

Key Biblical Issues – An Overview

Introduction

This overview addresses the following key Biblical issues:

- *Jack T Chick on Witnessing.* The *primus inter pares* or first among equals of key Biblical issues is James 1:21 **“Wherefore lay apart all filthiness and superfluity of naughtiness, and receive with meekness the engrafted word, which is able to save your souls.”** That is **“without murmurings and disputings”** Philippians 2:14 **“as good stewards of the manifold grace of God”** 1 Peter 4:10. Veteran tract publisher Jack T. Chick by means of *“an awesome verse”* challenges one and all on good stewardship of **“the gospel of Christ”** Romans 1:16 **“not seeking mine own profit, but the profit of many, that they may be saved”** 1 Corinthians 10:33.
- *The Godhead.* Should 1 John 5:7 as it reads in the AV1611 be in the Bible? The Apostle John thought so. See why.
- *Propitiation.* Propitiation is one of the most precious words for the Christian. See why.
- **“The royal law”** James 2:8. The King James Bible is King of the UK and the Old Dominions. **“Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?”** Ecclesiastes 8:4.
- **“The book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16. The Christian has *one* Book according to **“the royal law”** James 2:8 not **“many books”** Ecclesiastes 12:12. See why.
- *AV1611 – Absolute Authority.* The AV1611 is **“the book of the purchase”** Jeremiah 32:12.
- *God’s Standard.* The AV1611 is God’s Standard because it is *regenerative* not *degenerative*.
- *AV1611 Advanced Revelations.* The 1611 Holy Bible *alone* gives these *advanced* revelations.
- *Purification of the Lord’s words.* This study shows Psalm 12:6, 7 in action. See also next item.
- **“The words of the LORD...purified seven times”** Psalm 12:6 was God’s way of perfecting **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16. See how.
- *Seven Aspects of ‘in the Greek.’* It doesn’t exist because God has finished with it. See why.
- **“The cry of Sodom”** Genesis 18:20 is the death-knell of **“Whoso despiseth the word”** Proverbs 13:13 **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16. See why.
- *The Sovereign Power of Darkness.* The words *sovereign* and *sovereignty* should *not* be applied to God. See why.
- Courtesy of Bro. Al Cuppett *The 1611 Holy Bible versus Corrupt Manuscript Ascension* gives the big picture. Don’t miss it! Note that the inclusion of Wycliffe’s Bible among the corruptions stems from the corruption of Wycliffe’s Bible after his death. See *Wycliffe vs Cloud* by Gail Riplinger www.avpublications.com/avnew/downloads/PDF/WycliffVSCloud.pdf.
- *Revival – A Seven-Point Plan.* Even at **“the eleventh hour”** Matthew 20:6, 9 there’s nothing to lose by implementing it.
- *James White and the ‘King James Only Controversy’ so-called*

James White is one of the most notorious opponents of the 1611 Holy Bible in the USA. Some years ago I was given a copy of his book *The King James Only Controversy* that he wrote in order to attack the 1611 Holy Bible. White signally failed in his attack but nevertheless helpfully brought together most of the attacks by modern version supporters on the 1611 Holy Bible. I have answered White’s attacks on the 1611 Holy Bible in a review that has been uploaded here:

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php *KJO Review Full Text*. That review shows that James White is a habitual liar with respect to **“the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation”** Ephesians 1:13.

I have included a summary note of that review that I forwarded to the gentleman who gave me White’s book. That gentleman’s name has been withheld because the summary note has been included in other works on the Time for Truth site.

Also included in response to James White and his fellow travellers are:

- A citation that contrasts the **“lowliness of mind”** Philippians 2:4 of the King James translators with **“Traitors, heady, highminded”** 2 Timothy 3:4 like James White
- *Let the King James translators speak.* The King James translators themselves rebuked their detractors and reassured their readers.
- An example from *KJO Review Full Text* citing John 3:13 that shows how James White is among those **“Whose mouth speaketh vanity, and their right hand is a right hand of falsehood”** Psalm 144 8
- *Seven Purifications of the Textus Receptus.* This study shows again that the 1611 Holy Bible is **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16 and the *final* Textus Receptus.
- *English Reformation to Last Days Apostasy.* This study shows the ecumenical oneness of the modern versions and independently supports Bro. Cuppett’s analysis. See above.
- *Yes, the King James Bible IS Perfect.* It is the critics who are imperfect, for that reason.
- *The Incompetence of James White.* English-born Greek-speaking Bro. Mario Symeou provides proof positive of James White’s incompetence as a translator.
- *Pure Foreign Language Bibles*

Pure Bible Press is a missionary organisation based in the USA that aims to provide the text of the 1611 Holy Bible in pure foreign language Bible translations. In contrast to critics of the 1611 Holy Bible, see point 2 of my conclusions in the summary note on James White, Pure Bible Press is genuinely missionary-minded. Pure Bible Press has set out its basis for foreign language Bible translation on its site but missionary director Jonathan Richmond has independently astutely summarised that basis in the inserted article *A Brief Analysis of Missionary Authority*.

- *Extracts from In Awe of Thy Word by Gail Riplinger*

Gail Riplinger’s work on the whole Bible version issue is both ground-breaking and unsurpassed for its quality, precision and detail. Naturally, for that reason, Sister Gail has attracted many bitter and entrenched foes, Christian fundamentalists foremost amongst them. They are driven by spirits other than **“The Spirit of the Lord GOD”** Isaiah 61:1. Those spirits didn’t die with the swine Mark 5:13 and they are known. **“My name is Legion: for we are many”** Mark 5:9.

The extracts are:

“The Bible’s built-in dictionary” on the *scriptural* method on how to **“Understandest thou what thou readest”** Acts 8:30 versus the *unscriptural* false trails that lead nowhere

“Haunted Greek graveyards” on the form of **“The words of the LORD”** Psalm 12:6 as they are *now*.

“What would Jesus do?” on how the Lord Jesus Christ has provided **“All scripture”** that **“is given by inspiration of God”** 2 Timothy 3:16 for **“all nations, and kindreds, and people, and tongues”** Revelation 7:9 *now*.

The Lord has said **“I have not spoken in secret, in a dark place of the earth: I said not unto the seed of Jacob, Seek ye me in vain: I the LORD speak righteousness, I declare things that are right”** Isaiah 45:19. It is therefore hoped that what follows will assure the reader that God has fulfilled Isaiah 45:19 by means of the 1611 Holy Bible with respect to **“The words of the LORD”** Psalm 12:6 and **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16.

Jack T. Chick on Witnessing www.chick.com/default.asp

A special message from Jack Chick . . .



CHICK PUBLICATIONS

P.O. Box 662,
Chino, CA 91710

Editorial Offices:
8780 ARCHIBALD,
CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

Dear Ones in Christ:

As a new Christian, I was shocked. It was 1948, and I had just been saved listening to Charles E. Fuller's Old Fashioned Revival Hour. I ran into some high school buddies at the Church of the Open Door in Los Angeles. I thought they had just gotten saved, too. But then came the surprise.

They told me they had known the Lord since they were 9 and 10 years old. I said, "Jim, when we were playing handball back in High School, why didn't you tell me about Jesus? I could have been killed in New Guinea or in Okinawa, and would have gone straight to hell." He replied, "Jack, we talked it over, whether or not to tell you about Jesus, and decided you would be the last guy on earth to receive Him." I was speechless. I felt betrayed. If I had died, my blood would have been on their hands.

I wonder how many souls *I've* overlooked and neglected: neighbors, friends, etc. It's an awesome thought. Ezekiel 3:18 says, "When I say unto the wicked, Thou shalt surely die; and thou givest him not warning, nor speakest to warn the wicked from his wicked way, to save his life; the same wicked man shall die in his iniquity; but his blood will I require at thine hand." That is an awesome verse.

May God give us a greater burden to reach a dying world, and to remember we will give an account at the Judgment Seat of Christ for what we did down here.

Your brother in Christ,

Jack T. Chick, President
Chick Publications, Inc.

“The Godhead”

Introduction

The word **“Godhead”** occurs *three* times in scripture; Acts 17:29, Romans 1:20, Colossians 2:9. Each occurrence of the word **“Godhead”** has a special significance with respect to the word itself. 1 John 5:7 identifies the three Persons of the **“Godhead.”**

“For there are three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one” 1 John 5:7.

Fundamentalists have disputed 1 John 5:7 but Gail Riplinger, *New Age Bible Versions* p 381, states that *The testimony of early writers, the great mass of Old Latin and Vulgate manuscripts, the necessities of Greek syntax and the cry of the priesthood of believers all mandate its inclusion in the text...*

Versions: Old Syriac A.D. 170, Old Latin A.D. 200, Vulgate: 4th and 5th century, Italic: 4th and 5th century.

Writers: Tatian A.D. 150, Tertullian A.D. 200, Cyprian A.D. 225, Athanasius A.D. 350, Priscillian A.D. 350, Vadmarium A.D. 380, Cassian A.D. 435, Jerome A.D. 450, Cassiodorus A.D. 480, Vigilus A.D. 484, Victor-Vita A.D. 489, Fulgentius A.D. 533, PS Athanasius A.D. 550.

Writings: Liber Apologeticus A.D. 350, Council of Carthage A.D. 415.

See also www.timefortruth.co.uk/bible-studies/alan-oreillys-studies.php 1 John 4, 5.

Considering the three references in scripture to the term **“Godhead”** in turn:


Acts 17:29

Acts 17:29 is significant as *the first mention* of the word **“Godhead”** in scripture.

“Forasmuch then as we are the offspring of God, we ought not to think that the Godhead is like unto gold, or silver, or stone, graven by art and man's device” Acts 17:29.

Paul's statement condemns idolatry but it also shows that *even idolatrous heathen* (apart from **“certain philosophers of the Epicureans, and of the Stoicks”** Acts 17:18, who may be found in various academic circles today) had a better understanding of **“the true God and eternal life”** 1 John 5:20 than modern version editors and Bible critics like James White and Robert A. Joyner. Acts 17:29 shows that they knew that they needed three materials; gold, silver, stone to represent **“THE UNKNOWN GOD”** Acts 17:23 *even in idolatry*. Note then Paul's exhortation to the Corinthians. **“Now if any man build upon this foundation gold, silver, precious stones, wood, hay, stubble”** 1 Corinthians 3:12.

Noting again that **“we ought not to think that the Godhead is like unto gold, or silver, or stone”** because these substances do not live and God is **“the living God”** Deuteronomy 5:26, Joshua 3:10, 1 Samuel 17:26, 36, 2 Kings 19:4, 16, Psalm 42:2, 84:2, Isaiah 37:4, 17, Jeremiah 10:10, 23:36, Daniel 6:20, 26, Hosea 1:10, Matthew 16:16, 26:63, John 6:69, Acts 14:15, Romans 9:26, 2 Corinthians 3:3, 6:16, 1 Timothy 3:15, 4:10, 6:17, Hebrews 3:12, 9:14, 10:31, 12:22, Revelation 7:2; 30 references in all, these substances are nevertheless a reminder of **“the Godhead.”** See the *Ruckman Reference Bible* p 1513:



1 John 5:7 and the Record in Heaven
www.defendproclaimthefaitth.org/1_john_57_and_the_record_in_heaven.html

- **“Gold”** is a reminder of **“the Father”** 1 John 5:7 in that gold was used extensively in the construction of the tabernacle and in the making of the priestly garments. **“All the gold that was occupied for the work in all the work of the holy place, even the gold of the offering, was twenty and nine talents, and seven hundred and thirty shekels, after the shekel of the sanctuary”** Exodus 38:24 with Exodus 25, 26, 36, 37. **“And these are the garments which they shall make; a breastplate, and an ephod, and a robe, and a brodered coat, a mitre, and a girdle: and they shall make holy garments for Aaron thy brother, and his sons, that he may minister unto me in the priest’s office”** Exodus 28:4 with Exodus 28, 39.
- **“Silver”** is a reminder of **“the Word”** 1 John 5:7 because silver is the price of redemption, Exodus 30:13-15, Numbers 3:47-49 and **“the Word”** John 1:1, 2, 14 is the Lord Jesus Christ **“In whom we have redemption through his blood, even the forgiveness of sins”** Colossians 1:14.
- **“Precious stones”** are a reminder of **“the Holy Ghost”** 1 John 5:7 because **“the Spirit is life because of righteousness”** Romans 8:10 and **“Ye also, as lively stones, are built up a spiritual house, an holy priesthood, to offer up spiritual sacrifices, acceptable to God by Jesus Christ”** 1 Peter 2:5 **“And they shall be mine, saith the LORD of hosts, in that day when I make up my jewels; and I will spare them, as a man spareth his own son that serveth him”** Malachi 3:17.

As indicated even unsaved idolatrous heathen (apart from **“certain philosophers of the Epicureans, and of the Stoicks”** Acts 17:18, who may be found in various academic circles today) understood **“the Godhead”** Acts 17:29 better than those who changed **“Godhead”** into **“Deity”** etc. and those who approved of or even condoned that change.

Romans 1:20

“For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse” Romans 1:20.

Romans 1:20 associates God’s **“eternal power”** with the Godhead. *All three Persons of the Godhead manifest this eternal power.*

“God hath spoken once; twice have I heard this; that power belongeth unto God” Psalm 62:11.

“And Jesus came and spake unto them, saying, All power is given unto me in heaven and in earth” Matthew 28:18.

“Now the God of hope fill you with all joy and peace in believing, that ye may abound in hope, through the power of the Holy Ghost” Romans 15:13.

Colossians 2:9

“For in him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily” Colossians 2:9.

Colossians 2:9 associates **“fulness”** with the Godhead. *All three Persons of the Godhead manifest this fulness.* In addition to Colossians 2:9 note:

“Whither shall I go from thy spirit? or whither shall I flee from thy presence? If I ascend up into heaven, thou art there: if I make my bed in hell, behold, thou art there” Psalm 139:7-8.

“Can any hide himself in secret places that I shall not see him? saith the LORD. Do not I fill heaven and earth? saith the LORD” Jeremiah 23:24.

Conclusion

The word **“Godhead”** confirms Three Persons, Acts 17:29, **“the power of God”** Luke 22:69, Romans 1:20 and **“the fulness of God”** Ephesians 3:19, Colossians 2:9 as **“a threefold cord”** Ecclesiastes 4:12 **“and these three are one”** 1 John 5:7.

Propitiation

Based on *Reply to DiVietro's Attack on Gail Riplinger – Flotsam Flush* pp 108-109
www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php

“Propitiation” from the Scriptures

Concerning the word propitiate, or the related Biblical term **“propitiation”** Romans 3:25, 1 John 2:2, 4:10, again ‘the Greek’ isn’t necessary to determine the meaning of the word.

Following Dr Mrs Riplinger’s approach¹, the word **“propitiation”** is understood from scripture as follows.

Romans 3:24-25 ***“Christ Jesus: Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in his blood, to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God;”***

1 John 2:1-2 ***“Jesus Christ the righteous: And he is the propitiation for our sins: and not for ours only, but also for the sins of the whole world.”***

1 John 4:10 ***“Herein is love, not that we loved God, but that he loved us, and sent his Son to be the propitiation for our sins.”***

Propitiation is a Person

“Propitiation,” like salvation, Luke 2:30, 19:9, is above all a Person², **“Jesus Christ the righteous.”** God is a personal God, not simply a theological or doctrinal God.

Dr DiVietro gives no indication of any lexicon that reveals this basic meaning of the word **“propitiation.”**


The scripture, however, gives further insight into this meaning.

1 John 4:14 states ***“And we have seen and do testify that the Father sent the Son to be the Saviour of the world.”***

In sum, **“The Saviour”** and **“the propitiation”** are one and the same. He is **“Jesus Christ the righteous”** or **“Jehovah is salvation”**³ Matthew 1:21, Who is **“the Messiah the Prince”** Daniel 9:25 and **“the Holy One”** 1 John 2:20, anointed of the Holy Ghost Luke 3:22, 4:18.

The word **“propitiation”** may be understood in more detail by a study of what the Lord Jesus Christ did as **“the Saviour of the world.”**

As such, He is ***“the Lamb of God, which taketh away the sin of the world”*** John 1:29.



“But he was wounded for our transgressions, he was bruised for our iniquities: the chastisement of our peace was upon him; and with his stripes we are healed” Isaiah 53:5
jesuswords.tumblr.com/

Propitiation is “Christ our Passover”

Therefore, as Paul states in 1 Corinthians 5:7 **“For even Christ our passover is sacrificed for us.”**

Ephesians 5:2, Hebrews 7:26-27, 9:26, 1 Peter 1:18-19 are all important in the context of **“Christ our Passover,”** along with Genesis 8:21.

“And walk in love, as Christ also hath loved us, and hath given himself for us an offering and a sacrifice to God for a sweetsmelling savour.”

“For such an high priest became us, who is holy, harmless, undefiled, separate from sinners, and made higher than the heavens; Who needeth not daily, as those high priests, to offer up sacrifice, first for his own sins, and then for the people’s: for this he did once, when he offered up himself.”

“For then must he often have suffered since the foundation of the world: but now once in the end of the world hath he appeared to put away sin by the sacrifice of himself.”

“Forasmuch as ye know that ye were not redeemed with corruptible things, as silver and gold, from your vain conversation received by tradition from your fathers; But with the precious blood of Christ, as of a lamb without blemish and without spot” 1 Peter 1:18-19.

“And the LORD smelled a sweet savour; and the LORD said in his heart, I will not again curse the ground any more for man’s sake; for the imagination of man’s heart is evil from his youth; neither will I again smite any more every thing living, as I have done.”

Propitiation is “the Saviour” and “savour”

The voluntary, sinless sacrifice **“which taketh away the sin of the world”** of the Lord Jesus Christ Himself **“to God”** as **“a sweetsmelling savour”** turned away God’s wrath as Noah’s sacrifice did after the flood, for anyone who believes that the Lord Jesus Christ is both **“the Saviour”** and **“savour”** for him personally, John 3:36.

This is **“propitiation.”**

Drs Waite and DiVietro may dismiss the above as an example of having to **“chase all over the King James Bible to find the definitions of its words”**⁴ but **“he that seeketh findeth”** Matthew 7:7 and **“unto you that hear shall more be given”** Mark 4:24.

**The Saving Work of
Jesus Christ**

- Redemption = Jesus Christ 
PAID THE PRICE for all sins 
- Propitiation = Jesus Christ 
SATISFIED the justice of God
the Father
- Reconciliation = God’s 
PEACE TREATY with the
entire human race

Propitiation – Christ’s Appeasement of
God’s Justifiable Wrath

www.lbible.org/index.php?proc=msg&sf=vw&tid=429

“The Royal Law” James 2:8



“The Royal Law” James 2:8



The Queen Enthroned with “The Royal Law”

British Governance

British governance is embodied in the Coronation Oath⁵. Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II undertook the Oath when she was crowned. David Gardner⁶ explains the significance of the Oath.


“When the Sovereign is crowned, he or she is required to place one hand on the open Bible, and is then required to take a solemn oath before Almighty God ‘to uphold to the utmost of my power, the Laws of God within the Realm, and the true profession of the Christian Gospel.’ Parliament, through its peers, pledges itself to support the sovereign in this. This is the British position constitutionally.”

It still is, as shown below, regardless of how much it has been violated in practice or by whom.

The Coronation Oath

The monarch-to-be is seated upon the Chair of Estate in Westminster Abbey. The Archbishop of Canterbury gives the Coronation Oath for the monarch’s enthronement. The Oath states in part:

Archbishop: *“Will you to the utmost of your power maintain the Laws of God and the true profession of the Gospel? Will you to the utmost of your power maintain in the United Kingdom the Protestant Reformed Religion established by law? Will you maintain and preserve inviolably the settlement of the Church of England, and the doctrine, worship, discipline, and government thereof as by law established in England? And will you reserve unto the Bishops and Clergy of England, and to the Churches there committed to their charge, all such rights and privileges, as by law do or shall appertain to them or any of them?”*



The Coronation Bible and Title Page

Queen: *“All this I promise to do.”*

The Oath is sealed with the King James Bible⁷, presented to the monarch. The presenter at Queen Elizabeth II’s Coronation was the Moderator of the Church of Scotland, with these words. *“Our gracious Queen: to keep your Majesty ever mindful of the Law and the Gospel of God as the Rule for the whole life and government of Christian Princes, we present you with this Book, the most valuable thing that this world affords. Here is Wisdom [Revelation 13:18]; This is the royal Law [James 2:8]; These are the lively Oracles of God [Acts 7:38, Romans 3:2, Hebrews 5:12, 1 Peter 4:11].”*

The King James Bible used for the Coronation contains the Apocrypha but the Apocrypha is *not* part of “the royal law.” See figure **The Coronation Bible and Title Page**.

“The Royal Law”

James 2:8 states ***“If ye fulfil the royal law according to the scripture, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself, ye do well:”*** ***“The royal law”*** and ***“the scripture”*** are each ***“the whole law”*** James 2:10 and the Coronation Oath is unequivocal that the King James Bible is ***“the royal law”*** for ***“the Rule for the whole life and government of”*** Her Majesty and her subjects. In turn, nothing is above the King James Bible ***“for thou hast magnified thy word above all thy name”*** Psalm 138:2.

“The royal law” states in Numbers 15:16* with respect to Great Britain and the Old Dominions that:

“One law and one manner shall be for you, and for the stranger that sojourneth with you.”

*To Israel first but not rescinded for other nations by Paul, the author of specific Christian doctrine

Numbers 15:16 means that for governance of Britain’s inhabitants by ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611:

- Criticism of the ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 is treason against God and the Crown.
- Hostility towards Israel and/or the Jewish people is treason against God and the Crown.
- Catholicism by its hatred of ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 is treason against God and the Crown.
- Britain’s membership of the papal European Union is treason against God and the Crown.
- Entry of foreigners alien to ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 is treason against God and the Crown.
- Mohammedanism and all non-Biblical religions are treason against God and the Crown.
- Secular belief systems e.g. Darwinism, Marxism etc. are treason against God and the Crown.
- ***“Whoremongers...them that defile themselves with mankind...menstealers...liars...perjured persons”*** 1 Timothy 1:10 ***“and all that do unrighteously, are an abomination unto the LORD thy God”*** Deuteronomy 25:16 and traitors to ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611, God and the Crown.

