.

The King James translators added to Tyndale's New Testament *on the authority of their king*. "***Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?***" Ecclesiastes 8:4.

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *The KJB Story 1611-2011 Abridged* pp 6-7.

The edition of Tyndale's New Testament 1526 published by The Martyrs Bible Series in 1989, the quincenary of Tyndale's birth, gives detailed statistics for the 538 words of Revelation *as evidence, with approval, of the overall 90% agreement between Tyndale's and the King James New Testaments*. See Preface p 3.

Dr Grady's allusion to Tyndale's work compared to the 1611 Holy Bible is quite in order. Rick Norris has no higher authority than his own opinion.

- ***Rick Norris has lied about the departures of the 1611 Holy Bible from the pre-1611 Bibles.***

The departures of the 1611 Holy Bible from the pre-1611 Bibles are not arbitrary alterations. They are in fact God-guided refinements according to God's sevenfold purification process of Psalm 12:6-7.

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *The purification of the Lord's word – Psalm 12:6-7*.

See also Gail Riplinger's analyses of the wording of the Bishops' Bible versus that of the 1611 Holy Bible under the heading *A Little Book – One Word* and **Table 1 Stage-wise Purification of Scripture from Tyndale to 1611, Examples**, using the examples that Rick Norris himself picked on, that shows the steady progression of "***the scripture of truth***" Daniel 10:21 to the Text of the 1611 Holy Bible as the 16th century English Reformation advances.

Conclusions

Rick Norris has lied *10 times in a row in major respects* about Dr Grady, his book *Final Authority* and “*the scripture of truth*” Daniel 10:21 the 1611 Holy Bible. See summary list above.

Rick Norris has yet again revealed that he has *no* Bible that is “*all scripture*” that “*is given by inspiration of God*” 2 Timothy 3:16 as a single extant document between two covers and in turn that he has *no* authority higher than his own opinion.

Rick Norris has repeatedly engaged in gnat-straining Matthew 23:24 with “*great swelling words of vanity*” 2 Peter 2:18 to try to discredit Dr Grady and his book *Final Authority* while carelessly bypassing large tracts of information that Dr Grady has documented in support of the 1611 Holy Bible against its critics. Rick Norris has therefore disproved none of Dr Grady’s documentation.

In that respect, Rick Norris’ comments against Dr Grady and his book *Final Authority* are largely characterised by superficiality, insinuation and speculation, together with false accusations against Dr Grady and his work and total disdain for “*the weightier matters of the law, judgment, mercy, and faith*” Matthew 23:23 that Dr Grady reveals about the degeneration of the USA. Dr Sam Gipp, a most astute observer of the spiritual state of the USA, has reinforced Dr Grady’s revelations.

Where Rick Norris does raise specific points e.g. with respect to differences between the pre-1611 Holy Bibles and the 1611 Holy Bible, he reveals that he is clueless about God’s sevenfold purification process for “*the scripture of truth*” Daniel 10:21 and has therefore wholly misconstrued Psalm 12:6-7. See again:

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *The purification of the Lord’s word – Psalm 12:6-7*
www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php *Seven Stage Purification Process - Oil Refinery - in answer to the AV1611 Critics.*

See also **Table 1 Stage-wise Purification of Scripture from Tyndale to 1611, Examples** to follow.

In sum, Rick Norris has produced yet another bogus review of a Bible-believing work.

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php *The 1611 Holy Bible versus Bible Critic Rick Norris* with respect to *The Language of the King James Bible* by Gail Riplinger.

The prophet Daniel has succinctly passed “*righteous judgment*” John 7:24 on Rick Norris’ bogus review of the book *Final Authority*.

“**TEKEL; Thou art weighed in the balances, and art found wanting**” Daniel 5:27.

Table 1 Stage-wise Purification of Scripture from Tyndale to 1611, Examples follows. Note that black type has been used for **Table 1** for enhanced clarity.

By inspection, **Table 1** gives a balanced picture of the overall progression to the Text of the 1611 Holy Bible as the 16th century English Reformation advances and reveals that Rick Norris has misled his readers with respect to the 12 verses that he cited that **Table 1** lists.

King Solomon well described what **Table 1** depicts, overall. Rick Norris should take careful note instead of repeatedly seeking “*to utter error against the LORD*” Isaiah 32:6 as he has done throughout this bogus review against Dr Grady and his book *Final Authority*.