The Coronation Oath has been repeatedly violated since the Coronation and it still is. However, as Rev Gardner states, the Oath is ***“a solemn oath before Almighty God”*** so God the Offended Party must punish the violators.

God the Offended Party

Men in scripture are likened to trees. ***“And he looked up, and said, I see men as trees, walking”*** Mark 8:24.

God promises a judgement by fire in the End Times. ***“And I will send a fire on Magog, and among them that dwell carelessly in the isles: and they shall know that I am the LORD”*** Ezekiel 39:6.



The Fire of Jeremiah

“The isles” and ***“trees, walking”*** are easily identified.

Jeremiah 21:14 is therefore a grim warning for Britain.

“...I will punish you according to the fruit of your doings, saith the LORD: and I will kindle a fire in the forest thereof, and it shall devour all things round about..”


Proverbs 13:13 is a further warning, though with ***“mercy...against judgment”*** James 2:13: ***“Whoso despiseth the word shall be destroyed: but he that feareth the commandment shall be rewarded.”***

Britain *must* therefore regain her only firebreak ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 to receive mercy when God’s End Times judgement by fire finally descends ***“that the whole nation perish not”*** John 11:50.

“The book of the LORD” Isaiah 34:16

Introduction

“**The book of the LORD**” is the 1611 Holy Bible. There is no other. **“Seek ye out of the book of the LORD, and read: no one of these shall fail, none shall want her mate: for my mouth it hath commanded, and his spirit it hath gathered them”** Isaiah 34:16.



Practical Considerations

- The Lord has *one* Book, **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16, the *one* mention of that phrase in scripture.
- The Lord’s *one* Book, **“the book of the LORD”** therefore matches the *oneness* of **“one body, and one Spirit,...one hope of your calling; One Lord, one faith, one baptism, One God and Father of all”** Ephesians 4:4-6.
- The Lord’s *one* Book, **“the book of the LORD”** is for **“every man...in his own language”** Acts 2:6 insofar as **“Peter...with the eleven”** Acts 2:14 **“were all filled with the Holy Ghost, and began to speak with other tongues, as the Spirit gave them utterance”** Acts 2:4 such that the listeners said **“hear we every man in our own tongue, wherein we were born...we do hear them speak in our tongues the wonderful works of God”** Acts 2:8, 11.
- The Lord’s *one* Book, **“the book of the LORD”** therefore exists in many languages, *but the standard for “the book of the LORD” is the 1611 Holy Bible in English.*

See store-hicb8.mybigcommerce.com/content/bbb/2013/Aug.pdf p 6 *A Brief Analysis of Missionary Authority* by Jonathan Richmond, Bible Baptist Mission Board director.

*The espousal of a particular translation being equal to or superior to the **King James** leaves one in a precarious position in relation to Bible believers versus the Alexandrian Cult.*

*Bible believers believe that the **King James (Authorized Version)** is the perfect, inerrant words of God and is the final authority. It is the standard to which all versions and translations are compared. And since the AV is the standard, it is superior to anything and everything that is compared to it. Stated another way, nothing compared to the standard is equal to or superior to the standard. English is the standard for time, place, distance, size, quantity, volume, language, etc. When the English standard showed up, both the German and Spanish Bibles [i.e. any non-English Bible] should have been corrected and/or updated with the English.*

The Greek Textus Receptus (any edition) is not superior to English. It was an interim, early New Testament, a stepping stone to the purification of the words of God in English. The world does not speak Greek and never will again...

Jonathan Richmond concludes with a rebuke to ‘originals-onlyists’ and ‘Greekiolators’:

*So then **your** brain determines which is correct; **your** brain is the final authority; **you** have made **yourself** equal to God.*

As Gail Ripplinger has rightly said, *In Awe of Thy Word* p 956, this writer’s emphases:

*The desire to appear intelligent or superior by referring to ‘the Greek’ and downplaying the common man’s Bible, exposes a naivety concerning textual history and those documents which today’s pseudo-intellectuals call ‘the critical text,’ ‘the original Greek,’ the ‘Majority Text,’ or the ‘Textus Receptus.’ **There existed a true original Greek (i.e. Majority Text, Textus Receptus). It is not in print and never will be, because it is unnecessary. No one on the planet speaks first century Koine Greek, so God is finished with it. He needs no ‘Dead Bible Society’ to translate it into “everyday English,” using the same corrupt secularised lexicons used by the TNIV, NIV, NASB and***

HCSB [Holman Christian Standard Bible]. *God has not called readers to check his Holy Bible for errors. He has called his Holy Bible to check us for errors.*"

- The Lord's one Book, "**the book of the LORD**" is:
 - "**the book of the covenant**" Exodus 24:7, 2 Kings 23:2, 21, 2 Chronicles 34:30, "**the everlasting covenant**" Hebrews 13:20 between God and believers
 - "**thy book**" Exodus 32:32, one witness to "**the book of the LORD**"
 - "**my book**" Exodus 32:33, two witnesses, 2 Corinthians 13:1, to "**the book of the LORD**"
 - "**the book of the law of God**" Joshua 24:26, Nehemiah 8:18 i.e. "**the book of the law of the LORD**" 2 Chronicles 17:9, 34:14, Nehemiah 9:3 or simply "**the book of the law**" Joshua 8:31, 34, 2 Kings 22:8, 11, 2 Chronicles 34:15, Nehemiah 8:3, Galatians 3:10. That Book is now "**the law of Christ**" Galatians 6:2.
 - "**the book of the living**" Psalm 69:28 i.e. "**the book of life**" Philippians 4:3, Revelation 3:5, 17:8, 20:12, 15, 22:19, "**the book of life of the Lamb**" Revelation 13:8, "**the Lamb's book of life**" Revelation 21:27
 - "**the book of the LORD**" Isaiah 34:16
 - "**the book of the purchase**" Jeremiah 32:12 for "**the purchased possession**" Ephesians 1:14, "**us accepted in the beloved**" Ephesians 1:6. See AV1611 Authority - Absolute www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/version-comparison.php.

Principles of Understanding

- The Lord does *not* recognise "**many books**" Ecclesiastes 12:12 i.e. multiple *differing* translations in any one language. That is "**confused noise**" Isaiah 9:5 and "**God is not the author of confusion**" 1 Corinthians 14:33.
- The Lord has commanded "**Seek ye out of the book of the LORD, and read.**" That is, "**the book of the LORD**" not "**many books**" must be *sought after* and *read*.
- The command "**Seek ye out of the book of the LORD, and read**" can only be fulfilled if "**the book of the LORD**" is in "**words easy to be understood**" 1 Corinthians 14:9.
- An 'originals-onlyist' does not and never can have *one* Book to seek after and read. 'Originals-onlyism' is among the "**damnable heresies**" 2 Peter 2:1.

Permanence of "the book of the LORD"


- "**no one of these shall fail**" because "**the word of the Lord endureth for ever**" 1 Peter 1:25 and is "**The words of the LORD**" Psalm 12:6. "**Thy words were found, and I did eat them; and thy word was unto me the joy and rejoicing of mine heart: for I am called by thy name, O LORD God of hosts**" Jeremiah 15:16.
- "**none shall want her mate**" because those words are "**the words...which the Holy Ghost teacheth; comparing spiritual things with spiritual**" 1 Corinthians 2:13 i.e. cross-referencing of "**the words...which the Holy Ghost teacheth**" so that the student "**might understand the scriptures**" Luke 24:45.
- "**my mouth it hath commanded**" because it is "**the word which he commanded to a thousand generations**" 1 Chronicles 16:15, Psalm 105:8 and "**the word of the Lord**" 1 Peter 1:25 is "**The words of the LORD**" Psalm 12:6 with Jeremiah 15:16 "**Thy words...thy word.**"
- "**and his spirit it hath gathered them**" because "**the words that I speak unto you, they are spirit, and they are life**" John 6:63 and "**the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost...he shall teach you all things, and bring all things to your remembrance, whatsoever I have said unto you**" John 14:26.

Therefore "**receive with meekness the engrafted word**" James 1:21 "**the book of the LORD**" as "**obedient children**" 1 Peter 1:14 without any "**Not so, Lord**" Acts 10:14.

AV1611 Authority - Absolute

“The book of the purchase” Jeremiah 32:12

“The book of the purchase” Jeremiah 32:12



AV1611 authority is absolute and cannot be detracted from. All detractions, whether from modern versions or ‘the Greek’ etc., are by subversives **“which corrupt the word of God”** 2 Corinthians 2:17 because the AV1611 is **“the book of the purchase”** Jeremiah 32:12 and God oversaw the purchase:

- It was initiated by **“The word of the Lord.”** **“And Jeremiah said, The word of the LORD came unto me saying, Behold, Hanameel the son of Shallum thine uncle shall come unto thee, saying, Buy thee my field that is in Anathoth”** Jeremiah 32:6.
- It was confirmed by **“the right of redemption...thine to buy it...according to the word of the LORD.”** **“for the right of redemption is thine to buy it. So Hanameel mine uncle’s son came to me...according to the word of the LORD, and said unto me, Buy my field,...that is in Anathoth...for the right of inheritance is thine, and the redemption is thine...Then I knew that this was the word of the LORD”** Jeremiah 32:7-8.

King James Bible, Oxford Brevier Edition

- It was enacted by the purchaser. **“And I bought the field of Hanameel...and weighed him the money, even seventeen shekels of silver”** Jeremiah 32:9.
- It was formalised by **“the evidence of the purchase.”** **“And I subscribed the evidence, and sealed it...So I took the evidence of the purchase, both that which was sealed according to the law and custom, and that which was open...And I gave the evidence of the purchase unto Baruch the son of Neriah, the son of Maaseiah, in the sight of Hanameel mine uncle’s son”** Jeremiah 32:10-12.
- It was underwritten by **“the book of the purchase...in the presence of the witnesses that subscribed the book of the purchase, before all the Jews that sat in the court of the prison”** Jeremiah 32:12. God covenanted the purchase and **“wrote it in a book”** 1 Samuel 10:25. The significance for the AV1611’s absolute authority is this:

Covenanted Purchase

Even if for evil, a purchase in scripture is a covenant. **“And they were glad, and covenanted to give him money”** Luke 22:5 and in scripture, not even a manmade covenant may be objected to after it has been confirmed. **“Brethren, I speak after the manner of men; Though it be but a man’s covenant, yet if it be confirmed, no man disannulleth, or addeth thereto”** Galatians 3:15. That is, even **“a man’s covenant”** may not be detracted from once confirmed. Jeremiah’s covenanted purchase was delineated in five specific steps. It was initiated, confirmed, enacted, formalised and underwritten by **“the book of the purchase.”** That Book cannot be detracted from. *Neither can the AV1611.*

“The book of the purchase” and of “the purchased possession”

The AV1611 is both **“the book of the purchase”** Jeremiah 32:12 and of **“the purchased possession”** as Paul explains with respect to the Lord Jesus Christ **“In whom ye also trusted, after that ye heard the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation: in whom also after that ye believed, ye were sealed with that holy Spirit of promise, Which is”**

the earnest of our inheritance until the redemption of the purchased possession, unto the praise of his glory” Ephesians 1:13-14. Compare with Jeremiah 32:6-12:

- **“the word of truth”** Ephesians 1:13 matches **“The word of the Lord”** Jeremiah 32:6.
- **“sealed with that holy Spirit of promise”** Ephesians 1:13 matches **“subscribed the evidence, and sealed it”** Jeremiah 32:10.
- **“the earnest of our inheritance”** Ephesians 1:14 matches **“the right of inheritance”** Jeremiah 32:8 and **“the evidence of the purchase”** Jeremiah 32:11.
- **“the redemption of the purchased possession”** Ephesians 1:14 matches **“the right of redemption”** Jeremiah 32:7 and **“the book of the purchase”** Jeremiah 32:12 **“For whatsoever things were written aforetime were written for our learning, that we through patience and comfort of the scriptures might have hope”** Romans 15:4.

The AV1611 is both **“the book of the purchase”** and the Book of **“the purchased possession”** because it is **“the word of a king”** Ecclesiastes 8:4 *in that it is the only Bible since 1611 translated under a king and Jeremiah’s purchase was initiated by the King* **“For God is the King of all the earth”** Psalm 47:7. Note too that Ephesians is written in a Book. Note also with respect to **“the purchased possession”** that:

- **“ye are not your own...ye are bought with a price”** 1 Corinthians 6:19-20.
- God covenanted the purchase **“through the blood of the everlasting covenant”** Hebrews 13:20 which is **“my blood of the new testament”** Matthew 26:28.
- God **“wrote it in a book”** 1 Samuel 10:25, which in addition to being **“the book of the purchase”** and the Book of **“the purchased possession”** is also **“the book of the covenant”** Exodus 24:7, 2 Kings 23:2, 2 Chronicles 34:30.
- This Book consists of **“the old testament”** 2 Corinthians 3:14 and **“the new testament”** 2 Corinthians 3:6 and is **“the book of the law of the LORD”** 2 Chronicles 17:9, 34:14, Nehemiah 9:3 matching **“the law and custom”** Jeremiah 32:11.
- This Book is **“the royal law according to the scripture”** James 2:8⁸, matching Jeremiah 32:11. Only one Book satisfies all the above conditions. No modern version has any legitimate claim to being called royal, as Wilkinson⁹ shows. *“Twice [the 1881 revisers] had appealed to the Government in hopes that, as in the case of the King James in 1611, the King would appoint a royal commission. They were refused.”*

Detractors without Authority, “wells without water” 2 Peter 2:17

With the AV1611 as **“the book of the purchase”** and **“of the purchased possession”** its detractors are as **“wells without water”** 2 Peter 2:17. They have no *Biblical* authority to:

- Call any modern version **“the word of God”** 1 Samuel 9:27.
- Circulate any modern version as **“the word of God”** as, for example, the Gideons do.
- Convene any translating committee to set up a rival to the AV1611 King James Text, especially insofar as **“Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?”** Ecclesiastes 8:4. See Wilkinson’s comment above.
- Exalt anything **“in the Greek”** or **“in the Hebrew”** Revelation 9:11 over the AV1611 **“the book of the purchase”** and **“of the purchased possession.”**

AV1611 Absolute Authority

As **“the book of the purchase”** and **“of the purchased possession”** the AV1611 has absolute authority as **“the word of a king.”** Detractors should therefore note Proverbs 16:14. **“The wrath of a king is as messengers of death: but a wise man will pacify it.”**

God's Standard

“My words shall not pass away” Matthew 24:35, Mark 13:31, Luke 21:33

Critics often first attack the AV1611 by accusing it of being archaic because words have ‘changed their meaning’ and need to be updated by the modern versions. That is a lie. Biblical words have not ‘changed their meaning.’ The Lord Jesus Christ said that cannot happen, Matthew 24:35, Mark 13:31, Luke 21:33. Biblical words have a range of meanings as Benjamin Wilkinson has shown. See:



kiv.benabraham.com/html/chapter-5.html

Our Authorized Bible Vindicated Chapter 5 The King James Bible Born Amid the Great Struggles Over the Jesuit Version

The English language in 1611 was in the very best condition to receive into its bosom the Old and New Testaments. Each word was broad, simple, and generic. That is to say, words were capable of containing in themselves not only their central thoughts, but also all the different shades of meaning which were attached to that central thought. Since then, words have lost that living, pliable breadth. For examples see:

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php *Twist and Curl - Your Fiendly* Neighbourhood Bible Correctors* pp 63-64, 87, 89. *Not a misspelling.

- ***“conversation”*** means “conduct” Philippians 1:27, “behaviour” I Peter 3:1, “citizenship” Philippians 3:20 NASVs, NIVs, NKJV *but also that which is heard i.e. speech as well as seen, as with ***“Lot, vexed with the filthy conversation of the wicked: (For that righteous man dwelling among them, in seeing and hearing, vexed his righteous soul from day to day with their unlawful deeds;)*”** 2 Peter 2:7-8.*
- ***“prevent”*** means “comes before” Psalms 88:13, “precede” I Thessalonians 4:15 NASVs, NIVs, NKJV *but also beset by trouble on all sides like David. ***“The sorrows of hell compassed me about; the snares of death prevented me”*** 2 Samuel 22:6.*
- ***“quicken”*** Romans 8:11 means “give life to” NASVs, NIVs, NKJV *but also to be risen from the dead with Christ to die no more, as Paul explains ***“Knowing that Christ being raised from the dead dieth no more; death hath no more dominion over him”*** Romans 6:9 and therefore ***“he that raised up Christ from the dead shall also quicken your mortal bodies by his Spirit that dwelleth in you”*** Romans 8:11 i.e. to die no more.*

The above examples are not exhaustive. See above site for many more, with more detail.

Modern Degenerative Versions

Enough examples have nevertheless been given to show that words used in modern versions typically do not have the same breadth of meaning as the equivalent AV1611 words and that modern version editors may have to resort to two or more words in order to replace a single generic AV1611 term.

What has happened therefore is that the range of meanings of Biblical words has been arbitrarily restricted to yield, at best, only the limited, often single-meaning words of modern versions as exemplified above. Note that modern version alternatives to the equivalent 1611 Holy Bible terms are often not merely restricted in meaning but in fact *wrong* in their particular contexts. Note the following examples:

- “adequate” NASVs, “complete” NKJV, OMITTED NIVs versus “**perfect**” 2 Timothy 3:17 AV1611
- “called” NASVs, NIVs versus “**sanctified**” Jude 1 AV1611
- “excellence” NASVs, “excellent” NIVs versus “**virtue**” Philippians 4:8 AV1611

See *New Age Versions* by Gail Riplinger Chapter 9 *Men Shall Be Unholy* p 161.

The aim of restricting Biblical word meanings, which may lead to error, see above, is to discredit the 1611 Holy Bible by making it seem ‘archaic,’ when it is not, as the Lord Jesus Christ promised it never would be, Matthew 24:35, Mark 13:31, Luke 21:33. See opening remarks. It is the modern versions that are instead *degenerative* with respect to the range of meanings of their words. The restrictive operation has been carried out by men but it is satanic in its origin, in its objective and in its oversight, ever since Genesis 3:1 “**Yea, hath God said...?**” See *New Age Versions, The Language of the King James Bible, In Awe of Thy Word and Hazardous Materials* by Gail Riplinger for detailed proof “**Lest Satan should get an advantage of us: for we are not ignorant of his devices**” 2 Corinthians 2:11.

An information scientist would probably say that the modern alternatives to the AV1611 generic terms have suffered a loss of information in transmission. They have, and as Paul declares “**that which decayeth and waxeth old is ready to vanish away**” Hebrews 8:13.

God’s Standard - “the book of the LORD” Isaiah 34:16

By contrast, “**the book of the LORD**” Isaiah 34:16 has gone “**from strength to strength**” Psalm 84:7 in its transmission from the old languages to the English language of the pre-1611 Bibles to the 1st Edition 1611 Holy Bible to the sevenfold perfected 1611 Holy Bible. That Book became *God’s standard* in time for the world-wide missionary and revival movements of the 18th-19th centuries and running up to the Lord’s Return, which is imminent. “**Behold, the Lord cometh with ten thousands of his saints**” Jude 14. It therefore appears that God has carried out this stage-wise *supernatural* process for the perfection of “**the book of the LORD**” Isaiah 34:16 to show that *His* transmission of “**The words of the LORD**” Psalm 12:6 is *not* degenerative but *regenerative*. Observe the association between “**The words of the LORD**” Psalm 12:6 and “**the words...which the Holy Ghost teacheth; comparing spiritual things with spiritual**” 1 Corinthians 2:13. These words are indeed regenerative as the following scriptures show.

“**...Christ also loved the church, and gave himself for it; That he might sanctify and cleanse it with the washing of water by the word**” Ephesians 5:26.

“**Not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us, by the washing of regeneration, and renewing of the Holy Ghost**” Titus 3:5.

In sum “**This is the LORD’S doing; it is marvellous in our eyes**” Psalm 118:23. See:

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *The purification of the Lord’s word – Psalm 12:6-7*

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php *Seven Stage Purification - Oil Refinery*

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/version-comparison.php *The Book of the LORD*

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *AV1611 Advanced Revelations* e.g. “**pictures**” Numbers 33:52, “**synagogues**” Psalm 74:8, “**tablets**” Isaiah 3:20, “**churches**” Acts 19:37

“**Thus saith the Lord GOD, Behold, I will lift up mine hand to the Gentiles, and set up my standard to the people: and they shall bring thy sons in their arms, and thy daughters shall be carried upon their shoulders...and thou shalt know that I am the LORD: for they shall not be ashamed that wait for me**” Isaiah 49:22, 23. Finally:

“**And the Lord direct your hearts into the love of God, and into the patient waiting for Christ**” 2 Thessalonians 3:5.

AV1611 Advanced Revelations

Introduction

Dr Ruckman¹⁰ refers to what he terms advanced revelations in the AV1611, passages that yield information not found in the modern versions e.g. 1984 NIV, 2011 NIV, NKJV. See the following:

Genesis 2:16-17, 24, 3:1-3 and modern feminism or feminazism

Much criticism of supposed archaic words in the AV1611 is aimed at the personal pronouns *“thee,” “thou”* etc. However, these supposedly archaic forms enable the reader to distinguish between the second person singular (‘thee’) and the second person plural (‘you’), a distinction lost in modern English. This distinction in the AV1611 in Genesis 2:16-17, 24, 3:1-3 yields a startling advanced revelation about the rise of modern feminism or feminazism that is concealed by the modern versions that replaced *“thee”* and *“thou”* with *“you.”* Genesis 2:16-17, 24, 3:1-3 read as follows.

“And the LORD God commanded the man, saying, Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat: But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die.”

“Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife: and they shall be one flesh.”

“Now the serpent was more subtil than any beast of the field which the LORD God had made. And he said unto the woman, Yea, hath God said, Ye shall not eat of every tree of the garden? And the woman said unto the serpent, We may eat of the fruit of the trees of the garden: But of the fruit of the tree which is in the midst of the garden, God hath said, Ye shall not eat of it, neither shall ye touch it, lest ye die.”

God used the singular *“thou”* when speaking to Adam in Genesis 2:16-17 and He did not update it in scripture to the plural *“Ye”* after Adam received his wife because they were *“one flesh.”*

The Devil, a *positive* thinker who questioned *first of all* what God *said* i.e. God’s *words*, not truths, message, principles, fundamentals or composite ‘Word,’ drove a wedge between Adam and his wife by using the plural *“Ye”* by which *“the woman being deceived was in the transgression”* 1 Timothy 2:14 in that she wrongly replied with the plural *“We”* and *“ye.”* That simple but wrong reply indicated a willingness on the part of the woman to be independent of her husband that the Devil successfully exploited to the ruin of men such that by the time of Genesis 6:11 *“The earth also was corrupt before God, and the earth was filled with violence.”* As indicated, the woman’s reply depicting herself as separate from her husband has in it, additionally to the pending Fall, the seeds of the modern feminazi movement that is especially destructive to marriage, home, church and family.

See www.jesus-is-savior.com/Womens%20Page/militant_feminazi.htm.

Eve, Genesis 3:20, could have replied *“No! God said ‘thou shalt not eat of it’ because Adam and me are “one flesh.” Take a hike, Lucifer [Isaiah 14:12]!”* Such a definitive reply would have saved a lot of grief over the last six millennia but its potential is obscured in the modern versions, which itself provides further insight into who is behind them, given the identity of Eve’s deceiver.

Numbers 33:52 and “pictures”

Numbers 33:52 reads *“Then ye shall drive out all the inhabitants of the land from before you, and destroy all their pictures, and destroy all their molten images, and quite pluck down all their high places:”*

Dr Ruckman¹¹ notes that Numbers 33:52 in the AV1611 is an advanced revelation that warns against the destructive influence of television, which consists in effect of *images* *“pourtrayed upon the wall round about.”* Such images fuel *“wicked abominations”* hatched by men *“in the dark, every man in the chambers of his imagery”* leading to *“greater abominations”* where men turn their backs on the Lord in false worship e.g. in that *“they worshipped the sun toward the east”* Ezekiel 8:9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16. The Lord warns of the eyes turning to ungodly imagery i.e. the *televised “wicked thing”* Psalm 101:3. *“But if thine eye be evil, thy whole body shall be full of darkness. If therefore the light that is in thee be darkness, how great is that darkness!”* The modern versions change the word *“pictures”* and obscure both the advanced revelation and the Lord’s warning against television.

Psalm 74:8 and “synagogues”

Psalm 74:8 reads ***“They said in their hearts, Let us destroy them together: they have burned up all the synagogues of God in the land.”***

Dr Ruckman notes that Psalm 74:8 in the AV1611 is an advanced revelation that warns of the persecution of Jews in the Tribulation when they are forced to flee as in Lamentations 4:19 ***“Our persecutors are swifter than the eagles of the heaven: they pursued us upon the mountains, they laid wait for us in the wilderness.”*** The modern versions change the word ***“synagogues,”*** obscuring revelation that warns Jews of fast approaching ***“perilous times”*** of ***“the last days”*** 2 Timothy 3:1.

Isaiah 3:20 and “tablets”

Another advanced revelation from the AV1611 shows that it is up to date with modern technology.

See www.amazon.com/gp/feature.html?ie=UTF8&docId=1000949991:



[HP TouchPad Wi-Fi 16 GB 9.7-Inch Tablet Computer](#)
by [HP](#)

★★★★☆ (1,131 customer reviews)

In Stock.

Sold by [Tailwind International](#)
and [Fulfilled by Amazon.](#)

List Price: \$499.99

Price: **\$278.99**

You Save: \$221.00 (44%)

A 7-inch tablet device can be hand-held and such devices are popular today. What’s especially interesting is that in scripture, ***“tablets”*** are associated with ***“jewels of gold”*** Exodus 35:22, Numbers 31:50. Dr Ruckman refers to gold layering in strips for electronic devices with respect to Exodus 39:3. In Isaiah 3:18, 20, the AV1611 has ***“In that day the Lord will take away...the bonnets, and the ornaments of the legs, and the headbands, and the tablets, and the earrings.”*** The Lord is here taking ungodly young women to task and spanning the generations. Bonnets, though still worn, were much more in vogue in the 19th century but tablets, though polished jewels set in gold in Isaiah’s day are now hand-held electronic devices like ipods and very likely have gold in their circuitry.

That is clearly an AV1611 advanced revelation for today’s technology especially for ungodly young women ***“mad upon their idols”*** Jeremiah 50:38 including not only their finery but also their mobiles, ipods and ***“tablets.”*** The modern versions change the word ***“tablets,”*** obscuring this revelation.

Acts 19:37 and “churches”

Acts 19:37 reads ***“For ye have brought hither these men, which are neither robbers of churches, nor yet blasphemers of your goddess.”***

Dr Ruckman states that the AV1611’s use of the word ***“churches”*** points to the worship of a ***“goddess”*** in this age by those who would profess to be Christians. Note that by implication of the word ***“robbers,”*** their church is wealthy by comparison with other churches. Acts 19:37 therefore points to Rome and Catholicism. See Revelation 17:1-5. The modern versions have ***“temples”*** instead of ***“churches”*** and thereby obscure the advanced revelation that warns of Catholicism.

1 Corinthians 15:33 and “evil communications”


1 Corinthians 15:33 reads ***“Be not deceived: evil communications corrupt good manners”*** i.e. ***“manner of life”*** Acts 26:4, 2 Timothy 3:10 and is another warning against television. See remarks on Numbers 33:52. The modern versions change the word ***“communications”*** and obscure this warning. In sum, the modern versions obscure advanced revelation in Genesis 2:16-17, 24, 3:1-3, Numbers 33:52, Psalm 74:8, Isaiah 3:20, Acts 19:37, 1 Corinthians 15:33, a sure indictment of their overseer ***“the serpent...more subtil than any beast of the field which the LORD God had made”*** Genesis 3:1. Only the AV1611 is God’s words because only the AV1611 fulfils Psalm 33:11.

“The counsel of the LORD standeth for ever, the thoughts of his heart to all generations.”

Purification of “*The words of the LORD*” Psalm 12:6, 7 – Summary

Introduction

Philippians 2:16 states “***Holding forth the word of life; that I may rejoice in the day of Christ, that I have not run in vain, neither laboured in vain.***” Inspiration must be inviolate throughout the purification process of “*the word of life*” otherwise it is no longer “*the word of life*” and Paul and the other writers of scriptures would have run and laboured in vain. However, they did not, because “*the word of the Lord endureth for ever*” 1 Peter 1:25. An overview of God’s seven-stage purification process of “*the word of life*” follows, noting the seven-stage purification *sub-processes* embedded in the overall purification process.



The Authorized 1611 King James Holy Bible
www.learnthebible.org/king_james_bible.htm

A Seven-Stage Purification Process – Historic Bibles

Dr Vance [*Bible Believers Bulletin*, February 2003, June 2006] shows that Psalm 12:6, 7 was fulfilled in history *largely with inspired translations* Genesis 2:7, 2 Samuel 3:10, Ezekiel 37:9-11, Matthew 24:35, John 6:63, Colossians 1:13, Hebrews 11:5, 1 Peter 1:23, 25:

- A received Hebrew text, 1800 BC to 389 BC
- A received Aramaic text at the same time (Genesis, Daniel, etc.)
- A received Greek text from AD 40 to AD 90
- A received Syrian text from AD 120 to AD 200
- A received Latin text from AD 150 to AD 1500
- A received German text from AD 1500 to AD 2006
- A received English text from AD 1611 to AD 2006 (2012+)

Dr Mrs Riplinger has this incisive observation from *In Awe of Thy Word* p 544, her emphases, in agreement with the priesthood of all believers, 1 Peter 2:5, 9. “***The Bible appears in many forms – such as Hebrew, Hungarian, English and Polish. The “form” of the Word seemed different at various times, yet it was still Jesus (e.g. the “fiery furnace” (Dan. 3:35), the “babe wrapped in swaddling clothes” (Luke 2:12), when “She supposing him to be the gardener” (John 20:15), and when “his eyes were as a flame of fire” (Rev. 1:14). When the Word “appeared in another form,” as Jesus did, “neither believed they them” (Mark 16:12, 13). Likewise, some still dig for words in haunted Greek graveyards.***”

A Seven-Stage Purification Process – Pre-English and English Bibles

Dr Mrs Riplinger [*In Awe of Thy Word*, p 33] documents the development of the seven purifications of the English Bible from its earliest inception, in fulfilment of Psalm 12:6, 7:

- The Gothic
- The Anglo-Saxon
- The Pre-Wycliffe
- The Wycliffe
- The Tyndale/Coverdale/Great/Geneva*
- The Bishops’
- The King James Bible

*The progression of the 16th century English Bibles to the King James Bible exhibits a further embedded seven purifications. See *One Book Stands Alone* by Dr Douglas Stauffer pp 282-284.

- The Tyndale 1525
- The Coverdale 1535
- The Matthew 1537
- The Great 1538
- The Geneva 1560
- The Bishops' 1568
- The King James Bible 1611

Dr Mrs Riplinger states, [*In Awe of Thy Word*, pp 539, 560ff] her emphases ““Seven” times “they purge...and purify it...” (Ezek. 43:26) – not eight. The KJV translators did **not** see their translation as one in the midst of a chain of ever evolving translations. They wanted their Bible to be one of which no one could justly say, ‘It is good, **except** this word or **that** word...’ They planned [*The Translators to the Reader*, www.jesus-is-lord.com/pref1611.htm]: ““...to make...out of many good ones [Wycliffe, Tyndale, Coverdale, Great, Geneva, Bishops’], one principal good one, not justly to be excepted against; that hath been our endeavor, that our mark...the same will shine as gold more brightly, being rubbed and polished...”” In a sense God did inspire the King’s men to achieve their mark 2 Peter 1:21 as John Selden notes in *Table Talk*. ““The translation in King James’ time took an excellent way. That part of the Bible was given to him who was most excellent in such a tongue and then they met together, and one read the translation, the rest holding in their hands some Bible, either of the learned tongues [**Greek, Hebrew, Latin**], or **French, Italian, Spanish &c [and other languages]**. If they found any fault, they spoke; if not, he read on.””

A Seven-Stage Purification Process – King James Bibles

God has refined the 1611 Holy Bible through seven major editions. See *In Awe of Thy Word* p 600 and *The Hidden History of the English Scriptures* pp 49-51 by Dr Mrs Riplinger. “The only changes to the KJV since 1611 are of three types:

1. **1612:** Typography (from *Gothic* to **Roman** type)
2. **1629 & 1638:** Correction of typographical errors
3. **1762 & 1769:** Standardization of spelling.” Therefore, fulfilling Psalm 12:6, 7:

Two 1611 editions = seven stages. “**For with God nothing shall be impossible**” Luke 1:37.

Particular Purification Steps

Addition of Words

Scrivener notes in *The Authorized Edition of the English Bible (1611) Its Subsequent Reprints and Modern Representatives*, Appendices A, C, textual changes to early editions e.g. the words “**of God**” first being added to 1 John 5:12 in 1638. God oversees such changes. “**Then took Jeremiah another roll, and gave it to Baruch the scribe, the son of Neriah; who wrote therein from the mouth of Jeremiah all the words of the book which Jehoiakim king of Judah had burned in the fire: and there were added besides unto them many like words**” Jeremiah 36:32.

Elimination and Alteration of Words

The NIV adds “**of Jesus**” in Acts 16:7. The Geneva Bible has “**Passover**” instead of “**Easter**” in Acts 12:4. God corrects such imperfections as illustrated by John 15:2 with respect to “**the true vine**” John 15:1, which is “**the Word of life**” 1 John 1:1, like “**the word of life**,” purging being a form of purifying. “**Every branch in me that beareth not fruit he taketh away: and every branch that beareth fruit, he purgeth it, that it may bring forth more fruit.**”

Restoration of Words

Current editions of Wycliffe’s Bible omit some scriptures e.g. the end of Matthew 6:13. God restores such omissions as illustrated by Romans 11:20, 23, AV1611. “**Well; because of unbelief they were broken off, and thou standest by faith. Be not highminded, but fear:...And they also, if they abide not still in unbelief, shall be grafted in: for God is able to graff them in again.**”

Conclusion

These purifications ensure that the AV1611 is “**the words of the LORD...pure words**” Psalm 12:6.

“The words of the LORD...purified seven times” Psalm 12:6
An Oil Refining Analogy Against AV1611 Critics




Oil Refinery Plant

AV1611 Critics

AV1611 critics deny perfection for the AV1611 by allusion to the different AV1611 Editions e.g. “*The King James Bible has gone through seven different editions...Which one can you say is “perfect”?*”¹² Those critics don’t understand stage-wise processes. See this analogy:

Oil Refining – A Stage-wise Process

Oil refining¹³ is well-known. Its main product is premium grade petrol. Oil refining is complex¹⁴ but can be summarised in three basic stage-wise steps. See **Figure**:



Key:

Stage 1: Crude oil separation into the crude petrol product and by-products

Stage 2: Petrol product chemical upgrading and further separation

Stage 3: Final separation, additives blended to yield premium petrol product

Note: At each stage, the intermediate petrol products are perfect for the next stage according to product specifications until the final, perfect premium product is achieved.

Scripture Purification – Seven-fold Stage-wise Processes

The same principles apply to the stage-wise purifications of the Lord's words, with respect to old languages, the English language and the AV1611. Each purification is seven-fold:

“The words of the LORD are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times” Psalm 12:6, *which itself uses an industrial analogy i.e. silver refining.*

Old Languages and the English Language

Drs Vance and Riplinger¹⁵ have shown the seven-fold stage-wise purification of scripture:

From Old Languages:

- A received Hebrew text, 1800 BC to 389 BC
- A received Aramaic text at the same time (Genesis, Daniel, etc.)
- A received Greek text from AD 40 to AD 90
- A received Syrian text from AD 120 to AD 200
- A received Latin text from AD 150 to AD 1500
- A received German text from AD 1500 to AD 2006
- A received English text from AD 1611 to AD 2006 (2012+)

Note that the purification process, though with seven stages, was not strictly sequential. Dr Riplinger notes that Herman Hoskier identified 2nd century Greek-Latin-Syriac New Testaments in parallel¹⁶. Moreover, Dr Riplinger, her emphases, has stated directly to this writer that *“In Foxe’s Book of Martyrs, vol. 4, pp 671-675, Foxe quotes an old “treatise”...“Also the four evangelists wrote the gospel in divers languages, as Matthew in Judea, Mark in Italy, Luke in Achaia, and John in Asia. And all these wrote in the languages of the same countries...”* That is, parts of the New Testament were *first* written in *different* languages and existed in *parallel* to facilitate to the utmost **“obedience to the faith among all nations, for his name...Jesus Christ”** Romans 1:5-6.

Through to the English Language:

Purification of the English scriptures was also in seven stages and more directly sequential.

- The Gothic
- The Anglo-Saxon
- The Pre-Wycliffe
- The Wycliffe
- The Tyndale/Coverdale/Great/Geneva
- The Bishops’
- The King James Bible

In these purifications of scripture, as with oil refining, each intermediate was perfect for the next stage *with no loss of inspiration*. **“The law of the LORD is perfect, converting the soul”** Psalm 19:7. Only life begets life. *The AV1611 does that best.*

The AV1611 – Seven-fold Stage-wise Purification

This writer believes that *God then purified the AV1611 through seven major editions*¹⁷. Again, each intermediate product was perfect for the next stage through to full perfection.

1. **1612: Typography (from Gothic to Roman type)**
2. **1629 & 1638: Correction of typographical errors**
3. **1762 & 1769: Standardization of spelling.** Therefore, fulfilling Psalm 12:6, *two* 1611 Editions = *seven* stages in total. The critics notwithstanding therefore:

“Thy word is very pure: therefore thy servant loveth it” Psalm 119:140.

Seven Aspects of ‘in the Greek’

Based on Dr Donald Waite and *The DBS* [Dean Burgon Society], *Dead Bible Society* pp 32-34

“In the Greek” – Once Only in Scripture!

1. No single, definitive Greek text exists¹⁸. As Gail Riplinger shows, “**in the Greek**” Revelation 9:11 is “**upon the sand**” Matthew 7:26 and “**ready to fall**” Isaiah 30:13 with “**none to help**” Psalm 107:12.
2. Koine i.e. New Testament Greek is a dead language. The DBS¹⁹ admits “**Biblical Greek is a dead language**” but 1 Peter 1:23 says “**The word of God...liveth and abideth for ever.**” So “**the word of God**” cannot be “**in the Greek.**” Moreover, neither 1600’s writers like Shakespeare nor Greek philosophers can dictate Bible word meanings or usage. Dr Hills²⁰ states.

“The English of the King James Version is not the English of the early 17th century. To be exact, it is not a type of English that was ever spoken anywhere. It is biblical English, which was not used on ordinary occasions even by the translators who produced the King James Version...Even in their use of thee and thou the translators were not following 17th-century English usage but biblical usage, for at the time these translators were doing their work these singular forms had already been replaced by the plural you in polite conversation.”

David W. Norris²¹ states:

“Shakespeare certainly knew how to use English, but he also knew how to be vulgar, suggestive, and anything but pure-minded in his writing. Rather than being so much influenced itself by the language around it, the Authorised Version has given to the English language many words, phrases, and proverbs...[it has] had an impact on English prose that remains to this day.

“The 1611 Bible was never the ‘modern version’ of its day. The Authorised Version possesses its own unique English. It gave to English far more than it took from it...Bible words must be defined for us by the way they are used in the Bible itself. Scripture is its own lexicon [see *The Language of the King James Bible* and *In Awe of Thy Word*, Parts 1-4, both by Dr Mrs Riplinger]...It is for preachers of the Word to explain and expound these words according to their very specific biblical usage, which will often be different from their secular use. For example, dikaiosune is translated ‘righteousness’ in our Authorised Version, but in English translations of the Greek philosopher, Plato, the same word is translated ‘justice’. Dikaiosune when used in Scripture means to be right before God, to be as we ought before God, to stand in a right relationship to Him. Used in Plato, it means to be right with our fellowmen, to be as we ought with other men. In Scripture, the word is directed towards God, in Plato towards men.”



“The angel of the bottomless pit...**in the Greek** tongue hath his name Apollyon”

Revelation 9:11 (!)

Plato leavens the 1984 NIV in Acts 17:31, Romans 3:25, 26, Hebrews 11:33, Revelation 19:11, where **“righteousness”** is changed to **“justice.”** The 2011 NIV has **“righteousness”** in Romans 3:25, 26 but retains **“justice”** where **“righteousness”** is **“through faith”** Hebrews 11:33 and where God **“will judge the world”** Acts 17:11 and **“judge and make war”** against it Revelation 19:11. **“Sinners...are afraid”** Isaiah 33:14 of that **“righteousness”** and would prefer Plato!

3. Koine Greek was a stage in the development of the scriptures, Psalm 12:6, 7, with God bringing forth vernacular Bibles in many languages²²; Latin, Syriac, Gothic, German, English etc. However, Koine Greek is now history, as Dr Mrs Riplinger explains²³, this writer’s emphases.

“The desire to appear intelligent or superior by referring to ‘the Greek’ and downplaying the common man’s Bible, exposes a naivety concerning textual history and those documents which today’s pseudo-intellectuals call ‘the critical text,’ ‘the original Greek,’ the ‘Majority Text,’ or the ‘Textus Receptus.’ There existed a true original Greek (i.e. Majority Text, Textus Receptus). It is not in print and never will be, because it is unnecessary. No one on the planet speaks first century Koine Greek, so God is finished with it. He needs no ‘Dead Bible Society’ to translate it into “everyday English,” using the same corrupt secularised lexicons used by the TNIV, NIV, NASB and HCSB [Holman Christian Standard Bible]. God has not called readers to check his Holy Bible for errors. He has called his Holy Bible to check us for errors.”

4. Paul never said go to ‘the Greek’ for what God ‘really’ said. **“Except ye utter by the tongue words easy to be understood, how shall it be known what is spoken?”** 1 Corinthians 14:9.
5. Few can master Koine Greek. They risk becoming ‘Protestant popes,’ **“highminded”** 2 Timothy 3:4, like 33rd Degree Royal Arch Masons, i.e. only those taught ‘the (Greek) mysteries’ know what God ‘really’ said, *which violates the priesthood of all believers, 1 Peter 2:5, 9 and is lording it over the laity, “the doctrine of the Nicolaitans, which thing I hate”* Revelation 2:15.
6. Even the Greeks don’t understand ‘the Greek’! Bro. Brent Logan is a KJB Baptist missionary to Thessaloniki, Greece. He has said to this writer:

“The TR (Koine) Greek is not used in Greece. Modern Greek (Dimotiki) is several steps away from Koine. Some use the older Katharevousa Greek which is between Koine and Dimotiki, but this is still 19th century Greek. Most do not even understand Katharevousa. I have heard that there may be some Orthodox priests that chant the Koine as liturgy without knowing what it means but have never confirmed this. Any exception would prove the rule. Greek people today do not have nor understand Koine.”

Why should English-speaking believers be subject to a language for **“the scripture of truth”** Daniel 10:21 that not even Greeks understand? As Paul says of **“false brethren...who came in privily to spy out our liberty which we have in Christ Jesus, that they might bring us into bondage: To whom we gave place by subjection, no, not for an hour”** Galatians 2:4-5.

7. The expression “in the Greek” occurs only once in scripture, Revelation 9:11 (!) in relation to “Apollyon” and “the bottomless pit.” That is where ‘Greekiolatry’ comes from. The Lord Jesus Christ said **“Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away”** Matthew 24:35. ‘The Greek’ is long gone **“But the word is very nigh unto thee, in thy mouth, and in thy heart, that thou mayest do it”** Deuteronomy 30:14.

The AV1611 is that word, **“the word of faith, which we preach”** Romans 10:8.

Amen.

“The Cry of Sodom” – Genesis 18:20



“The LORD rained upon Sodom...fire from the LORD” Genesis 19:24²⁴

Introduction – “as it was in the days of Lot” Luke 17:28

The Lord said **“Likewise also as it was in the days of Lot;...But the same day that Lot went out of Sodom it rained fire and brimstone from heaven, and destroyed them all”** Luke 17:28-29. He then said in Luke 17:30 that a return to **“the days of Lot”** would point to His Return. **“Even thus shall it be in the day when the Son of man is revealed.”**

References to God’s destruction of Sodom and **“the days of Lot”** are therefore found in the later New Testament letters that look towards the Lord’s Return in fiery judgement, 2 Thessalonians 1:7-9, such as overtook Sodom²⁵.