See:

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/version-comparison.php *English Reformation to Last Days Apostasy - To and From the AV1611*
www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *What is the Bible? - AV1611 Overview* pp 48ff.

“***But the path of the just is as the shining light, that shineth more and more unto the perfect day***” Proverbs 4:18.

Table 1 Stage-wise Purification of Scripture from Tyndale to 1611, Examples

Differs from AV1611 Agrees with AV1611

| Verse | Tyndale | Coverdale | Great | Bishops' | Geneva | 1611, 2011+ AV1611 |
|------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Mark 11:26 | OMIT | OMIT | OMIT | <i>But yf you do not forgeue: neither wyll your father, which is in heauen, forgeue you your trespasses</i> | <i>For if you will not forgiue, your Father which is in heauen, will not pardon you your trespasses</i> | <i>But if ye do not forgive, neither will your Father which is in heaven forgive your trespasses</i> |
| Mark 15:3 | OMIT | OMIT | OMIT | OMIT | OMIT | <i>...but he answered nothing</i> |
| Luke 17:36 | OMIT | OMIT | <i>(Two in the felde, the one shalbe receaued, and the other forsaken.)</i> | <i>Two [men] shalbe in the felde: the one shalbe receaued, & the other forsake</i> | <i>Two shalbe in the felde: one shalbe receiued, and another shalbe left</i> | <i>Two men shall be in the field; the one shall be taken, and the other left</i> |
| John 8:6 | OMIT | OMIT | OMIT | OMIT | OMIT | <i>...as though he heard them not</i> |
| John 8:9 | OMIT | OMIT | OMIT | <i>...beyng accused of their owne consciences...euen vnto the last...</i> | <i>...being accused by their owne conscience...euen to the last...</i> | <i>...being convicted by their own conscience...even unto the last...</i> |
| John 19:38 | OMIT | OMIT | <i>...(he came therefore, and toke the body of Iesus)</i> | <i>...He came therefore, and toke the dye of Iesus</i> | <i>...He came then and tooke Iesus body</i> | <i>...He came therefore, and took the body of Iesus</i> |
| Acts 14:23 | <i>...ordened...by eleccion...</i> | <i>...ordeyned...by eleccion...</i> | <i>...ordened...by eleccyon...</i> | <i>...ordeyned...by election...</i> | <i>...ordained...by election...</i> | <i>...ordained...</i> |

Table 1 Stage-wise Purification of Scripture from Tyndale to 1611, Examples, Continued

 Differs from AV1611

 Agrees with AV1611

| Verse | Tyndale | Coverdale | Great | Bishops' | Geneva | 1611, 2011+ AV1611 |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| 2 Cor. 2:17 | <i>...choppe and change...</i> | <i>...choppe & change...</i> | <i>...choppe and change...</i> | <i>...chop & change...</i> | <i>...make marchandise of...</i> | <i>...corrupt...</i> |
| James 4:6 | OMIT | OMIT | <i>...(wherfore he sayeth: God resisteth the proude, but geueth grace vnto the lowely.)</i> | <i>and therefore sayth, God resisteth the proude, but geueth grace vnto ye lowlie</i> | <i>...and therefore sayth, God resisteth the proude, and giueth grace to the humble</i> | <i>...Wherefore he saith, God resisteth the proud, but giveth grace unto the humble</i> |
| 1 John 2:23 | OMIT | OMIT | <i>...(he that knowlegeth the sonne, hath the father also)</i> | <i>...[But he that knowledgeth the sonne, hath the father also.]</i> | OMIT | <i>...(but) he that acknowledgeth the Son hath the Father also</i> |
| Rev. 18:23 | OMIT | OMIT | <i>(and candell lyght shalbe nomore burnynge in the)...</i> | <i>And the lyght of a candle shall shyne no more in thee...</i> | <i>And the light of a candle shall shine no more in thee...</i> | <i>And the light of a candle shall shine no more at all in thee...</i> |
| Rev. 21:26 | OMIT | OMIT | <i>(And into it shal they brynge the glory & honoure of the nacions.)</i> | <i>And they shall bring the glory and honor of the gentiles vnto it</i> | <i>And the glorie, and honour of the Gentiles shall be brought vnto it</i> | <i>And they shall bring the glory and honour of the nations into it</i> |
| WITH AV1611 | 1/12 | 1/12 | 7/12 | 9/12 | 7/12 | 12/12 |

Notes:

1. **Table 1** shows convergence to the AV1611 Text as the 16th century English Reformation progresses, despite anomalies in the Geneva Bible.
2. **Table 1** shows that the AV1611 has matching pre-1611 support for 9 of the 12 passages studied.
3. **Table 1** agrees with earlier similar studies e.g. www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *What is the Bible? - AV1611 Overview* pp 48ff.