“And turning the cities of Sodom and Gomorrha into ashes condemned them with an overthrow, making them an ensample unto those that after should live ungodly; And delivered just Lot, vexed with the filthy conversation of the wicked: (For that righteous man dwelling among them, in seeing and hearing, vexed his righteous soul from day to day with their unlawful deeds;)” 2 Peter 2:6-8.

“Even as Sodom and Gomorrha, and the cities about them in like manner, giving themselves over to fornication, and going after strange flesh, are set forth for an example, suffering the vengeance of eternal fire” Jude 7.

The ultimate instigation of **“the days of Lot”** in this country came on March 15th 2012²⁶. **“The government has launched a 12-week consultation on allowing gay [i.e. sodomite] couples in England and Wales to marry.”** Sodomite ‘marriage’ became the law of the land in England on March 29th 2014 www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-26793127 but **never “the law of Christ”** Galatians 6:2. Sodomite ‘marriage’ shows that **“according to the scriptures”** 1 Corinthians 15:3, 4, the Lord’s Return is near. These are **“the last days”** of the **“perilous times”** 2 Timothy 3:1, www.jesus-is-savior.com/Basics/sodom.htm, **Europe and America are Becoming a Giant Sodom and Gomorrah!**

One aspect of **“the days of Lot”** is **“the cry of Sodom”** Genesis 18:20 with **“the men of Sodom...wicked and sinners before the LORD exceedingly”** Genesis 13:13.

"The Cry of Sodom" – the Biblical Witnesses

"And the LORD said, Because the cry of Sodom and Gomorrah is great, and because their sin is very grievous; I will go down now, and see whether they have done altogether according to the cry of it, which is come unto me; and if not, I will know"
Genesis 18:20-21.

Most pre-1611 Bibles support the reading "**cry of Sodom**," the Coverdale, Great, Bishops', Geneva Bibles. The Wycliffe Bible partially supports it with "*the cry of men of Sodom.*" Early post-1611 versions, Challoner's Revision 1749-1752, 1885 RV, 1901 ASV support "**cry of Sodom.**"

The modern bibles change the reading. The RSV, NRSV, 1984 NIV, 2005 TNIV, 2011 NIV, NKJV, JB, NJB, NWT, CEV, NCV, NLT, ESV, HCSB have "**outcry against Sodom**" or similar and the NASV has "*outcry of Sodom.*" This is a change of meaning but, typically, *it is not for the better.*

"Outcry against Sodom" – What Outcry?

The change to "*outcry*" is wrong. See Jude 7 and Genesis 19:24-25. **"Then the LORD rained upon Sodom and upon Gomorrah brimstone and fire from the LORD out of heaven; And he overthrew those cities, and all the plain, and all the inhabitants of the cities, and that which grew upon the ground."**

No outcry occurred against Sodom. **"God destroyed the cities of the plain"** Genesis 19:29 **"and all the inhabitants of the cities"** with the exception of Zoar at Lot's request, Genesis 19:20-23, because they were all engaged in Sodom's **"very grievous"** sin, apart from **"just Lot"** whom God delivered. In a city **"overthrown by the mouth of the wicked"** Proverbs 11:11, God will spare **"the men that sigh and that cry for all the abominations that be done in the midst thereof"** Ezekiel 9:4-6 but God spared none of **"the men of Sodom."** What, then, of the criers?

"The Cry of Sodom" – the Child Victims

The cry of a city in scripture is of its citizens and is of distress. "...and the cry of the city went up to heaven" 1 Samuel 5:12. See also Jeremiah 11:12, 14:2, 51:54 but note Genesis 19:4-5:

"But before they lay down, the men of the city, even the men of Sodom, compassed the house round, both old and young, all the people from every quarter: And they called unto Lot, and said unto him, Where are the men which came in to thee this night? bring them out unto us, that we may know them."

"...all the people" were guilty, whether they **"commit such things...worthy of death"** or **"have pleasure in them that do them"** Romans 1:32. *The only ones crying in distress were victims, child victims. Only they could have cried "unto me."* That is why **"God destroyed the cities of the plain,"** for sodomy and paedophilia, mercifully delivering the victims by sudden death.

"Occupy till I come" Luke 19:13

Government strategy is **"...as it was in the days of Lot."** *"They want the world to become like Sodom"²⁷ and new versions conceal the danger to children even though psychiatrists confirm it²⁸. "...2-4% of men attracted to adults prefer men...; in contrast...25-40% of men attracted to children prefer boys...Thus the rate of homosexual attraction is [at least] 6-20 times higher among pedophiles"*

Nevertheless **"Occupy till I come"** because **"turning the cities of Sodom and Gomorrah into ashes...The Lord knoweth how to deliver the godly out of temptations, and to reserve the unjust unto the day of judgment to be punished"** 2 Peter 2:6, 9.

The Sovereign Power of Darkness



POWER OF DARKNESS
*"This is your hour, and the power
of darkness" Luke 22:53*

IMAGE OF DARKNESS

Noted Christian writer and broadcaster Texe Marrs said this at the time of the attack on the World Trade Centre in NYC on the morning of September 11th 2001. See:

www.texemarrs.com/102001/face_of_devil.htm.

Where carnage, bloodshed, and destruction can be found, there you will also find Satan, aka the Devil. This mind-boggling picture is real. Printed on the web sites of The Philadelphia Inquirer newspaper, Cable News Network (CNN.com), and the pages of The Fort Worth Star-Telegram newspaper in Texas, this image was also broadcast over Fox TV News network. It clearly shows the devil's face in the fire and smoke of the explosions at the twin towers of the World Trade Center in New York City. The Associated Press confirms that this is an unretouched photograph, a digitized close-up of the original.

The image itself however is not the central issue. The central issue is what it depicts as the Lord Jesus Christ said on the eve of **"the suffering and death...that he by the grace of God should taste death for every man"** Hebrews 2:9.

"This is your hour, and the power of darkness" Luke 22:53

THE SOVEREIGN POWER OF DARKNESS

Why, then, the title of this piece, as above? After all, fundamentalists repeatedly use the word *sovereign* to refer to the Lord Himself, by means of such well-known phrases as *"the Sovereignty of God"* and *"God is Sovereign"* etc. How does the word *sovereign* then come to be associated with ***"the power of darkness"*** Luke 22:53? Gail Riplinger explains why.

THE SOVEREIGN "MAN OF SIN" 2 Thessalonians 2:3

Gail Riplinger shows in *The Language of the King James Bible* p 66, her emphases, that the popular NIV *using the word sovereign* is a precursor to the encroaching satanic new world order that the Lord Jesus Christ called ***"the power of darkness"*** Luke 22:53.

The NIV omits the powerful word "GOD" over 300 times [See The number of times 15 Major words differ from the King James Bible www.av1611.org/biblewrd.html by Terry Watkins]. It substitutes the weak word 'Sovereign.' This term was introduced into English by the French-speaking 'sovereigns' who governed England during the 12th century [See en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_English_monarchs Henry I, Stephen, Henry II, Richard I].

According to the [Oxford English Dictionary's] corpus of English language, it has been used almost exclusively to indicate a mortal political leader, **not** the transcendent Almighty GOD. The recent unfortunate popularization of this word in some religious circles, no doubt owes its emphasis to John Calvin. The word 'Sovereign' capsulizes his French training for the priesthood, his denial of man's free-will and his teachings merging church and state. This merger looms frighteningly close as the Antichrist's shadow falls over the NIV's "Sovereign Lord," a term the OED cites as indicating a "man." Paul and John have identified that man.

"Let no man deceive you by any means: for that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition" 2 Thessalonians 2:3.

"Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding count the number of the beast: for it is the number of a man; and his number is Six hundred threescore and six" Revelation 13:18.

"That man of sin...the son of perdition" is known by his Sovereign Catholic Version.

THE SOVEREIGN CATHOLIC VERSION

See en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Douay%E2%80%93Rheims_Bible. The first English Bible to use the term "sovereign" was the Catholic Douay-Rheims Bible 1610, revised 1749-1752.

The DR uses "sovereignty" in Judges 5:11 and "sovereign" in Isaiah 3:1, 10:16, 33, 51:22, Amos 5:14, Jude 4 i.e. 7 times. Jude 4 shows the DR's influence on the 1984, 2011 NIVs:

"For certain men are secretly entered in (who were written of long ago unto this judgment), ungodly men, turning the grace of our Lord God into riotousness and denying the only sovereign Ruler and our Lord Jesus Christ" Jude 4 DR.

"For certain men whose condemnation was written about long ago have secretly slipped in among you. They are godless men, who change the grace of our God into a license for immorality and deny Jesus Christ our only Sovereign and Lord" Jude 4 1984 NIV.

"For certain individuals whose condemnation was written about long ago have secretly slipped in among you. They are ungodly people, who pervert the grace of our God into a license for immorality and deny Jesus Christ our only Sovereign and Lord" Jude 4 2011 NIV. Note that the DR, NIVs use "Sovereign" to erase "**Lord God**" in Jude 4 and rob "**our Lord Jesus Christ**" of His Deity to help set up the Antichrist as the AV1611 shows:

"THE WORDS OF THE LORD" Psalm 12:6

"For there are certain men crept in unawares, who were before of old ordained to this condemnation, ungodly men, turning the grace of our God into lasciviousness, and denying the only Lord God, and our Lord Jesus Christ" Jude 4 AV1611.

DIFFERENT WORD, SAME THEFT, SAME SATANIC SET-UP

The Catholic JB, NJB, Jerusalem, New Jerusalem Bibles change "Sovereign" to the more familiar synonym "Master" but still erase "**Lord God**" to rob "**our Lord Jesus Christ**" of His Deity and promote "**that man of sin...so that he as God sitteth in the temple of God, shewing himself that he is God**" 2 Thessalonians 2:3-4. All modern bibles; RV, ASV, NASVs, RSV, NRSV, NIVs, NKJV footnote, CEV, ESV, GNT, HCSB, NCV, NET, NLT, NWTs, follow suit. That shows that they are from the same Catholic "**troubled fountain, and...corrupt spring**" Proverbs 25:26. Note also the gender-neutral changes in the 2011 NIV in Jude 4, to further the merging of the apostate End Times church with the satanic new world order that the Lord Jesus Christ called "**the power of darkness**" Luke 22:53.

"WATCH YE, STAND FAST IN THE FAITH" 1 Corinthians 16:13

In conclusion note that not merely 'the Sovereign Lord' but "**the Lord God omnipotent reigneth**" Revelation 19:6. "**What shall we then say to these things? If God be for us, who can be against us?**" Romans 8:31. Therefore "**till he come**" 1 Corinthians 11:26:

"Watch ye, stand fast in the faith, quit you like men, be strong" 1 Corinthians 16:13.

Pure vs. Corrupt Manuscript Ascension – Bro. Al Cuppett’s Vision


“Where there is no vision, the people perish: but he that keepeth the law, happy is he”

Proverbs 29:18, www.kjvprophecy.com/Articles/BibleOrigins.pdf (Updated Chart)

Corrupt Manuscript Ascension – “Wild Vine”

Pure Manuscript Ascension


1604-1611, 7 Years



Al Cuppett alcuppett.wordpress.com/ Summary

(N.B. The former site with this material is now corrupt. The same information is on the above site)

Alexander B. Cuppett served as “Action Officer” with the Pentagon, Joint Chiefs of Staff (Department of Defense). While serving in official capacity, he was awarded both the Bronze Star and the Purple Heart. Cuppett also received the Secretary of Defense Civilian Service Medal upon his retirement in 1990 after 21 years of service in the United States Army. Mr. Cuppett gained notoriety for his public talks warning of the emergence of the New World Order in America and bringing attention to the alarming evidence that foreign troops and armaments were showing up in the USA. He was one of the first people to sound the alarm regarding the maintaining of Red and Blue Lists which would be used to round up people during a martial law scenario and bring attention to the construction of FEMA concentration camps. In the early 1990s Cuppett appeared on a speaking tour with the well-known TV program *The Prophecy Club* and gained fame with his talks on Black Ops and Bible prophecy, ultimately producing 2 video programs that were best sellers during that time period.



Al Cuppett US Army & Action Officer, the Joint Chiefs of Staff (Retired)

From Al Cuppett's website alcuppett.wordpress.com/2012/08/:

My advice: Get an old *Authorized King James Bible* and start praying to Jesus, because our time as free people is just about over. "*Am I therefore become your enemy because I tell you the truth?*" [Galatians 4:16]. (N.B. The site address has been changed)

Al Cuppett

More from Al Cuppett's website alcuppett.wordpress.com/page/5/, search for key words to find exact quote in situ: (N.B. The site address has been changed with format changes only to content)

Advice: Get yourself an old fashioned King James Bible [Authorized Version], permanently discarding all other bible versions, including the "numeric coded Greek and Hebrew" portions of the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance, and start fasting and praying. The Holy Ghost cannot manifest faith, hope, peace, joy, etc, in your spirit in a language you cannot understand. You're gonna need guidance; and that right early saint! **READ THE LAST PARAGRAPH IF YOU READ NOTHING ELSE!!**

And if these Greek "scholars" ever admitted God Almighty gave us a perfect "*Psalm 12:6-7*" (KJV-only) Bible these educated morons would have to get an honest job!!! However, most of such "learned" people happen to be pastors in pulpits who "fleece their sheep" weekly. Just read about "*polluted bread*" in Malachi 1, verses 6 to about 12. But, hey, what do I know, I'm just a Railway Mail Clerk's son? I'll bet the Jesuit infiltrators at **Lee College** and **Central Bible College** will do a slow burn when they read this paragraph. So be it! They got to dear Brother Swaggart back in 1988. Are they gonna get you too? *Or have they gotten to you already?*

"For ever, O Lord thy word is settled in heaven". Psm 119:89 – KJV ONLY. So, if the bible you're using doesn't match what's "for ever settled" in heaven, you have a Jesuitic counterfeit. Thus, the Holy Spirit is exponentially bound, and the resultant spiritual vacuum of holiness/heaven sent power has been filled by evil in our churches AND OUR LAND, since about 1970. Therefore, the New World Order has come in "like a flood". Hence, the foreign troops! Get back to the KJV, the old blood washed hymns, discarding forever praise and worship, since you must wash by the "water of the word" WHICH IS THE KJV BIBLE-ONLY, before entering into the holy throne room of God. LOOK—! Doing praise and worship with ANY sin in your heart is an abomination! Praise and worship without pure repentance beforehand is an ignorant or perverted attempt to APPEASE God! THINK! David Wilkerson preached the precepts just above in the italicized print, in 1988, not me. He also says the angels cast this kind of [UNCLEAN] praise back on the earth as judgment!!

Wilkerson and Cuppett are right. "*He that turneth away his ear from hearing the law, even his prayer shall be abomination*" Proverbs 28:9 with Proverbs 29:18 above. Be encouraged, though:



Revival – A Seven-Point Plan

Introduction

Jack Chick has addressed the most important issue for the church today. See:

www.chick.com/reading/tracts/1069/1069_01.asp.

What follows is therefore a seven-point plan in the light of Bro. Chick's observations for revival. *Genuine* spiritual revival depends upon *fidelity* to **"the book of the law of the LORD"** 2 Chronicles 17:9, now **"the law of Christ"** Galatians 6:2 - the 1611 Authorized King James Holy Bible, the *King's Holy Bible*. See the *Ruckman Reference Bible* pp 584, 586, 671-672 and note how revival came about in Josiah's time.



"And the king stood in his place, and made a covenant before the LORD, to walk after the LORD, and to keep his commandments, and his testimonies, and his statutes, with all his heart, and with all his soul, to perform the words of the covenant which are written in this book. And he caused all that were present in Jerusalem and Benjamin to stand to it. And the inhabitants of Jerusalem did according to the covenant of God, the God of their fathers. And Josiah took away all the abominations out of all the countries that pertained to the children of Israel, and made all that were present in Israel to serve, even to serve the LORD their God. And all his days they departed not from following the LORD, the God of their fathers" 2 Chronicles 34:31-33.

Pulling down and casting out according to **"the book of the law of the LORD"** had to go before **"perfecting holiness in the fear of God"** 2 Corinthians 7:1, 10:4, 5. So it is now as then.

Seven-Point Plan

1. Junk Vatican Versions

All modern versions are *Vatican* versions straight from **"THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH"** Revelation 17:5. See *Did The Catholic Church Give Us The Bible?* by David W. Daniels www.chick.com/catalog/bibleversions.asp and *Undeniable Proof the ESV, NIV, NASB are the new "Vatican Versions" and The NKJV is a Poor Substitute for the True Bible* by Will Kinney brandplucked.webs.com/kjbarticles.htm. No modern i.e. Vatican version has ever brought revival or ever will. **"They are even the dross of silver"** Ezekiel 22:18. Vatican versionism should be *confessed* and *forsaken* for revival. **"He that covereth his sins shall not prosper: but whoso confesseth and forsaketh them shall have mercy"** Proverbs 28:13.

2. Junk 'Originals-Onlyism'

By definition, no 'originals-onlyist' has any *book in existence now* that is 'God's word' as the Lord Jesus Christ called it. **"But he said, Yea rather, blessed are they that hear the word of God, and keep it"** Luke 11:28.

No 'originals-onlyist' can **"keep"** what he doesn't have i.e. 'the originals.' It follows that the 'originals-onlyist' perceives that any book that he *calls* 'God's word' *has lost information in transmission*. It has therefore *degenerated*. The 'originals-onlyist' therefore *cannot even have salvation because it too must have degenerated because salvation is predicated upon* **"the word of God."** **"Being born again, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, by the word of God, which liveth and abideth for ever"** 1 Peter 1:23, except the originals-onlyist' says it doesn't.

Moreover, the 'originals-onlyist' **"hath done despite unto the Spirit of grace"** Hebrews 10:29 in that he has *denied* Psalm 12:6-7: **"The words of the LORD are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times. Thou shalt keep them, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever."** 'Originals-onlyism' should be *confessed* and *forsaken* for revival.

3. **Junk Hebrew/Greekiolatry**

Fundamentalists often cite 'the Hebrew' and 'the Greek' for what God 'really' said. However, 'the Hebrew' and 'the Greek' so-called *never* reveal anything *authoritative* and instead *mislead*. See for example the *Ruckman Reference Bible* p 1425 on John 21:15-17 for debunking *agape* and *phileo* as superior and inferior forms of *love*. See John 21:15, 16 for "**lovest**" *agape* twice and John 21:17 "**lovest**" *phileo* "**the third time.**" See Matthew 23:6/Luke 11:43 *phileo/agape*, John 5:20, 11:3, 16:27 *phileo* each time, 2 Timothy 4:10 *agape*, 2 Peter 2:15 *agape*, 1 John 2:15 *agape* each time. Moreover, New Testament Greek is a *dead* language as Gail Riplinger, *In Awe of Thy Word* p 956, states. **There existed a true original Greek...It is not in print and never will be, because it is unnecessary. No one on the planet speaks first century Koine Greek, so God is finished with it.** Hebrew/Greekiolatry should be *confessed* and *forsaken* for revival.

4. **Junk 5-Point Calvinism**

5-Point Calvinism is heresy. See www.timefortruth.co.uk/errors-of-calvinism/. The scripture sums up 5-Point Calvinism as follows. David in despotic mode acts as Calvin's God, arbitrarily selecting saved and lost. "**And he smote Moab, and measured them with a line, casting them down to the ground; even with two lines measured he to put to death, and with one full line to keep alive...**" 2 Samuel 8:2. 5-Point Calvinism should be *confessed* and *forsaken* for revival.

5. **Junk anti- Israelism**

God is *not* all through with the nation of Israel. Paul says that you are conceited and ignorant if you think otherwise. "**For I would not, brethren, that ye should be ignorant of this mystery, lest ye should be wise in your own conceits; that blindness in part is happened to Israel, until the fulness of the Gentiles be come in. And so all Israel shall be saved: as it is written, There shall come out of Sion the Deliverer, and shall turn away ungodliness from Jacob**" Romans 11:25-26. Anti-Israelism should be *confessed* and *forsaken* for revival.

6. **Focus on "the mysteries of God" 1 Corinthians 4:1**

See the *Ruckman Reference Bible* pp 1513-1514. "**The mysteries of God**" are seven; the Incarnation of Christ, 1 Timothy 3:16, the indwelling Christ, Colossians 1:27, the body of Christ, Ephesians 5:32, the Blindness of Israel, Romans 11:25, the Incarnation of Satan, 2 Thessalonians 2:7, the Rapture, 1 Corinthians 15:51, Babylon the Great, Revelation 17:5. "**The ministers of Christ, and stewards of the mysteries of God**" 1 Corinthians 4:1 must faithfully *preach* them for revival.

7. **Submit to "the king's word" 2 Samuel 24:4, the King's 1611 Holy Bible**

King James translator Dr Miles Smith gives the crowning exhortation for revival. See www.jesus-is-lord.com/pref1611.htm. *Ye are brought unto fountains of living water which ye digged not; do not cast earth into them with the Philistines [Genesis 26:15], neither prefer broken pits before them with the wicked Jews [Jeremiah 2:13]. Others have laboured, and you may enter into their labours; O receive not so great things in vain, O despise not so great salvation!...a blessed thing it is, and will bring us to everlasting blessedness in the end, when God speaketh unto us, to hearken; when he setteth his word before us, to read it; when he stretcheth out his hand and calleth, to answer, Here am I, here we are to do thy will, O God. The Lord work a care and conscience in us to know him and serve him, that we may be acknowledged of him at the appearing of our Lord Jesus Christ, to whom with the holy Ghost, be all praise and thanksgiving. Amen. The King's Bible is "the book of the law of the LORD" 2 Chronicles 17:9 for *revival*. "**Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?**" Ecclesiastes 8:4.*

Conclusion

The above seven points must be in place for revival. Otherwise prayer for revival is a waste of time and time is not there to waste, as Paul warns "**See then that ye walk circumspectly, not as fools, but as wise, Redeeming the time, because the days are evil**" Ephesians 5:15-16.

James White and the 'King James Only Controversy' so-called

The following note was sent some years ago to a former pastor of a church this writer attends about James White's book. The note was sent on May 21st 2007. No reply was ever received. Some updates in braces [] have been inserted.

Dear ****,

Since you kindly lent me the book of the above title [The KJO Controversy], I thought I should bring you up to date on my study of it over the past year.

Having read it, I decided for my own edification to carry out my own review of the book, also bringing together the work of various other authors who have answered some the issues that James White raised.

My review is a little over half-finished [it is now complete, see link above], having reached the end of Chapter 6. I anticipate that, Lord willing and if the Lord doesn't come back in the meantime (I hope He will), I should have the review completed by early next year.

You were also kind enough to read my book on the subject, '*O Biblios*,' wherein my stance on the matter of the Bible is expressed.

My researches into James White's thesis have, if anything, served to strengthen that stance.

It should also be said that James White hasn't changed his stance either, as you can see from his web site, aomin.org/kjvo.html. I haven't read his answers to his critics in detail but they appear to be mainly a repetition of the contents of his book. They may merit a closer study in the future but for now, I can only deal with one controversy at a time.

Although my review is not complete, I have nevertheless been able to identify six main postulates that, even if not expressed as such, James White puts forward in his book. I have attached a summary of them, together with my summary answers, for your interest. Let me know if you have any problem opening the attachment. [See ***The King James Only Controversy by James White – Overview***. That item follows this note.]

In addition, I have been able to form some conclusions about James White and his work, which I have listed below. Eventual completion of my review of his book will not change them - though it might add to them. I believe that they, together with the attached material, should be kept in mind by anyone who reads White's book and who may be swayed by the opinions of some of his more prominent supporters in this country, e.g.

homepage.ntlworld.com/malcolmbowden/KJVonly.htm Malcolm Bowden of the *Creation Science Movement*. [See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php *The 1611 Holy Bible versus Malcolm Bowden*.]

moriel.org/MorielArchive/index.php/discernment/ruckmanism/is-your-modern-translation-corrupt-2 Jacob Prasch of *Moriel Ministries*

My conclusions are as follows.

1. James White is a hireling. Although he recommends the purchase of “*multiple translations*,” p 7 of his book, he has a vested financial interest in persuading bible readers to buy the NASV, New American Standard Version, because he is (or was in the 1990s) a consultant to the NASV committee and “*has a financial relationship with the Lockman Foundation.*” See www.exorthodoxforchrist.com/riplinger.htm. [The site appears to be no longer available. However, see en.wikipedia.org/wiki/James_White_%28theologian%29. The information is correct.] It is therefore easy to see why James White does not want bible readers to be ‘KJV-Only.’
2. James White is not missionary minded. Whatever he may profess to the contrary, James White is not mindful of the mission field. Certainly his book displays little or no such concern for distributing the scriptures world-wide. He betrays his lack of concern in his statement above with respect to the purchase of “*multiple translations.*” Dr Mrs Gail Riplinger, whom White attacks repeatedly in his book, exposes White’s inward-looking attitude for what it is in her book, *Which Bible is God’s Word?*, p 92-3 [2nd Edition 2007 p 116].

“It is scandalous for rich Americans to have ten versions of the bible, instead of just one. Four million dollars was invested in the New King James Version; subsequent to that; several million dollars was spent on advertising campaigns. Many tribes and peoples around the world have no King James Bible type bibles at all; the Albanian bible was destroyed during the communist regime. Many of the tribes in New Guinea do not have a bible in their language. But, these countries have no money to pay the publishers. The publishers are not interested in giving these people bibles; they are just interested in making bibles that can produce a profit for their operation.”

Dr Mrs Riplinger’s latest work, *In Awe of Thy Word*, which runs into almost 1,000 pages, demonstrates how particularly well-suited the AV1611 is for transmission into foreign languages and how it has long been esteemed by missionaries for that reason. All modern versions fall short of the AV1611 in this respect.

James White revels somewhat on his web site, www.bible.org/page.php?page_id=664, in Dr Mrs Riplinger’s designation of him as “*a rude, crude heretic.*” But she didn’t start out that way in her view of him, www.av1611.org/kjv/ripwhit5.html.

So if James White eventually acquired that designation from a gracious Christian lady like Sister Riplinger, you can rest assured, he earned it.

3. James White is his own final authority. Nowhere in his book does James White specify what is the word of God, consisting of the words of God, and the final authority in all matters of faith and practice, between two covers and where the members of the Body of Christ can find it. It is abundantly clear from his book that he doesn’t believe the AV1611 to be such. However, he betrays his own self-made approach to final authority in such statements as these, my underlining.

P 95. “*The NIV’s rendering of the term “flesh” in Paul’s epistles as “sinful nature”...is a bit too interpretive for my tastes.*”

P 160-1. “*Scripture [a selection of modern versions and excluding the AV1611] records Jesus’ call to take up the cross in three places, and this is sufficient.*”*

*One wonders if White has informed the Godhead of his conclusion in this respect and advised Them of the necessary amendments to the word that “*is settled in heaven*” Psalm 119:89.

Hopefully not, because, as it happens, White is wrong. Only Mark 10:21 as it stands unequivocally* in the AV1611 has the expression “*take up the cross.*” The other three

verses, Matthew 16:24, Mark 8:34, Luke 9:23 all refer to "his cross" not "the cross." As you will appreciate, there is a distinct difference.

*Although on this occasion, the NKJV appears to have overlooked the usual footnote that would eliminate the expression, in accordance with the Nestle Aland-United Bible Societies text underlying the NASV, NIV etc.

4. James White is economical with the truth. James White repeatedly accuses 'KJV-Onlyists' of being "*inconsistent*" pp 60, 71, 72, 88, 209, 230, 231, 233, 248, 249 and of adopting "*double standards*" pp 107, 162, 170, 173, 232, 236, 244. At the very least, this is a case of 'pots and kettles.'

For example, James White insists, p 38, that the AV1611 has added to the word of God by means of the phrase "*and the Lord Jesus Christ*" at the end of Colossians 1:2, even though the phrase has overwhelming attestation from a vast and varied body of sources, including Codex Aleph or Sinaiticus. See Moorman, *Early Manuscripts and the Authorized Version, A Closer Look!*, p 131. The phrase is in fact, one of the 'least disputable' of all the so-called 'disputed passages.'

Yet White also describes Codex Aleph as "*a great treasure*," p 33 - in spite of supposedly adding to the word of God in Colossians 1:2. What he neglects to tell the reader is the manner in which Aleph definitely does add to the word of God, by means of the New Testament apocryphal books, *The Shepherd of Hermas* and *The Epistle of Barnabas*.

Gail Riplinger reveals in her book *New Age Versions*, p 557ff, that these two books urge the reader to "*take the name of the beast, give up to the beast and form a one-world government*," along with other Satanic exhortations.

James White neglected to mention any of this in his book but such is his "*great treasure*." He is clearly being "*inconsistent*" and applying a "*double standard*."

(And it is therefore easy to see why White and his allies despise Gail Riplinger and her work in equal measure.)

5. James White leans heavily towards Rome and Watchtower. In spite of what James White would undoubtedly profess to the contrary, the departures from the AV1611 that White favours and which occur mostly in the NASV, NIV, also occur to a considerable extent in Catholic and Jehovah's Witnesses' bibles.

White levels criticisms at 237 passages of scripture as they stand in the AV1611, 250 verses in total, of which 24 verses are from the Old Testament. Of that selection, the NIV stands with the AV1611 in only 9 of the 237 passages, or in 4% of the total. However, it lines up *against* the AV1611 *with* the JR, DR, JB and NWT* in 28% of the passages, with the JB and NWT in 69% of the passages and with one or more of the JR, DR, JB, NWT in 89% of the passages that White mentions.

*DR - Douay-Rheims, Challoner's 1749 Revision, JR - Jesuit Rheims 1582 New Testament, from the web and probably a reproduction of the DR - it doesn't differ, JB - Jerusalem Bible, NWT - New World Translation

James White won't see himself as a Vatican-Watchtower slave but he is. Note also that in these last days of "*perilous times*" 2 Timothy 3:1, the modern so-called 'evangelical' versions are drifting further from the 1611 Authorised Holy Bible than even the known apostate versions. The time of faith being "*made shipwreck*" cannot be long delayed, 1 Timothy 1:20 - though I admit that is a personal view.

In sum, I do not regard either James White or his work as trustworthy, a summary view that I believe will be reinforced as the review progresses [It was]. For now, for what it's worth, I

am quite happy for you to display this note and the accompanying attachment on the church notice board and/or circulate them however you may choose to and I will be quite happy to respond to any questions that may arise therefrom. [\[That never happened.\]](#)

I apologise for the length of this note but I hope that some useful clarification has been provided with respect to the issues that James White's book raises. Thank you again for the loan of it.

Yours in the Lord Jesus Christ, 2 Chronicles 14:11, [***“And Asa cried unto the LORD his God, and said, LORD, it is nothing with thee to help, whether with many, or with them that have no power: help us, O LORD our God; for we rest on thee, and in thy name we go against this multitude. O LORD, thou art our God; let not man prevail against thee.”***]

Alan

The King James Only Controversy by James White - Overview

The ‘Whitewash’ Conspiracy – re: The King James Only Controversy by James White

Summary

This book by James White, of Alpha and Omega Ministries, Phoenix, Arizona, attempts to show that believing the Authorised 1611 King James Bible to be the pure words of God and the final authority in all matters of faith and practice, is wrong, because:

- There is no ‘conspiracy’ behind the modern versions against the AV1611
- The Greek texts underlying the modern translations have not been corrupted
- Modern scholarship that compiled these texts is entirely trustworthy
- The AV1611 is the result of human effort and contains errors
- The modern translations often yield superior readings to the AV1611
- The modern translations do not attack the Deity of the Lord Jesus Christ.

This review will show that White is wrong in all six of the above respects and that his book is an exercise in dissimulation from start to finish. Summary answers to White’s essential postulates are as follows:

No Conspiracy?

John Burgon, Dean of Chichester and exhaustive researcher into the Text of the New Testament, pinpointed the satanic conspiracy against the holy scriptures as follows:

“Vanquished by THE WORD Incarnate, Satan next directed his subtle malice against the WORD written. Hence...the extraordinary fate which befell certain early transcripts of the Gospel...Corrupting influences...were actively at work throughout the first hundred and fifty years after the death of St John the Divine.”

Uncorrupted Greek Texts?

Of the early Greek manuscripts that underlie the departures of the modern versions from the Authorised Version, Burgon, who collated them, said this:

“The five Old Uncials’ (Aleph A B C D) falsify the Lord’s Prayer as given by St. Luke in no less than forty-five words. But so little do they agree among themselves, that they throw themselves into six different combinations in their departures from the Traditional Text...and their grand point of union is no less than an omission of an article. Such is their eccentric tendency, that in respect of thirty-two out of the whole forty-five words they bear in turn solitary evidence.”

Modern Scholarship Trustworthy?

The departures of the modern versions from the Authorised Version were orchestrated mainly by Cambridge academics Westcott and Hort. Of their ‘scholarship,’ Burgon stated:

“My contention is, - NOT that the Theory of Drs Westcott and Hort rests on an INSECURE foundation, but, that it rests on NO FOUNDATION AT ALL.”

A Modern Scholar Speaks

Of White’s remaining postulates, this is the verdict of Dr Frank Logsdon, principal scholar behind the NASV, New American Standard Version, match mate to the NIV:

“I must under God renounce every attachment to the New American Standard...you can say the Authorized Version is absolutely correct. How correct? 100% correct!”


Amen!

Citation:

“Lowliness of mind” Philippians 2:4 versus 2 Timothy 3:4 **“Traitors, heady, highminded”**


The King James translators’ **“lowliness of mind”** Philippians 2:4 contrasts sharply with **“Traitors, heady, highminded”** 2 Timothy 3:4 amongst whom is James White **“who loveth to have the pre-eminence among them”** 3 John 9 as his book *The King James Only Controversy* readily shows.

Gail Riplinger has revealed the humility of the King James translators versus the arrogance of James White and his fellow travellers in the following extract from *The Riplinger Report Issue #11*:



The handwritten rules for the translation of the KJB (1604-1611) were published in a book entitled, *Manifold Greatness: The Making of the King James Bible*. It is published by the Bodleian Library of the University of Oxford in Great Britain (Helen Moore and Julian Reid, Eds., Oxford: Bodleian Library, p. 89).

Readers were in for a surprise. I had said in *In Awe of Thy Word* that Rule 11 called for the input of any man. I had read that in one of the VERY old documents I have. That rule recognizes the priesthood of all believers and in effect denounces any separate ‘superior’ class of ‘scholars’ or ‘linguists’...



However, as the years rolled on, the liberal ‘scholars’ of England had changed Rule 11, when they wrote their books on the history of the KJB. They pretended that the translators invited only “any learned man.” They added the word “learned” to rule 11!!!!

Lo and behold, when the ORIGINAL handwritten notes were resurrected for this 400th anniversary, and a photocopy printed in *Manifold Greatness*, they said, “any man”, just as I had said in *In Awe of Thy Word*. The scholars did not like the idea that just ANY believer could give his insights to the committee, so they changed it.

The priesthood of believers, following the Spirit of God, not the puffed up views of scholars, is the means by which God preserves his word. King James and the KJB translators knew this.

Don’t believe everything you read that was written by scholars. They uniformly copy each other, never bothering to look at the ‘original.’ Don’t believe everything you read criticizing KJB believers and their facts either.

Let the King James translators speak www.jesus-is-lord.com/pref1611.htm

From the Epistle Dedicatory for the 1611 Holy Bible **TO THE MOST HIGH AND MIGHTIE PRINCE, JAMES BY THE GRACE OF GOD KING OF GREAT BRITAIN, FRANCE, AND IRELAND, DEFENDER OF THE FAITH, &c. THE TRANSLATORS OF THE BIBLE** wish Grace, Mercy and Peace, through JESUS CHRIST, our Lord.

So that if, on the one side, we shall be traduced by Popish Persons at home or abroad, who therefore will malign us, because we are poor Instruments to make GOD's holy Truth to be yet more and more known unto the people, whom they desire still to keep in ignorance and darkness; or if, on the other side, we shall be maligned by self-conceited Brethren, who run their own ways, and give liking unto nothing, but what is framed by themselves, and hammered on their Anvil; we may rest secure, supported within by truth and innocency of a good conscience, having walked the ways of simplicity and integrity, as before the Lord; and sustained without by the powerful protection of Your Majesty's grace and favour, which will ever give countenance to honest and Christian endeavours against bitter censures and uncharitable imputations.

From THE TRANSLATORS TO THE READER. Preface to the King James Version 1611

Lastly, we have on the one side avoided the scrupulosity of the Puritans, who leave the old Ecclesiastical words, and betake them to other, as when they put WASHING for BAPTISM, and CONGREGATION instead of CHURCH: as also on the other side we have shunned the obscurity of the Papists, in their AZIMES, TUNIKE, RATIONAL, HOLOCAUSTS, PRAEPUCE, PASCHE, and a number of such like, whereof their late Translation is full, and that of purpose to darken the sense, that since they must needs translate the Bible, yet by the language thereof, it may be kept from being understood. But we desire that the Scripture may speak like itself, as in the language of Canaan, that it may be understood even of the very vulgar.

Many other things we might give thee warning of (gentle Reader) if we had not exceeded the measure of a Preface already. It remaineth, that we commend thee to God, and to the Spirit of his grace, which is able to build further than we can ask or think. He removeth the scales from our eyes, the vail from our hearts, opening our wits that we may understand his word, enlarging our hearts, yea correcting our affections, that we may love it to the end. Ye are brought unto fountains of living water which ye digged not; do not cast earth into them with the Philistines, neither prefer broken pits before them with the wicked Jews. [Gen 26:15. Jer 2:13.] Others have laboured, and you may enter into their labours; O receive not so great things in vain, O despise not so great salvation! Be not like swine to tread under foot so precious things, neither yet like dogs to tear and abuse holy things...It is a fearful thing to fall into the hands of the living God; [Heb 10:31] but a blessed thing it is, and will bring us to everlasting blessedness in the end, when God speaketh unto us, to hearken; when he setteth his word before us, to read it; when he stretcheth out his hand and calleth, to answer, Here am I, here we are to do thy will, O God. The Lord work a care and conscience in us to know him and serve him, that we may be acknowledged of him at the appearing of our Lord Jesus Christ, to whom with the holy Ghost, be all praise and thanksgiving. Amen.

The 1611 Holy Bible remains to this day the easiest to read *and memorise* of all Bible versions according to the Flesch-Kincaid Grade Level Indicator. See *New Age Bible Versions* by Gail Riplinger Chapter 11 *King James for Kids*.

“In that hour Jesus rejoiced in spirit, and said, I thank thee, O Father, Lord of heaven and earth, that thou hast hid these things from the wise and prudent, and hast revealed them unto babes: even so, Father; for so it seemed good in thy sight” Luke 10:21.

John 3:13 versus James White

From www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php *KJO Review Full Text* pp 633-640. Some insertions have been made in blue text.

White's [*The King James Only Controversy* p 260] next attempt to cast doubt on the word of God is with respect to the phrase "***which is in heaven***" in John 3:13. He states "*Critics of the "modern" texts are quick to pounce upon John 3:13, alleging that here we find the heretical denial of the omnipresence of Christ through the "deletion" of the phrase, "which (who) is in heaven."*"

White then accuses "*KJV Only advocates*" of wrongly laying "*charges of "heresy" on the part of either the scribes who "corrupted" the text, or the modern translators who would follow their lead*" and he insists that, "*As normal...a calm examination of the facts demonstrates otherwise.*"

White specifically accuses Jay P. Green, author of *The Gnostics, the New Versions, and the Deity of Christ* of providing "*no direct evidence...that the Gnostics tampered with the texts*" of John 3:13 and other important scriptures.

"*As normal,*" a serious examination of White's "*calm examination*" shows that it is threadbare.

White is forced to allow that, "*the external attestation for the reading is impressive*" and using the *United Bible Societies 4th Edition Greek Text*, he lists 9 uncials manuscripts that contain the phrase, 16 cursives, Families 1, 13, with a total of 18 manuscripts, 10 Old Latin sources and the Vulgate. As omitting the clause, White lists as the main sources P66, P75, Ɀ, B, L, T, W, 083, 086 and cursives 33, 1010, 1241.

He states that, "*The patristic material favors the inclusion of the phrase, though there are important witnesses against it.*" The reader is left to speculate why White fails to mention any of these "*important witnesses.*" White then makes the absurd statement that "*it is always uncomfortable to go against P66 and P75 when they are united in a particular reading...it is surely no sign of heresy or a desire to denigrate Christ to follow the lead of the two oldest witnesses to the Gospel of John in not including the reading.*"

P66 and P75 are not "*the two oldest witnesses*" to John 3:13. They are 3rd century manuscripts [www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ '*O Biblios*' – *The Book* pp 4-5, *Early Manuscripts and the Authorized Version* by J. A. Moorman p 17] and Tatian, 170 AD and Hippolytus, 170-235 AD each quotes "***which is in heaven***" in John 3:13 [*Early Church Fathers and the Authorized Version, A Demonstration!* by J. A. Moorman pp 47-48]. These witnesses are therefore either earlier than or contemporaneous with P66, P75. They give the lie to the notion of most of Metzger's Committee members who "*regarded the words [***which is in heaven***]* as an interpretive gloss, reflecting later Christological development." See below.

Moreover, Pickering [www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ '*O Biblios*' – *The Book* pp 102, 105-106] has shown that P66, P75 are "*very poor*" manuscript copies. They were not discovered until the 20th century and in the words of Dr Mrs Riplinger, dug up "*from the city garbage heaps*" and in the 50 or so years since their discovery, have not triggered any revivals. What authority to P66, P75 have to overthrow that of the Book that has brought in every revival since 1611?

The main relevance of the papyri is that they bear witness to many traditional readings, as found in the AV1611, refuting the critics' claim [www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ '*O Biblios*' – *The Book* pp 113] that these are 'late' or 'conflated.'

White again appeals to the opinion of Bruce Metzger, who states that "*a minority of the Committee preferred the reading [***which is in heaven***], arguing that (1) if the shorter reading [***which is in heaven*** deleted], supported almost exclusively by Egyptian witnesses, were original, there is no discernible motive which would have prompted copyists to add the words [***which is in heaven***], resulting in a most difficult saying...and (2) the diversity of readings implies that the expression [***the Son of man which is in heaven***], having been found objectionable or superfluous in the con-*

text, was modified either by omitting the principal clause, or by altering it so as to avoid suggesting that the Son of man was at that moment in heaven...

*““The majority of the Committee, impressed by the quality of the external attestation supporting the shorter reading, regarded the words [“**which is in heaven**”] as an interpretive gloss, reflecting later Christological development.””*

Because Dr Metzger is so ‘open’ about the Committee’s willingness to decide, **“what saith the scripture”** Romans 4:3a by majority vote, White asks the rhetorical question, intended to generate a negative answer, *“who can possibly think that there is...some “conspiracy” afoot to “hide” this passage from the average Christian reader of the Bible [still unspecified, after 261 pages]?”*

How about a ‘conspiracy’ intended to persuade *“the average Christian reader of the [unspecified] Bible”* to trust in bible critics rather than in **“the scripture of truth”** Daniel 10:21? White’s book is well suited to that kind of ‘conspiracy.’

1 Thessalonians is often the first Book that a Christian is encouraged to read after getting saved. One good reason for this is found in 1 Thessalonians 2:13, where Paul inserts a necessary warning about individuals like Metzger and White.

“For this cause also thank we God without ceasing, because, when ye received the word of God which ye heard of us, ye received it not as the word of men, but as it is in truth, the word of God, which effectually worketh also in you that believe.”

Metzger and White would mix the scriptures with the opinions of ‘scholarly’ bible critics, so that the result is **“the word of men,”** which is a fitting description of any of the new versions and explains why none of them **“effectually worketh”** in the believer.

The Earl of Shaftesbury’s www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ ‘*O Biblios*’ – *The Book* p 34] comments bear repeating.