Appendix – “Amen”

Introduction

The charge that the 1611 Holy Bible makes unwarranted omissions from the text of scripture without any reference to the grievous omissions from “*the scripture of truth*” Daniel 10:21 by the modern versions, whether in their texts or footnotes, merits a brief study on the word “*Amen*” as found at the end of most of the Books of the New Testament. That study now follows.

“Amen” and New Testament Book Endings

24 of the 27 New Testament Books end with the word “*Amen.*”

The New Testament Books that don’t end with the word “*Amen*” are the Books of Acts, James, 3 John.

These Books are most likely exceptions because Acts and James are transitional into the Church Age and 3 John appears to be transitional into Jude and in turn into the time of “*great tribulation*” Matthew 24:21. See 3 John 9, 10 and Jude 4. Elsewhere in the New Testament, “*Amen*” i.e. so be it, is clearly right and proper in the concluding context of the 24 Books that end with “*Amen.*”

Consider now the modern versions:

The 1984, 2011 NIVs have “*Amen*” at the end of 7 New Testament Books: Romans, 1 Corinthians, Galatians, Philippians, 2 Peter, Jude, Revelation.

The 1984, 2011 NIVs therefore cut “*Amen*” out of the endings of 17 of the 27 New Testament Books.

The NKJV retains “*Amen*” in the 24 New Testament Books that end with “*Amen*” but its editors are willing for the word “*Amen*” to be cut out according to the reader’s textual preference, *Preface* p vii.

That “*ready to halt*” Psalm 38:17 approach, with 1 Kings 18:21, reminds this writer of the song *Sit Down You’re Rockin’ the Boat* that was written in 1950 to the effect that if you fell overboard, the Devil would drag you under.

See:


en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sit_Down,_You%27re_Rockin%27_the_Boat
www.metrolyrics.com/sit-down-youre-rockin-the-boat-lyrics-don-henley.html.

Those lyrics don’t quite apply in the modern version boat, of course. The Devil is already on board, not just as a passenger but as captain, master-at-arms and coxswain. Modern version supporters are therefore too scared, too sick or too somnolent* to rock his boat. That part of the lyrics applies, therefore.

*See remarks on Rome’s ecumenical bible projects and Robert Militello’s succinct evaluation of Jesuit success in those projects.

“They have put to bed America’s Protestants.”

See www.avpublications.com/avnew/home.html *New Age Versions* by Gail Riplinger for detailed insight into the satanic nature of the modern bible versions.



“Amen,” Futility and Revival

Some saved folk wonder why prayer for revival, even local revival let alone national revival, is not being answered, especially when they are most vehement with their *Amens* at the end of each such prayer.

The UK hasn't experienced a national revival for 130 years apart from the extenuating circumstances of the two world wars i.e. via external pressure rather than grass-roots ministry as in, say, the times of Wycliffe and the Lollards.

See *We Have A Guardian* by W. B. Grant www.covpub.co.uk/index.php.

It appears that the UK had experienced national revival for eight centuries, from the 1100s to the mid-1800s, though it was brutally suppressed by Rome before the 16th century English Protestant Reformation. See *The History of the New Testament Church* Volumes 1, 2 by Dr Peter S. Ruckman and *Operation World* by P. J. Johnstone p 53. The edition of Johnstone's book that this writer has consulted was published in 1979. Johnstone stated that national revival for the 20th century was overdue and urged prayer for it. The 20th century came and went with no national revival in the UK, the first such non-occurrence in 800 years, in spite of the proliferation of modern versions, especially post-1960, interestingly and ominously coinciding with the ungodly Swinging Sixties, so-called.



The reason for the futile *Amens* is not hard to see.

“Amen,” “the king’s word” and “the royal law”

The law now is ***“the king’s word”*** 2 Samuel 24:4 with Ecclesiastes 8:4 ***“the word of a king”*** and ***“the royal law”*** James 2:8.