“When you are confused or perplexed by a variety of versions, you would be obliged to go to some learned pundit [e.g. James White, Doug Kutilek, ‘our critic,’ Bruce Metzger etc.] in whom you reposed confidence, and ask him which version he recommended; and when you had taken his version, you must be bound by his opinion. I hold this to be the greatest danger that now threatens us. It is a danger pressed upon us from Germany, and pressed upon us by the neological spirit of the age. I hold it to be far more dangerous than Tractarianism, or Popery, both of which I abhor from the bottom of my heart. This evil is tenfold more dangerous, tenfold more subtle than either of these, because you would be ten times more incapable of dealing with the gigantic mischief that would stand before you.”

No greater *“mischief”* can befall the child of God than the deception that he has that which is supposed to **“effectually worketh”** in him, when in fact it does not.

Some comments have been made about John 3:13 earlier in this work. See **Chapter 3, Chapter 5**, where Dr Hills www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ ‘*O Biblios*’ – *The Book* pp 91-92, wilderness-cry.net/bible_study/books/kjv-defended/ Chapter 4] describes the omission of **“which is in heaven”** from John 3:13, with particular reference to Codex 8 as *“beyond all doubt heretical”* [*Believing Bible Study* by Edward F. Hills p 76], **Chapter 8**, adding [*The King James Version Defended* by Edward F. Hills p 136, wilderness-cry.net/bible_study/books/kjv-defended/ Chapter 5] *“This mutilation of the sacred text ought also, no doubt, to be charged to heretics hostile to the deity of Christ.”* See also Cloud’s remarks [Page no longer available but see *KJO Review Full Text* Chapter 7], including those on the heretical beliefs of Bruce Metzger.

Nestle omits **“which is in heaven”** but none of the earlier modern editors [*The Interlinear Greek-English New Testament, Stephens’s 1550 Edition*, edited by George Ricker Berry], i.e. Griesbach et alia, appear to, showing that not even these bible critics were prepared to dismiss the phrase as *““an interpretive gloss, reflecting later Christological development.””*

All the pre-1611 bibles; Wycliffe, Tyndale, [Coverdale, Matthew, Great] Geneva, Bishops' contain "**which is in heaven**" so this reading, like many others that White disputes, was part of the 16th century Protestant Reformation. See www.biblesofthepast.com/homefolio.htm.

Dr Moorman [*Early Manuscripts and the Authorized Version* p 101] cites in favour of the reading "**which is in heaven**" 21 uncials A, original and corrected, E, F, G, H, K, M, S, U, V, Y, Γ, Δ, Θ, Π, Ψ, 047, 050, 055, 0141, 0211, and 063 with variation, i.e. twice as many uncial sources as White mentions, although White refers to uncials D, Q, N that Moorman does not. In addition to the majority of cursives and Families 1, 13, Dr Moorman lists the same 10 Old Latin sources that White does and the Vulgate but Moorman also includes the Peshitta Syriac that White neglects to mention. It should be remembered that the text of the Peshitta Syriac [*Early Manuscripts and the Authorized Version* p 33] predates P66 and P75. Moorman also lists as omitting the phrase P66, P75, Ⲙ, B, L, T, W, 083, 086, 0113 and a few or no cursives, 3 according to White – see above.

Dr Moorman also lists a total of 6 church fathers in favour of AV1611 reading for John 3:13 and none against it. See above. Dr Mr Riplinger [*In Awe of Thy Word* p 739] notes that "**which is in heaven**" as found in John 3:13 in the AV1611 is also found in the pre-700 AD Anglo-Saxon Bible.

Dr Moorman has this comment, which refutes White's refusal to accept "*the heretical denial of the omnipresence of Christ through the "deletion" of the phrase, "which (who) is in heaven."*" The phrase "**which is in heaven**" has been deleted from the word of God and a "*heretical denial of the omnipresence of Christ*" has been issued thereby.

Dr Moorman notes that the phrase "**which is in heaven**" is "*A statement of the Son of God's omnipresence which though veiled during the days of His humiliation was nevertheless a glorious fact.*"

The RV does not omit the phrase but Westcott and Hort omitted it from their Greek New Testament [*Evaluating Versions of the New Testament* by Everett W. Fowler p 36]. Dean Burgon [*The Revision Revised* pp 132-135, www.ccel.org/ccel/burgon/corruption.toc.html] has these comments with respect to Westcott and Hort's omission of the phrase, his emphases, on John 3:13. His comments are likewise a rebuke to both White and Metzger. Note that Burgon addresses the "*important witnesses against*" "**which is in heaven**" that White fails to mention.

"At John iii. 13, we are informed that the last clause of that famous verse ('...which is in heaven') is not found 'in many ancient authorities.' But why...are we not also reminded that this...is a circumstance of no textual signifi-cancy whatsoever?"

*"Why, above all, are we not assured that the precious clause in question (ο ων εν τω ουρανω) is found in every MS. in the world, except five of bad character? – is recognized by **all** the Latin, and **all** the Syriac versions; as well as by the Coptic, - Ethiopic, - Georgian, - and Armenian? - is either quoted or insisted upon by Origen, - Hippolytus, - Athanasius, - Didymus, - Aphraates the Persian, - Basil the Great, - Epiphanius, - Nonnus, - [pseudo] Dionysius Alex., - Eustathius; - by Chrysostom, - Theodoret, - and Cyril, each 4 times; - by Paulus, Bishop of Emesa (in...AD 431); - by Theodorus Mops., - Amphilochius, - Severus, - Theodorus Heracl., - Basilus Cil., - Cosmas, - John Damascene, in 3 places, - and 4 other ancient Greek writers; - besides Ambrose, - Novatian, - Hilary, - Lucifer, - Victorinus, - Jerome, - Cassian, - Vigilus, - Zeno, - Marius, - Maximus Taur., - Capreolus, - Augustine, &c.: - is acknowledged by Lachmann, Tregelles, Tischendorf: in short, is **quite above suspicion**: why are we not told **that**? Those 10 Versions, those 38 Fathers, that host of Copies in the proportion of 995 to 5, - **why**, concerning all these is there not so much as a hint let fall that such a mass of counter-evidence exists? ...Shame, - yes, **shame** on the learning which comes abroad only to perplex the weak, and to unsettle the doubting, and to mislead the blind! Shame, - yes **shame** on that two-thirds majority of well-intentioned but most incompetent men, who, - finding themselves (in an evil hour) appointed to correct "**plain and clear errors**" in the **English** 'Authorized Version,' – occupied themselves instead with **falsifying the inspired Greek Text** in countless places, and branding with suspicion some of the most precious utterances of the SPIRIT! Shame,- yes, **shame** upon them!"*

White and Metzger were forced to acknowledge the weight of external evidence in favour of the phrase “*which is in heaven*” in John 3:13 but their statements reveal that they are, like Westcott and Hort, “*most incompetent*” and hardly “*well-intentioned.*”

Burton has an informative note on the passage as follows, which answers Metzger’s Committee’s notions about an “*interpretive gloss,*” yet another of the “*conclusions which are extremely bizarre and inconsistent*” as Dr Hills [[The King James Version Defended by Edward F. Hills p 110, wilderness-cry.net/bible_study/books/kjv-defended/ Chapter 4](#)] rightly observes [namely that such conclusions would have us believe that during the manuscript period orthodox Christians corrupted the New Testament text, that the text used by the Protestant Reformers was the worst of all, and that the True Text was not restored until the 19th century, when Tregelles brought it forth out of the Pope’s library, when Tischendorf rescued it from a waste basket on Mt. Sinai, and when Westcott and Hort were providentially guided to construct a theory of it which ignores God’s special providence and treats the text of the New Testament like the text of any other ancient book. But if the True New Testament Text was lost for 1500 years, how can we be sure that it has ever been found again? That is exactly what most fundamentalists profess to believe today as evidenced by the rejection of the 1611 Holy Bible in most churches today in favour of the NIV and other Westcott-Hort style substitutions for “*the scripture of truth*” Daniel 10:21].

“*Let the reader, with a map spread before him, survey the whereabouts of the several VERSIONS above enumerated, and mentally assign each FATHER to his own approximate locality: then let him bear in mind that 995 out of 1000 of the extant MANUSCRIPTS agree with those Fathers and versions; and let him further recognize that those MSS. (executed at different dates in different countries) must severally represent independent remote originals, inasmuch as no two of them are found to be quite alike. – Next, let him consider that, in all the Churches of the East, these words from the earliest period were read as part of the Gospel for the Thursday in Easter week. – This done, let him decide whether it is reasonable that two worshippers of CODEX B – AD 1881 – should attempt to thrust all this mass of ancient evidence clean out of sight by their peremptory sentence of exclusion, - ‘WESTERN AND SYRIAN.’*”

“*Drs Westcott and Hort inform us that ‘the character of the attestation marks the clause (ο ων εν τω ουρανω) as a ‘WESTERN GLOSS.’ But the ‘attestation’ for retaining that clause – (a) Comes demonstrably from every quarter of ancient Christendom:- (b) Is more ancient (by 200 years) than the evidence for omitting it [the texts of the Old Latin and the Peshitta versions, the Fathers that even predate or are contemporaneous with P66, P75, discovered (in the city dump) after Burton’s death]:- (c) Is more numerous, in the proportion of 99 to 1:- (d) In point of respectability, stands absolutely alone. For since we have proved that Origen and Didymus, Epiphanius and Cyril, Ambrose and Jerome, recognize the words in dispute, of what possible Textual significance can it be if presently (because it is sufficient for their purpose) the same Fathers are observed to quote S. John iii. 13 no further than down to the words ‘Son of Man’? No person, (least of all a professed Critic,) who adds to his learning a few grains of common sense [sense is not common to White and Metzger] and a little candour, can be misled by such a circumstance. Origen, Eusebius, Proclus, Ephraem Syrus, Jerome, Marius, when they are only insisting on the doctrinal significance of the earlier words, naturally end their quotation at this place. The two Gregories (Naz. ...: Nys. ...), writing against the Apollinarian heresy, of course quoted the verse than Apollinaris himself was accustomed (for his heresy) to adduce it...About the internal evidence for the clause; but this is simply overwhelming. We make our appeal to Catholic Antiquity; and are content to rest our case on External Evidence; - on COPIES, on VERSIONS, on FATHERS.”*

It is hardly surprising that White and Metzger tried to belittle the external evidence in their efforts to subvert the words of God in this verse.

Burton [www.ccel.org/ccel/burton/corruption.toc.html] has a summary comment about John 3:13 as follows, his emphases.

“[John] the Evangelist’s language was very differently taken by those heretics who systematically ‘maimed and misinterpreted that which belongeth to the human nature of Christ.’ Apolinarius, who relied on the present place [John 3:13], is found to have read it without the final clause (ο ων εν τω ουρανω [“**which is in heaven**”]); and certain of the orthodox (as Greg. Naz., Greg. Nyssa, Epiphanius, while contending with him,) shew themselves not unwilling to argue from the text so mutilated. Origen and the author of the Dialogus once, Eusebius twice, Cyril not fewer than nineteen times, also leave off at the words ‘even the Son of Man’: from which it is insecurely gathered that those Fathers disallowed the clause which follows. On the other hand, thirty-eight Fathers and ten Versions maintain the genuineness of the words ο ων εν τω ουρανω. But the decisive circumstance is that, — besides the Syriac and the Latin copies which all witness to the existence of the clause, — the whole body of the uncials, four only excepted (ⲛBLT), and every known cursive but one (33) — are for retaining it.”

Over a century of manuscripts discoveries since Burgon’s death have hardly altered Burgon’s observation. Codex W, which omits the phrase, was obtained in 1906 [[The King James Version Defended by Edward F. Hills p 170, wilderness-cry.net/bible_study/books/kjv-defended/ Chapter 7](#)] and the lone voice of cursive 33 has been augmented only by those of two additional miniscule manuscripts — see above.

Dr Ruckman [[The Scholarship Controversy, Can You Trust the Professional Liars? pp 50-56, The Christian’s Handbook of Manuscript Evidence](#) both by Dr Peter S. Ruckman pp 121-122] has these comments on John 3:13.

“John 3:13. Here, the scribe who made Jesus into a “begotten god” (in John 1:18), now limits His presence to the earth, in fear that people will identify Him with God the Father. The entire last half of the verse is missing from Origen’s fifth column [Origen appears to have quoted, or acknowledged, the missing words intermittently — see Burgon’s remarks above — but evidently not in the source(s) used for ⲛ and B], and subsequently is missing from Vaticanus and Sinaiticus (the copies which were made from it). The correct reading is in the Authorized Version.... The last five words of the English text [“**which is in heaven**”], - “ο ων εν τω ουρανω,” (Greek) have all been omitted by Westcott and Hort...

“Exactly why Westcott and Hort, and Origen [and White and Metzger] could not grasp the verse is a little foggy, for the verse is applied to every born-again child of God, in Ephesians 2:1-7! We are IN Christ and He is IN Heaven, and we are seated with Him “**in heavenly places.**”

“How did Origen, Westcott and Hort miss this truth? Were **they** “seated with Him in heavenly places”? If so, why did they not recognize the truth of John 3:13? (Where the Greek says one thing — W & H’s “Greek” — and the English Bible says another, **throw out the Greek text!**)”

Dr Ruckman explicitly addresses White’s evaluation of John 3:13 as follows, his emphases.

“This time Jimmy is “up a creek”...when it comes to finding “another place somewhere” where Christ’s omnipresence is stated in the NASV and NIV. **It is stated nowhere.** After alibiing a dozen times that it is alright to omit “Lord,” or “God,” or “Christ,” or “Jesus,” two dozen times **as long as the words appear “somewhere else,”** Jimmy suddenly drops the alibi [[The King James Only Controversy pp 46, 159](#)]. The Omnipresence of Christ doesn’t **appear ANYWHERE else in the NASV or the NIV.** The **only place** where it occurred in the Greek manuscripts (John 3:13) was OMITTED.

“Note the delicate touch of deception in the footnote citing Jay Green (The Gnostics, the New Versions, and the Deity of Christ, 1994). Jimmy says...

““while Green ALLEGES that the Gnostics tampered with the texts, he provides no DIRECT EVIDENCE that this is so.”

“Note the wording. Green and Hills [[Believing Bible Study by Edward F. Hills pp 76-78](#)] both gave **the verses that the Gnostics messed with...**The readings [under the heading *Gnostic Readings in Papyrus Bodmer III*, Dr Hills lists John 3:13 under *Heretical Readings In Codex Aleph*] are all in John

(John 5:33, 8:34, 18:37, John 16:8, 10). Dr Hills [citing E. Massaux] says that Gnostic tampering “seems **clearly** discernible.” White was afraid to quote the **sources**...if he meant by “direct evidence” the **autograph** of a **known individual Gnostic**, actually altering a specific **Greek manuscript**, at a **specific time**, then White simply **blabbered** NONSENSE. Not one scholar on earth knows who wrote **Sinaiticus** or **Vaticanus**, and there is no “direct evidence” that any **Christian** wrote either one. Not one scholar on earth knows who the ten correctors of **Sinaiticus** were, where they lived, or **when** they made their corrections. White is as smooth, slippery, and treacherous as a greased coral snake.

“The “evidence” against Jesus Christ being in two places at the same time is P66 and P75, \aleph , and B, plus the usual MINORITY text of Aland-Metzger-Nestle. White says...

““One may well PREFER the reading of the Majority Text at this point...The patristic material favors the inclusion of the phrase, though there are important witnesses [which he doesn't dare list] against it. Still it is ALWAYS uncomfortable to go against P66 and P75 when they are united in a particular reading...”

“Why is it “uncomfortable” for you, Jimmy? You never checked P66 and P75 to see how “good” they were? We have. [Citing Zuntz and Colwell] “In summary, P66 and P75 represent a controlled ‘TRADITION’”...“P66 is CARELESS AND INEFFECTIVE,” so it lined up with \aleph and B on John 3:13. “The three papyri (P66, P75, and P46) **created** readings which can properly be called EDITORIAL [i.e. ‘a controlled ‘TRADITION’].” And you feel “uncomfortable” do you, Jimmy, when you turn such garbage aside? We don't...

“It is White, in his ridiculous, superstitious naiveté who “shudders” at the thought of not taking P66 and P75 “seriously.” That is because he is a hide-bound **traditionalist** who never examined either one of them. The following heretical readings, found in Sinaiticus, are backed up by P75 [[Believing Bible Study](#) by Edward F. Hills pp 76-77]. John 3:13, 6:69, 9:35, and 9:38-39.”

Dr Ruckman cites Dr Hills as follows, Dr Ruckman's emphases.

““The longer we ponder THE EVIDENCE of these important passages the more OBVIOUS it becomes that the texts of Papyrus 75 and \aleph were the HANDIWORK OF **HERETICS**. And the same seems to be true of B and the other manuscripts of the Alexandrian type. Long ago Burgon and Miller (1896) pointed out the **heretical** trait of \aleph and B (John 3:13) and their observations have never been refuted.”

“White [[The King James Only Controversy](#) p 261] simply “**asserted**” Miller didn't know what he was talking about:

““IT IS SURELY NO SIGN OF HERESY...TO FOLLOW THE LEAD OF THE TWO OLDEST WITNESSES TO THE GOSPEL OF JOHN.”

“Someone is lying. Guess who?

“Now let us patch things up for these mutilating, scissors-snapping, knife-cutting, Bible perverts. Let us give the evidence that they **omitted**...This is what White called “a calm examination of the facts” which he refused to examine.

1. Thirty-eight Church Fathers read as the **King James** text (John 3:13).
2. The words “which is in heaven” are found in the Latin versions, and all of the Syrian versions, that were extant one hundred years **before** Vaticanus and Sinaiticus (AD 140-400) were written).
3. All of the uncials but FIVE (\aleph , B, L, T, and W) have the **King James** reading.
4. The Coptic, the Ethiopic, the Georgian, and Armenian versions (400-900) all read with the **King James**, not the Minority Text of the NIV and NASV.

5. Lachmann, Tischendorf, and Tregelles all grudgingly admit that the **King James** text was quoted by Origen (AD 200), Hippolytus (AD 234) and Didymus (AD 398) as well as Athanasius (AD 373).

*“The ‘important’ Church Fathers who were against the **King James** reading – the ones that White didn’t dare list... - were Eusebius, Proclus, Jerome, and Marius. The two Gregories (Nyssa and Nazianzus) don’t **finish** quoting the verse, but they quit where Epiphanius quit because all three of them were dealing with a heresy taught by Apolinarius, and **he** only used the **first part of the verse** in his teaching. They stopped at **“man”** because that is where Apolinarius stopped. So did the ASV, ...RSV, NRSV, NASV, and NIV. “Birds of a feather”...*

“White’s only reason for attempting to justify the text, was a remark by Hort regarding the manuscript evidence:

“‘The CHARACTER of the attestation [Ⲙ, B, P66 and P75 – Man! what CHARACTER!!] marks the clause (John 3:13) as a WESTERN GLOSS’”... See Burgon’s remarks above. Dr Ruckman continues.

*“Who on this earth...would think that **Syria** was in “the WEST?” All the Syrian translations read with the **King James**. And what is Didymus doing quoting the **King James**? He was from the East. And what cartographer on earth would draw a map of the Middle East and put **Georgia** and **Armenia** WEST of Constantinople? ...Is Alexandria in the WEST? [the location of Ⲙ, B, P66 and P75 that all omit the clause]...*

“Burgon (whom White called a “true scholar” [The King James Only Controversy p 91] of the “first rank”) says of White’s John 3:13 reading:

*“‘**Shame** on the learning which comes abroad only to perplex the weak, and to unsettle the doubting, and to mislead the blind! Shame, - yes **shame** on that two-thirds majority of well-intentioned but most incompetent men, who, - finding themselves (in an evil hour) appointed to correct “**plain and clear errors**” in the **English** ‘Authorized Version,’ – occupied themselves instead with **falsifying the inspired Greek Text** in countless places, and branding with suspicion some of the most precious utterances of the SPIRIT! Shame,- yes, **shame** upon them!’”...*

*“Burgon documents a perfect, unbroken chain of testimony for the **King James**’ text of John 3:13 on three continents, in more than **seven** languages, through a period of 1,400 years. This means that any fool can “comfortably” toss P66 and P75 into St Catherine’s wastebasket anytime they feel like it. That is where Tischendorf found Sinaiticus (Ⲙ).”*

“We are fools for Christ’s sake” 1 Corinthians 4:10a.

Seven Purifications of the Textus Receptus, the Received Text

Introduction

Historical Bibles, English Bibles and the 1611 Holy Bible Editions have all been shown to have undergone a seven stage purification process according to Psalm 12:6-7.

“The words of the LORD are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times. Thou shalt keep them, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever.”

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *The purification of the Lord’s word – Psalm 12:6-7* and also www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php *Seven Stage Purification Process – Oil Refinery – in answer to the AV1611 critics*.

The Textus Receptus or Received Text has also undergone seven purification stages according to Psalm 12:6-7, the final perfected stage being the 1611 Holy Bible, in *English*, not Greek.

This work explains these seven purification stages for the Textus Receptus or Received Text.

History of the Textus Receptus

This site is useful for information on the publication dates of the Textus Receptus and the editors.

See www.prca.org/pamphlets/pamphlet_9.html#sources. The writer says this:

Preface

The Bible is no ordinary book. It is not a human book. The Bible is God’s inspired and infallible Word - God’s Book. It is the Book which God has given to His people to teach them the Truth which they must believe and the godly life which they must live. That is why the Bible is so important for every believer. Without the Holy Scriptures the believer has no Word of God. He has no standard of what is the Truth and what is the lie, what is righteous and what is wicked.

Does this mean that the 1611 Holy Bible is **“all scripture”** that **“is given by inspiration of God”** 2 Timothy 3:16 according to that author? No. Nowhere does the author actually identify any inspired Bible. However, he provides this information.

The Greek text was readily available in the Complutensian Polyglot (1514), the five editions of Erasmus (1516-1535), the four editions of Robert Stephanus (1546-1551), and the ten editions of Theodore Beza (1560-1598). They also consulted the editions of Aldus (1518), Colinaeus (1534), and Plantin (1572).

Christopher Plantin published the Antwerp Polyglot en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Plantin_Polyglot.

Peter Heisey, USA missionary to Romania, confirms that the King James translators specifically consulted the edition of Aldus as one of their sources for the Textus Receptus.

See *Waiting for Waite* www.hacalumni.com/pdfs/WaitingForDrWaite.pdf.

Another useful site is this www.monergism.com/thethreshold/sdg/vincent_textualcriticism.html though the author Dr Marvin Vincent of Union Theological Seminary 1899 was not a Bible believer* and rejected the Received Text, as the site shows. That is beside the point, though, because Vincent’s work includes a detailed history of the editions of the Textus Receptus.

*As an aside, the sheep-fleecers are still out there as Matthew 7:15 shows. ***“Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep’s clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves.”*** This site www.bereaninternetministry.org/King%20James%20Bible.html appears supportive of the 1611 Holy Bible, especially with its graphics - see figure - until the writer refers with approval to the stance of Dr Donald Waite of the Dean Burgon Society www.deanburgonsociety.org/ on the 1611 Holy Bible. Unsurprisingly the writer then *disparages* the names which are below every name for this crowd who profess to believe the 1611 Holy Bible but don’t believe it; Ruckman and Riplinger, who profess to believe the 1611 Holy Bible *and do believe it*. The writer, who is obviously a Waite-ite, of course has no Bible that is all scripture given by inspiration of God. The ministry’s Constitution

www.bereaninternetministry.org/Church.html states that *We believe that the Bible is the inerrant, infallible, verbally inspired, equally inspired, eternal Word of God...This assembly will not allow any Bible to be used in the pulpit or teaching ministry other than the authorized King James Version.* However, nowhere does the Constitution state that the 1611 Holy Bible is **“all scripture”** that **“is given by inspiration of God”** 2 Timothy 3:16. Hal Lindsey in *Satan is Alive and Well on Planet Earth* p 80 says that the Devil will use a lake of truth to disguise a pint of poison. See ***Postscript – How the Poison is Spread***. The Waite-ites are similar and more dangerous than Bible rejecters like Marvin Vincent. Vincent *overtly* rejected the Received Text and in turn rejected the 1611 Holy Bible but the Waite-ites are more deadly. They *covertly* sap faith in the 1611 Holy Bible as **“the pure words...of the LORD”** Psalm 12:6 because they do what **“what the ancients of the house of Israel do in the dark, every man in the chambers of his imagery”** Ezekiel 8:12 in that they insist that they have the pure Bible in Hebrew/Aramaic/Greek but as Nehemiah rebuked the enemies of Israel **“There are no such things done as thou sayest, but thou feignest them out of thine own heart”** Nehemiah 6:8. See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php D. A. Waite *Response and Reply to DiVietro’s attack on Gail Riplinger - Flotsam Flush*.

Getting back to Vincent’s work, he states this about Aldus’ Edition and the Complutensian Polyglot.

Although the emperor had protected Erasmus’s first edition against reprint for four years, it was reproduced by Aldus Manutius, with some variations, but with...most of the typographical errors, at Venice, in 1518. It was placed at the end of the Græca Biblia, the Aldine Septuagint...

The printing of the entire work was completed on the 10th of July, 1517. But though the first printed, this was not the first published edition of the Greek Testament. Pope Leo X withheld his approval until 1520, and the work was not issued until 1522, three years after the cardinal’s [Ximenes] death, and six years after the publication of Erasmus’s Testament. The entire cost was about \$115,000, and only six hundred copies were printed.

This work is known as the Complutensian Polyglot...

Vincent of course lists the Elzevir Editions beginning in 1624 and including the 1633 Edition from which the term Textus Receptus is obtained.

The 1611 Holy Bible, the Perfect Textus Receptus

Dr Hills makes this insightful comment.

See wilderness-cry.net/bible_study/books/kjv-defended/chapter8.html and printed edition p 220.

...the King James Version ought to be regarded not merely as a translation of the Textus Receptus but also as an independent variety of the Textus Receptus

This writer believes that the 1611 Holy Bible is both an independent variety of the Textus Receptus and the authoritative, perfect final version of the Textus Receptus on the basis of the sevenfold purification process that Psalm 12:6-7 set out and is observed in the history of the Textus Receptus.

The Seven Stage Purification of the Textus Receptus

The pre-1611 editions of the Received Text may reasonably be listed as follows, combining the individual editions of each editor. The Elzevir editions are set aside because they are post-1611.

1. Erasmus/Aldus 1516-1535, 1518 – Aldus being mainly a reproduction of Erasmus’ 1st Edition
2. Ximenes/Stuncia/Complutensian 1522
3. Colinaeus 1534
4. Stephanus 1546-1551
5. Beza 1560-1598
6. Plantin/Antwerp
7. 1611 Authorized King James Holy Bible

Conclusions may be drawn from the above list that in certain respects would horrify the Waite-ites, as least by profession. Like Saul with Stephen they, like all critics of the 1611 Holy Bible, know they're wrong by means of the witness of ***“the true Light, which lighteth every man that cometh into the world”*** John 1:9 but they don't want to be put out of the synagogue, aka self-styled (Nehemiah 6:8) OOOOO – Origenistic Order of Obstinate Originals-Onlyists John 3:19, 9:22, Acts 7:58, 8:1-3, 22:19-20. They therefore will not submit to 2 Corinthians 4:1-2. ***“Therefore seeing we have this ministry, as we have received mercy, we faint not; But have renounced the hidden things of dishonesty, not walking in craftiness, nor handling the word of God deceitfully; but by manifestation of the truth commending ourselves to every man's conscience in the sight of God.”***

The historical languages Bibles, the English Bibles up to 1611 and the King James Bible Editions all fulfill Psalm 12:6-7 with respect to ***“The words of the LORD”*** Psalm 12:6. As shown, history shows that the Textus Receptus likewise follows a seven stage purification process as Psalm 12:6-7 set out *but its final perfected inspired form is in English, not Greek and is the 1611 Holy Bible.* Therefore:

Conclusions

1. Rome i.e. Ximenes etc. is relegated to a stage in the Textus Receptus purification process. Rome is not allowed ***“to have the preeminence among them”*** 3 John 9. God has superseded Rome's single contribution to the purification process.
2. The pre-1611 Textus Receptus editors are not allowed ***“to have the preeminence among them”*** 3 John 9. God has superseded their contributions.
3. The Greek, so-called, is not allowed ***“to have the preeminence among them”*** 3 John 9. God has superseded the Greek, so-called, with the 1611 Holy Bible *English*. That would make the Waite-ites etc. howl *and that is God's way of revealing them for what they are because sheep don't howl. Wolves do.* See remarks on Matthew 7:15 above.
4. The post-1611 Textus Receptus editors are not allowed ***“to have the preeminence among them”*** 3 John 9 because *God* determined how His Received New Testament Text would progress *before* the year 1624. The post-1611 editors contributed a name. It has stuck and is useful but that is all. However, every post-1611 scholar against the *inspired* 1611 Holy Bible has as ***“his heart's desire”*** Psalm 10:3 ***“let us make a name”*** Genesis 11:4 *for himself, even if he has to do it by means of the Devil's lake of truth/pint of poison.* See ***Postscript.***
5. The 1611 Holy Bible is ***“the word of a king”*** Ecclesiastes 8:4 in English. It can be turned into 1st century Greek by reverse translation but the result is not the original nor is it authoritative because ***“God is finished with it.”*** See *In Awe of Thy Word* p 956. It would simply picture the original for specialist studies, with no power at all.
6. The 1611 Holy Bible *in English* is the language of the End Times. See *In Awe of Thy Word* pp 19ff. Any language may have ***“the words of the LORD”*** Psalm 12:6 if ***“It is turned as clay to the seal”*** Job 38:14 of the 1611 Holy Bible that should be the standard for all non-English translations. See purebiblepress.com/bible/ and *A Brief Analysis of Missionary Authority* by Jonathan Richmond *Bible Believer's Bulletin* August 2013 p 6. That is a further blessing from the Author of the 1611 Holy Bible in addition to superseding the Greek so-called.
7. If that is how God perceives His sevenfold purified Textus Receptus today, the sevenfold purified 1611 Holy Bible, as this writer believes that He has, then all would-be 1611 Holy Bible clarifiers, correctors, improvers etc. by means of the Greek, so-called, should pay careful attention to the following warning from a *king*, no less. Cruel and unusual punishments are no more where the 1611 Holy Bible has held sway but an offender still fossicking ***“for words buried in haunted Greek graveyards”*** *In Awe of Thy Word* p 544, can still be hung out to dry and his ministry still downgraded by the Offended Party into ***“the dross of silver”*** Ezekiel 22:18 and ***“the refuse of the wheat”*** Amos 8:6. ***“The word of a king”*** Ecclesiastes 8:4 follows.

Ezra 6:11: ***“Also I have made a decree, that whosoever shall alter this word, let timber be pulled down from his house, and being set up, let him be hanged thereon; and let his house be made a dunghill for this.”***

Postscript – How the Poison is Spread

www.bereaninternetministry.org/King%20James%20Bible.html item by Pastor Kelly Sensenig

First comes *the differentiation* between pure and corrupt scripture sources, presented with vivid and indeed helpful graphics. Who could doubt the presenters? **“No doubt but ye are the people, and wisdom shall die with you”** Job 12:2.



Then comes *the declaration*: *This assembly will not allow any Bible to be used in the pulpit or teaching ministry other than the authorized King James Version.* Who could doubt the declarers?

Followed by *the disclaimer* and *the denial*, emphases in original, this writer's remarks in braces []:

...we must also reject the teaching of those "KJV-only" proponents (Peter Ruckman and Gail Riplinger) who claim that the English of the KJV is inspired and superior to the underlying Hebrew and Greek texts of the KJV. This is an erroneous position and error that is rejected by most loyal King James followers, Dr. Waite, being one of them, who stated: "God Himself did not 'breathe out' English, or German, or French, or Spanish, or Latin, or Italian. He did 'breathe out' Hebrew/Aramaic, and Greek" (Waite, *Defending the King James Bible*, p. 246). Of course, Dr. Waite is not saying that our English King James Version lacks inspiration [he is], what he is referring to is that...[no-one] can one claim that every word in the English of the KJV is inspired in the same way, as the autographs (without flaw and error) [Did not the Holy Ghost give the word of God at first in the mother-tongue of the nations to whom it was addressed? Why do you speak against the Holy Ghost? – John Wycliffe, *John Wycliffe: The Dawn of the Reformation* pp 45-46], or the descendent manuscripts in the original Hebrew and Greek text, which also preserve the inspired text [unidentified]. **The English does not correct the languages; the languages correct the English** [the 1611 Holy Bible lacks inspiration]. In a similar way, **the Greek at times corrects the translators** [the 1611 Holy Bible lacks inspiration]; **the translators do not correct the Greek** [the 1611 Holy Bible lacks inspiration]...Inspiration and preservation specifically applies to the Hebrew and Greek texts - not a certain type of English language [the 1611 Holy Bible lacks inspiration]. Think of it this way; if the 1611 King James Bible with its English was the only inspired Bible, then those versions before 1611 (Tyndale's English version and all other Bible versions with a Received Text base) were not God's Word and the Church did not possess the truth until 1611. Those living in 1610 did not have the Bible. This is a rather silly and unlearned position [the same must apply to the Textus Receptus Editions in the figure. The writer ignores this]...As stated previously, **the Greek corrects the English, the English does not correct the Greek** [which Greek edition?]. In spite of the conclusions of the King James Only Movement, there is no such thing as double inspiration (the translators of the 1611 King James Version were inspired and the English of the King James Version is inspired) [See Isaiah 53:7/Acts 8:32]. However, we do believe that...we possess an inspired Bible that has been accurately copied and passed down to us through the transmission process [Bible unidentified].

Thereby *the deceivers* (supposedly indubitable) *dupe* the victims who are as **“children, tossed to and fro...by the sleight of men, and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive”** Ephesians 4:14. A shock awaits the deceivers who forsook **“the word of a king”** Ecclesiastes 8:4. At **“the judgment seat of Christ”** Romans 14:10 **“their folly shall be manifest unto all men”** 2 Timothy 3:9.

English Reformation to Last Days Apostasy – To and From the AV1611

See also www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *What is the Bible?* – AV1611 Overview Table 1

Verse	WY	TY/C	BIS	GEN	AV	DR/ CR	RV	JB/N	NWT	NAS	NIV	NKJ
Gen. 50:20									2013			
1 Sa. 10:24												
2 Sa. 8:18												
1 Ki. 10:28												
1 Chr. 5:26								NJB				
Is. 65:11												
Am. 4:4												
Mat. 19:18												
Mat. 27:44												
Mark 6:20												
Mark 9:18												
Luke 18:12												
Acts 5:30												
Acts 7:45												
Acts 12:4												
Acts 19:2						DR						
Acts 22:9a												f.n.
Acts 22:9b												
Ro. 3:4, 6												
Ro. 3:31												
Ro. 6:2, 15												
Ro. 7:7, 13												
Ro. 8:16												
Ro. 8:26												
Ro. 9:14												
Ro. 11:1												
Ro. 11:11												
Ro. 13:9a												
Ro. 13:9b												f.n.
1 Cor. 4:4												
Heb. 4:8												
Heb. 9:7												
Heb. 10:23												
James 3:2						CR						
Departures	16	12	6	6	0	14/14	21	33/34	32/33	36	35	32/34
% Depart.	43	32	16	16	0	38/38	57	89/92	86/89	97	95	86/92

Notes:

1. The table lists 37 passages of scripture that James White designates as “*problems in the KJV*,” *The King James Only Controversy* pp 223ff.
2. James White insists that the modern versions, NIV, NASV, NKJV, largely correct these “*problems*” and that these 37 passages are typical of modern ‘improvements’ over the AV1611. This writer’s review of White’s book shows that they are not. See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php *KJO Review Full Text*.
3. These 37 passages have therefore been used for comparison with the AV1611 for pre-1611 and post-1611 bibles to show that White’s ‘improvements’ are apostasy.
4. The table lists the results for comparison of these 37 passages with the AV1611 for 17 bibles in total. Readings are omitted but may be checked via the sources listed.
5. A clear cell denotes agreement between the specified bible and the AV1611 with respect to the sense of the reading, although the wording may differ.
6. A shaded cell denotes departure of a bible from the AV1611. Marked cells denote:
2013 – the 2013 NWT departs from the AV1611, the 1984 NWT does not.
CR - the Challoner’s Revision departs from the AV1611, the 1610 DR does not.
DR - the 1610 DR departs from the AV1611, the Challoner’s Revision does not.
f.n. – the NKJV f.n. footnote departs from the AV1611, the NKJV text does not.
NJB - the NJB departs from the AV1611, the JB does not.
7. 5 pre-1611 bibles have been used with the 1611 and current i.e. 2011+ AV1611s; WY, Wycliffe, TY/C, Tyndale/Coverdale in the Old Testament, BIS, Bishops’, GEN, Geneva. No changes exist for the 37 passages for the 1611, 2011+ AV1611 Texts.
Sources for WY, TY/C, BIS, GEN, 1611, 2011+ AV1611s are www.e-sword.net/index.html, www.studylight.org/, www.biblesofthepast.com/Read/file.htm.
8. 12 post-1611 bibles have been used; DR/CR, Douay-Rheims 1610 and Challoner’s Revision 1749-1752, RV, Revised Version, JB/N, Jerusalem and New Jerusalem Bibles, NWT, 1984, 2013 New World Translations, NASV, 1977, 1995 New American Standard Versions, NIV, 1984, 2011 New International Versions, NKJ, New King James Version. No changes exist for the 37 passages for the 1977, 1995 NASVs, 1984, 2011 NIVs. Sources for the DR/CR, RV, NIVs, NASVs, NKJV, NWTs, JB, NJB are:
www.studylight.org/, www.e-sword.net/index.html, biblewebapp.com/niv2011-changes/
www.watchtower.org/e/bible/index.htm, www.jw.org/en/publications/bible/
Printed edition and www.unz.org/Pub/Bible-1966 JB, www.catholic.org/bible/ NJB
9. The table shows that divergence of the pre-1611 bibles from the AV1611 Text for the 37 passages decreases markedly as successive translations appear. The corresponding increasing convergence of the pre-1611 bibles with the AV1611 parallels the advance of the English Reformation from its inception in the 14th century to its maturity in the 16th century, followed by its crowning achievement early in the 17th century - the AV1611 Holy Bible.
10. The table shows further that the post-1611 bibles not only diverge increasingly from the AV1611 Text, with Rome and Watchtower but the ‘fundamentalist’ versions, NIV, NASV, diverge from the AV1611 even more than today’s Papist and JW versions, changing well over 90% of the test passages. Even the ‘conservative’ NKJV is the same, with over 85% departures, typical for AV1611 versus NKJV comparisons if NKJV f.ns. are included – 30%+ is typical for NKJV text-only departures from the AV1611, considerably less but still appreciable. In sum, the accelerating departure of the post-1611 bibles from the AV1611 corresponds to the deepening apostasy of the church in these last days. *All modern bibles are germane to this apostasy.*

Yes, the King James Bible IS Perfect A Biblical response to Bible critics

Introduction

This article is a response to a leaflet published some years ago, no later than 2007, that the King James Bible is imperfect. It was entitled *Is The King James Version Perfect?*. The leaflet was written by Michael Penfold who headed up the Bicester booksellers Penfold Book & Bible House.

The content of the leaflet is on www.webtruth.org/articles/bible-version-issues-22/is-the-king-james-version-perfect-30.html. Penfold Book & Bible House was later absorbed by John Ritchie Christian Media. PB&BH is listed on thechristianmarketplace.co.uk/main/node/636 but the number 01869 249574 returns *an incorrect number* and www.johnritchie.co.uk gets timed out.

PB&BH is listed on www.christianbookshops.org.uk/penfoldbicester.htm but [John Ritchie Christian Media](http://www.johnritchie.co.uk) and [Penfold Book & Bible House](http://www.penfoldbookandbiblehouse.co.uk) return *404 Page Not Found*. A search reveals Christian Media Ritchie www.ritchiechristianmedia.co.uk/. CMR www.ritchiechristianmedia.co.uk/Bibles-18 sells besides the KJV no fewer than 8 other versions; Amplified Bible, ESV, HCSB, NCV, NIV, NKJV, NLT, GNB. That is, CMR does not believe that the 1611 Holy Bible is perfect and **“All scripture...given by inspiration of God”** 2 Timothy 3:16 any more than Michael Penfold did.

The demise of PB&BH brings to mind Revelation 2:5. **“Remember therefore from whence thou art fallen, and repent, and do the first works; or else I will come unto thee quickly, and will remove thy candlestick out of his place, except thou repent.”** Michael Penfold did not repent and so the Lord took away his ministry candlestick because **“God is no respecter of persons”** Acts 10:34.

It is hoped therefore that this article will encourage all true Bible believers to hold fast to the AV1611 as **“All scripture...given by inspiration of God”** 2 Timothy 3:16.

Critical Inconsistency and Infidelity

The leaflet begins with the statement that the AV1611 *“is an excellent translation”* and *“the word of God in English.”* However, its last paragraph asks *“What is the word of God today?”* The answer is that *“The word of God exists wherever a faithful translation is made of what was originally written. To a very high degree, that is what the KJV is.”* That is, the AV1611 is not *“an excellent translation”* nor *“the word of God in English”* but rather *“a faithful translation”* that isn’t quite *“the word of God”* but contains *“the word of God...to a very high degree.”* This type of inconsistency is typical of Bible critics. It is invariably accompanied by infidelity. Michael Penfold concludes with the statement *“no single book, even in Greek and Hebrew, has ever existed that had every single letter and word of the entire Bible in place - in the right place...”* That is, there is no Holy Bible.

*Yet the Lord Jesus Christ said **“Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away”** Matthew 24:35. God called those words **“my book”** Exodus 32:33. Michael Penfold says that *God and Jesus lied* and that Titus 1:2 **“God...cannot lie”** is wrong. Sheer infidelity.*

Old Fashioned English

It is not surprising then to read that the AV1611 English is *“old fashioned.”* However, Dr Lawrence M. Vance has shown in his book *Archaic Words and the Authorised Version* that much of the AV1611 vocabulary is found in many respected contemporary journals. Dr Edward F. Hills has said *“the English of the King James Version...is not a type of English that was ever spoken anywhere. It is biblical English...”* See *The King James Version Defended*, p 218. *“The English of the King James Version”* is therefore both familiar and timeless.

The leaflet, of course, does not mention the many contemporary AV1611 expressions, e.g. *“addict,” “artillery,” “God save the king,” “powers that be,” “head in the clouds,” “housekeeping,” “communication,” “learn by experience,” “labour of love,” “shambles,” “advertise,” “publish,” “beer,” “the course of nature”* etc. This is yet more inconsistency, of which Proverbs 11:1 states **“A false balance is abomination to the LORD.”**

Differences between AV1611 Editions

The leaflet, predictably, objects to differences between AV1611 editions. However, in *Translators Revived* pp 223-224, Alexander McClure describes the results of a comparison between six AV1611 editions, including the original 1611 edition, carried out by the American Bible Society in 1849-1852. He states:

“The number of variations in the text and punctuation of these six copies was found to fall but little short of twenty-four thousand. A vast amount! Quite enough to frighten us, till we read the Committee’s assurance, that “of all this great number, there is not one which mars the integrity of the text, or affects any doctrine or precept of the Bible.””

In spite of this 160 year-old assurance, the leaflet then cites 8 notable examples drawn from 421 readings where the contemporary AV1611 is claimed to differ significantly from the 1611 AV1611. They are as follows, the 1611 reading followed by the 2011+ reading, with this writer’s comments.

1. Genesis 39:16, “her lord” versus “his lord”

1 Peter 3:6 and Esther 1:22 show that *both* readings are correct. Unlike Sarah, Potiphar’s wife was not a godly woman but her attempted infidelity did not affect her status before her husband in God’s sight.

2. Leviticus 20:11, “shall be put to death” versus “shall surely be put to death”

The omission of “*surely*” from verse 11 in the 1611 edition is a printing error but the text is not affected.

3. Deuteronomy 5:29, “my commandments” versus “all my commandments”

The 2011+ edition simply has added emphasis.