See:

[www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ Royal Law – James 2:8](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/Royal%20Law%20James%202%3A8)

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php *The 1611 Holy Bible versus Rick Norris* pp 1-3.

To despise ***“the royal law”*** James 2:8 by substituting something else for it e.g. modern versions or ancient originals, so-called, is to despise God, regardless of any profession to the contrary by vehement modern version Amen-ers:

USA critics of ***“the royal law”*** James 2:8, for any reason, are therefore spiritual Benedict Arnolds en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Benedict_Arnold, whether or not they profess to use the 1611 Holy Bible.

Equivalent UK critics of ***“the royal law”*** James 2:8 are spiritual Guy Fawkeses.

See en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Guy_Fawkes.

Note that before attempting to execute the gunpowder plot, Guy (Guido) Fawkes and his co-conspirators received the sacrament of the mass, so-called, in May 1605 from Fr. Gerard SJ, who wrote that they were all very religious men. In effect, they were like any Mohammedan suicide bomber, child molester, habitual subversive or other assorted sincere Qur'an believers. Anyone who has read the Qur'an knows that nothing has been exaggerated. See:

www.chick.com/reading/tracts/1058/1058_01.asp *Is Allah Like You?*

www.chick.com/reading/tracts/1011/1011_01.asp *The Sky Lighter*

www.chick.com/reading/tracts/1081/1081_01.asp *Camel's In The Tent*

www.chick.com/reading/tracts/1077/1077_01.asp *Mama's Girls*.

See also *Jesuit Plots from Elizabethan to Modern* [1930s] *Times* by Albert Close p 144. Close's book is available from The Protestant Truth Society in the UK or from The Canadian Protestant League.

See:

www.protestant-truth.org/ministry_shop.php
www.canadianprotestant.org/?page_id=602
calltodecision.com/jpab.htm for the US.

Interestingly, Close's book is not readily available from Amazon and it appears from Google that it is not widely advertised by any large-scale book distributor. That is a strange business, or maybe not, as Paul warned:

"Lest Satan should get an advantage of us: for we are not ignorant of his devices" 2 Corinthians 2:11.

The reason for the futile *Amens* therefore is the rebellion by *Christians* against ***"the king's word"*** 2 Samuel 24:4 as King Solomon has solemnly warned.

"Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?" Ecclesiastes 8:4.

"Amen," God's Power and Authority

In sum, God has invested power and authority in *one* Book and in *one* Book *only*.

It is in more than one language of course but the 1611 Holy Bible is God's *royal* standard. No other book is, whether a pre-1611 or post-1611 bible. Spiritually, any person, saved or lost, who thinks otherwise is an anarchist. See remarks above about Benedict Arnold and Guy (Guido) Fawkes.

For further explanation, see:

store-hicb8.mybigcommerce.com/content/bbb/2013/Aug.pdf *A Brief Analysis of Missionary Authority* by Jonathan Richmond, director of the Bible Baptist Mission Board p 6
purebiblepress.com/bible/ Pure Bible Press.

As King David said:

"I will worship toward thy holy temple, and praise thy name for thy lovingkindness *and for thy truth: for thou hast magnified thy word above all thy name*" Psalm 138:2.

"Amen, and Amen"

God will honour the *Amens* for revival when both He and His ***"royal law"*** James 2:8 are honoured, not otherwise.

"Wherefore the LORD God of Israel saith, I said indeed that thy house, and the house of thy father, should walk before me for ever: but now the LORD saith, Be it far from me; for them that honour me I will honour, and they that despise me shall be lightly esteemed" 1 Samuel 2:30.

"He that turneth away his ear from hearing the law, even his prayer shall be abomination" Proverbs 28:9.

The Lord's people should therefore pay close attention to the Lord's exhortation through the prophet Jeremiah if they really want revival, even on a small scale, and that includes keeping the *Biblical* New Testament *Amens* where they should be, if the Lord's people want God to honour *their* *Amens*.

"Thus saith the LORD; Stand in the court of the LORD'S house, and speak unto all the cities of Judah, which come to worship in the LORD'S house, all the words that I command thee to speak unto them; diminish not a word" Jeremiah 26:2.

Finally, therefore, as King David said:

"Blessed be the LORD God of Israel from everlasting, and to everlasting. Amen, and Amen" Psalm 41:13.