4. 2 Kings 11:10, “in the temple” versus “in the temple of the Lord”

2 Kings 11 reads “*house of the Lord*” in verses 3, 4 twice, 7, 15, 18, 19 and “*temple of the Lord*” in verse 13 so there is no contradiction between editions about the identity of the “*the temple*” in verse 10.

5. Isaiah 49:13, “God hath comforted” versus “the Lord hath comforted”

Both editions are consistent with respect to the identity of the Comforter in verse 13.

6. Ezekiel 24:7, “poured it upon the ground” versus “poured it not upon the ground”

The 1611 reading is a printing error, corrected in subsequent editions.

7. 1 Timothy 1:4, “edifying” versus “godly edifying”

There is no uncertainty in either edition about the “*godly*” nature of the edifying.

8. 1 John 5:12, “the Son” versus “the Son of God”

Both editions are clear about the identity of “*the Son*” although the 2011+ AV1611 reading is more explicit. It was introduced in 1638, according to Dr. Scrivener, *The Authorized Version of the English Bible (1611)*, p 193.

The American Bible Society has this appraisal:

“That the edition of 1611, although prepared with very great care, was not free from typographical errors; and that, while most of these were corrected in the edition of 1613, others in much greater number were nevertheless then introduced, which have since been removed. That the revision of Dr. Blayney made by collating the then current editions of Oxford and Cambridge with those of 1611 and 1701 had for its main object to restore the text of the English Bible to its original purity: and that this was successfully accomplished.”

God's Word Before 1611

Typically for such publications, the leaflet asks “*Where was the perfect, inerrant, preserved word of God in 1610?*” Dr. Miles Smith explains in *The Translators to the Reader* www.jesus-is-lord.com/pref1611.htm.

“Truly (good Christian Reader) we never thought from the beginning, that we should need to make a new Translation, nor yet to make of a bad one a good one...but to make a good one better, or out of many good ones, one principal good one, not justly to be excepted against; that hath been our endeavor, that our mark.”

Marginal Differences

Again, typically, the leaflet states that “*The KJV translators suggest thousands of corrections...[the 1611 translators] did not believe they had picked exactly the right word or phrase in every case. They included the following in the margin: 4,223 more literal meanings, 2,738 alternative translations and 104 variant readings.*”

The marginal insertions show that the AV1611 translators were honest researchers. Of their efforts, the Trinitarian Bible Society stated in *Fruit Among The Leaves*, Quarterly Record, July-September 1980, No. 472 that “*In most cases the reading in the text of the Authorised Version is superior to the alternative given in the margin.*” Significantly, the TBS has not identified any *inferior* readings in the text. Neither did Michael Penfold though he purported to have found *Imperfections in the KJV*.

“Imperfections in the KJV”

The leaflet concludes with 32 ‘imperfections’ in the AV1611. See **Table 1**. The ecumenical agreement between the NIV, NKJV, Rome (JB, Jerusalem Bible) and Watchtower (NWT, New World Translation) should be noted. *That was the direction in which Michael Penfold was headed.*

Conclusion

Having studied the supposed ‘imperfections’ of the AV1611 for 30 years, this writer agrees with the J.A. Moorman in *When The KJV Departs From The “Majority” Text* p 28. J. A. Moorman is addressing ‘minority’ readings in the AV1611 but his comments apply to *all* AV1611 readings.

“When a version has been the standard as long as the Authorized Version, and when that version has demonstrated its power in the conversion of sinners, building up of believers, sending forth of preachers and missionaries on a scale not achieved by all other versions and foreign language editions combined; the hand of God is at work. Such a version must not be tampered with. And in those comparatively few places where it seems to depart from the majority reading [or from however many supposedly ‘improved’ readings], it would be far more honouring toward God’s promises of preservation to believe that the Greek and not the English had strayed from the original!” Amen.

“And these words, which I command thee this day, shall be in thine heart: And thou shalt teach them diligently unto thy children, and shalt talk of them when thou sittest in thine house, and when thou walkest by the way, and when thou liest down, and when thou risest up” Deuteronomy 6:6-7. Therefore:




Table 1

'X' Marks the Spot - "Imperfections" in the AV1611, 'Corrected' by Modern Versions

John 1:32-1 Peter 1:11: the Spirit as *"it," "itself"* to *"he," "himself"*

Note John 16:13-14 *"...for he shall not speak of himself...He shall glorify me..."*

Acts 12:4: *"Easter" to "Passover"*

Note Acts 12:3 *"Then were the days of unleavened bread."*

Genesis 44:7-Galatians 6:14: *"God forbid"* to e.g. *"Never may that happen"* NWT Romans 6:15

Note Job 37:7 *"He sealeth up the hand of every man; that all men may know his work."*

Titus 2:13, 2 Peter 1:1: *"the great God and our Saviour"* to *"our great God and Saviour"*

"Our great God and Saviour" relegates the Lord Jesus Christ to just one of the New Age 'gods.'

Acts 1:20: *"bishoprick"* to *"office"* or similar

Note 2 Corinthians 11:15 on Satan's ministers *"transformed as the ministers of righteousness."*


Acts 19:37: *"churches"* to *"temples"*

"Churches" points to Rome "the great whore" Revelation 17:1, "temples" does not.

Verse ↓	JB	NWT	NIV	NKJV
John 1:32				X
Romans 8:16	X		X	X
Romans 8:26	X		X	X
1 Peter 1:11			X	X
Acts 12:4	X	X	X	X
Genesis 44:7	X	X	X	X
Genesis 44:17	X	X	X	X
Joshua 22:29	X	X	X	X
Joshua 24:16	X	X	X	X
1 Samuel 12:23	X	X	X	X
1 Samuel 14:45	X	X	X	X
1 Samuel 20:2	X	X	X	X
Job 27:5	X	X	X	X
Luke 20:16		X	X	
Romans 3:4	X	X	X	X
Romans 3:6	X	X	X	X
Romans 3:31	X	X	X	X
Romans 6:2	X	X	X	X
Romans 6:15	X	X	X	X
Romans 7:7	X	X	X	X
Romans 7:13	X	X	X	X
Romans 9:14	X	X	X	X
Romans 11:1	X	X	X	X
Romans 11:11	X	X	X	X
1 Corinthians 6:15	X	X	X	X
Galatians 2:17	X	X	X	X
Galatians 3:21	X	X	X	X
Galatians 6:14	X	X	X	
Titus 2:13	X		X	X
2 Peter 1:1	X	X	X	X
Acts 1:20	X	X	X	X
Acts 19:37	X	X	X	X
'Improvements'	91 %	84 %	97 %	94 %

The Incompetence of James White

“For the word of God is quick, and powerful, and sharper than any twoedged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit, and of the joints and marrow, and is a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart” Hebrews 4:12



Koine-Modern Greek New Testament
Courtesy of Bro. Mario Symeou

The Incompetence of James White

Bro. Mario Symeou, a native Greek speaker born in the UK, has kindly forwarded the following material to Sister Riplinger showing that James White is incompetent and not fit to be called a scholar of *“the scripture of truth”* Daniel 10:21.

Part 1 James White and “begotten.” This writer’s inserts in braces [] in blue. See:

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ 'O Biblios' – The Book pp 257-264 on John 1:18

www.avpublications.com/avnew/content/Critiqued/james1.html *The James White Controversy Part 1*

----- Original message -----

From: Mario Symeou...

Date: 03/10/2014 7:30 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: Gail Riplinger [[author of New Age Bible Versions www.avpublications.com/avnew/home.html](http://www.avpublications.com/avnew/home.html)]...

Subject: Re: The Riplinger Report - Issue #10: New Book Settles Inspiration Debate

Dear Gail,

Thank you for your amazing work.

I have just finished James White’s book [[The King James Only Controversy](#)] and I can tell you with all confidence that the man is a complete imbecile.

You see I am Greek born in Britain so I speak both tongues equally.

His English is as bad as his Greek.

I was particularly amused when he said the word begotten is not the best translation of the Greek word Monogenes and that unique is. You see anybody who knows spoken Greek would laugh at that. Unique is in no way related to the Greek word. It means born out of or generated from therefore begotten is the only possible translation of that word.

I have made a note of all his errors in Greek and English there are so many that it will take me a while I will send you a full list when I have finished [[Look forward to that 😊](#)].

You see I have checked the English meanings of the Greek words used by the NIV and NASB and it seems as if they took a thesaurus and used it to pick the worst possible word in every occasion to deliberately corrupt the Bible an example as you quite rightly point out in one of your presentations is humble vs humiliate [[“humble” 2 Corinthians 12:21 AV1611 vs. “humiliate” 1977, 1995 NASVs. Men humiliate God to mock and murder Him, as they did to the Lord Jesus Christ, Acts 8:33 with Matthew 27:22-23, 29-31, 35, 39-43. The Lord humbles men to encourage their obedience to and dependence upon Him, Deuteronomy 8:2, 3 with 2 Corinthians 1:8-10](#)].

But what is little known is that the KJV team actually picked superior words than even a Greek to English typical translator could do today.

An example is Kyrie it actually means person of importance to a fluent Greek.

You would use it to refer to any number of important people like...

Master head teacher president official lord sir old person doctor dignitary king

If somebody loved and respected Jesus they would use Lord [[John 9:36 “Lord” AV1611](#)]

If somebody wanted to demote Jesus they would use sir [[John 9:36 “sir” 1984, 2011 NIVs](#)]

Only one person was ever referred to in the Greek language as oi Kyrios which translated means the person of the highest possible importance or Lord of Lords.

I know you are busy so I will leave it there but if an actual Greek speaking person knew that he [[James White](#)] referred to our Lord Jesus Christ as simply sir they would want to punch him in the face as well as the rest of corrupt bible committee members who think they know my language.

Your brother in Christ

Mario Symeou

Part 2 James White and John 3:36. This writer's inserts in braces [] in blue, with one further item

----- Original message -----


From: Mario Symeou...
 Date: 03/21/2014 10:19 AM (GMT-05:00)
 To: Gail Riplinger...
 Subject: John 3:36

Hi Gail

This is proof he [James White] is incompetent as a translator



The same is to be said of the other passages that are cited. In each instance the modern translations are seen to be at least as accurate and acceptable, if not more so, than the KJV. But these are not the only passages cited in the attempt to substantiate this charge. Note the following chart:

NASB	απειθω	KJV
not obey	John 3:36	believeth not
disobedience	Romans 11:32	unbelief
disobedient	Romans 15:31	do not believe
disobedient	Hebrews 3:18	believed not
disobedience	Hebrews 4:6	unbelief
disobedience	Hebrews 4:11	unbelief



Page 132 and 133 of his book

He claims the word abitho means disobey IT DOES NOT mean disobey [1977, 1995 NASVs: "does not obey," the halfway 1984, 2011 NIVs: "rejects"] or ~~unbelief~~ [typo] he is lying it means refusal when used with the rest of the words it means refusal to believe or unbelief. I will prove it to you



Here is the Greek New Testament

On every left page it has the Koine (common) Greek and on the right the modern Greek here is John 3:36

Here is John 3:36 in Koine (common Greek) note the word Abithon [modern Greek for apeithoon]

Now see the real Greek translation by real Greeks, refusal to believe or unbelief as the KJV guys rightly did it.

The word does not mean disobey nor did it ever mean disobey in any type of Greek language. This guy is smoking something he shouldn't be he has his own weirdo version of Greek that he believes in. In his book he claims to teach Greek, to who his cat?



What on earth made these looney tunes people believe that they had the right to put their hands on the Holy Scriptures or that they were ever in the same league as the King James Guys.

Like I said I have checked the supposed errors of the King James translators and there are none not a one. It is the perfect word of God.

Another translation you will be interested in. The King James Only Controversy was printed by Bethany House Publishers.

Bethany is Greek for die (present participle) if you reverse translate this into Greek the title of his publishing company is literally "die in your house publishing."

Get an American Greek to help you and you will annihilate these guys in a debate.

Have a good weekend God bless you for opening all our eyes to these evil guys.

"Every word of God is pure: he is a shield unto them that put their trust in Him. Add thou not unto His words, lest he reprove thee, and thou be found a liar" — Proverbs 30:5-6

Your brother in Christ

Mario Symeou

Pure Foreign Language Bibles

purebiblepress.com/bible/.

Note the following extract from that site.

Pure Bible Press is a ministry devoted to reaching lost people around the world by finding the pure word of God in as many languages as we possibly can, then putting them into print.

Languages listed are Chinese, Spanish, Kayah*, French, Farsi**.

*Tibetan-Burman en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Red_Karen_language

**Persian language en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Persian_language



Pure Bible Press does of course have a proven standard with respect to its translation work, the 1611 Holy Bible.

In 1604, the translators of the Authorized King James Bible set down a list of rules for their translation project. These rules, due to their simplicity and thoroughness, have never been matched by any other group of translators, and the work that would later gain the title “The Authorized Version” has been unmatched in its purity, clarity, and Divine blessing. Pure Bible Press believes that these rules are equally applicable to translators today. For that reason, Pure Bible Press has adapted these [14] rules, and recommends them to any translator, with whom we work, to be very carefully observed: Note the first rule:

1. The Authorized King James Bible is to be followed, and as little altered as translational accuracy and honesty will permit: Pure Bible Press is very careful, clear, and unwavering in this point.

See store-hicb8.mybigcommerce.com/content/bbb/2013/Aug.pdf *A Brief Analysis of Missionary Authority* by Jonathan Richmond, director of the Bible Baptist Mission Board p 6, author’s emphases:

A Brief Analysis of Missionary Authority

By Jonathan Richmond

(Editor’s Note: Jonathan Richmond is the director of the Bible Baptist Mission Board.)

*An issue concerning a couple of Bible versions (Luther’s German Bible — 1545, and Reina Valera — 1602, 1865), as compared to the **King James**, has come to light. The espousal of a particular translation being equal to or superior to the **King James** leaves one in a precarious position in relation to Bible believers versus the Alexandrian Cult.*

*Bible believers believe that the **King James (Authorized Version)** is the perfect, inerrant words of God and is the final authority. It is the standard to which all versions and translations are compared. And since the AV is the standard, it is superior to anything and everything that is compared to it. Stated another way, nothing compared to the standard is equal to or superior to the standard. English is the standard for time, place, distance, size, quantity, volume, language, etc. When the English standard showed up, both the German and Spanish Bibles should have been corrected and/or updated with the English.*

*The Greek Textus Receptus (any edition) is not superior to English. It was an interim, early New Testament, a stepping stone to the purification of the words of God in English. The world does not speak Greek and never will again. Therefore, the Valera (1602, 1865), having been translated from the Receptus, is **inferior** to English. Luther’s German Bible is not superior to the English. It was an interim stepping stone to the purification of the words of God in English and was used to bring about the Protestant Reformation. The world does not speak German and never will.*

*To say that Luther’s German Bible or the Valera Bible of 1865 is equal to or superior to the AV is to espouse ANOTHER standard. So then **your** brain determines which is correct; **your** brain is the final authority; **you** have made **yourself** equal to God.*

In Awe of Thy Word on “*the Bible’s built-in dictionary*” p 30
 “*Princes have persecuted me without a cause: but my heart standeth in awe of thy word*”
 Psalm 119:161

Professor Kermode of Cambridge University agrees, noting that words in, “works transmitted inside a canon are understood differently from those without” (*Literary Guide To The Bible*, p. 609). Wycliffe too recommends “putting aside foreign ...grammars, submitting instead to the grammar and logic of scripture” (Levy, p. 15). Greek text editors such as “Erasmus, Theodore Beza, and Estienne (Stephanus) drew attention to the difference between biblical and classical Greek [used by lexicons], a primary issue still” (*Cambridge History of the Bible*, vol.3, p. 522). Erasmus warned of the font from which lexicons are taken and their “danger of taking words in a sense they may well have in classical Greek, but which is not the sense in which they are used in the New Testament.” Sometimes, “The new words implied a new theology.” This was the thesis of *New Age Bible Versions* (*Cambridge History of the Bible*, vol. 2, p. 366 et al.). Erasmus recommends only the Bible’s built-in dictionary, where one can “compare texts fruitfully one with another” (*Cambridge History of the Bible*, vol. 2, p. 504).

Erasmus stands in sharp contrast to his contemporary Greek text editors who promote the *false* notion that “Scripture remains full of meanings “which are not able to be understood in any way other than from the very fount of the original languages” [*quae nequeant aliunde quam ex ipso archetypae linguae fonte cognosci*]. This quote is taken from the preface of the Catholic *Complutensian Polyglot* produced by Cardinal Ximenez in 1517; this Catholic ‘father’ spawned “the first” Greek New Testament lexicon, which bred today’s mongrels (*Pelikan*, p. 110; *The Cambridge History of the Bible*, vol 3, p. 525).

Our generation has worn out so many eyes spying what man says about the scriptures, that we are blind to how popish we have become. The homage which was once lavished on Catholic ‘saints’ has in our generation been redirected to the ancients and others whose quotes become the revered relics of our religion. According to St. Strong, ‘the Bible is wrong’; according to St. Vine, ‘correct that line.’ What happened to “...according to thy word”? (Psa. 119:41)

The Old Testament foreshadows many ‘types’ and paints many “ensamples” “for our admonition,” of kings and rulers, who picture Christ, speaking to men of *other* languages (1 Cor. 10:11). One such picture in the Old Testament is Joseph, who is a type of Jesus and the word. Joseph’s brothers “would not hear” him when he spoke to them in Hebrew. So, like Jesus, the Word, “**he spake** unto them by an interpreter.” “And they knew not that Joseph understood them.” Just as some today do not know that God himself speaks through his word in all languages. Joseph’s brothers did not believe he was “yet alive,” just as today’s faithless do not believe that Jesus, the Word, is “alive” or that his word is still alive.

“[T]he word of God which **liveth**...” 1 Peter 1:23.

The resurrection and eternal life of Jesus, the **Word**, pre-figures the resurrection of the written **word** from the dead Koine Greek language (Gen. 42:21, 23, 45:26, 27; Acts 25:19). Ian Paisley, long standing member of Britain’s Parliament, wrote an entire book about this, stating:

“God breathed into this book [KJV] and it became living Scripture to the English reader...I believe this Book will always be the unsurpassable pre-eminent English version of the Holy Bible and no other can ever take its place” (*My Plea For the Old Sword* Belfast, N. Ireland: Ambassador, 1997, pp. 65, 11).

The Bible appears in many forms— such as Hebrew, Hungarian, English and Polish. The “form” of the **Word** *seemed* different at various times, yet it was still Jesus (e.g. the “fiery furnace” (Dan. 3:25), the “babe wrapped in swaddling clothes” (Luke 2:12), when “She supposing him to be the gardener” (John 20:15), and when “his eyes were as a flame of fire” (Rev. 1:14). When the **Word** “appeared in another form,” as Jesus did, “neither believed they them” (Mark 16:12, 13). Likewise, some still dig for words buried in haunted Greek graveyards.

Scrivener’s and Berry’s printed Greek editions of the *Textus Receptus* must be understood to be what they are and nothing more. They are **excellent tools** to prove that the Received Text readings of the KJV are, in fact, based on a long history of Greek editions. They are also **excellent tools** to prove wrong the corrupt editions of the Greek New Testament, such as the UBS, Nestle, Westcott & Hort, and Hodges-Farstad Greek Texts, which underlie the new versions. The complete history, as well as the gross errors of these corrupt Greek New Testaments, underlying the TNIV, NIV, HCSB, ESB, and NASB, is discussed thoroughly in the exhaustive 700 page bestseller, *New Age Bible Versions* (G.A. Riplinger, Ararat, VA: A.V. Publications, 1993).

The topic of Bible inspiration and infallibility can only be discussed with reference to actual words and verses. A fog of emotional steam, that carries no substance, precedes comments such as, ‘I don’t believe the KJV corrects ‘the original *Greek*’ or ‘I don’t believe the KJV corrects the ‘Majority Text’ or the ‘*Textus Receptus*.’ The desire to *appear* intelligent or superior by referring to ‘the Greek’ and downplaying the common man’s Bible, exposes a naivety concerning textual history and those documents which today’s pseudo-intellectuals call ‘*the critical text*,’ ‘*the original Greek*,’ *the* ‘Majority Text,’ or *the* ‘*Textus Receptus*.’ There existed a true original Greek (i.e. Majority Text, *Textus Receptus*). It is not in print and never will be, because it is unnecessary. No one on the planet speaks first century Koine Greek, so God is finished with it. He needs no ‘Dead Bible Society’ to translate it into “everyday English,” using the same corrupt secularized lexicons used by the TNIV, NIV, NASB and HCSB (quote on file). God has not called readers to check his Holy Bible for errors. He has called his Holy Bible to check *us* for errors.

What Would Jesus Do?

- Inspire a Bible people can read?
- Inspire conflicting Greek editions which few can read?
- Inspire unsaved liberals to write conflicting Greek lexicons to translate conflicting one-man Greek editions?
- Inspire originals, then loose them?

Conclusions

The key Biblical issues reveal that:

- The 1611 Holy Bible is **“the words of eternal life”** John 6:68 and by it **“their sound went into all the earth, and their words unto the ends of the world”** Romans 10:18 as Jack Chick’s ministry has shown for decades.
- **“The words of the LORD”** Psalm 12:6 are in many forms but *not* in the form of **“many books”** Ecclesiastes 12:12 *that differ from the 1611 Holy Bible in word and/or meaning.*
- God has made **“The words of the LORD”** Psalm 12:6 primarily into *one* Book, the 1611 Holy Bible **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16 from which *all* other faithful vernacular translations are or should be derived.
- Though a necessary stage in the refinement of **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16 ‘the Greek’ etc. then **“...became like the chaff of the summer threshingfloors; and the wind carried them away, that no place was found for them”** Daniel 2:35. God has finished with it.
- God’s response to the critics of **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16 is Luke 1:51 **“He hath shewed strength with his arm; he hath scattered the proud in the imagination of their hearts.”**
- The 1611 Holy Bible is God’s fulfilment of Isaiah 45:19 **“I have not spoken in secret, in a dark place of the earth: I said not unto the seed of Jacob, Seek ye me in vain: I the LORD speak righteousness, I declare things that are right.”**

References

- ¹ *In Awe of Thy Word* by G. A. Riplinger www.avpublications.com/avnew/home.html pp 81-82
- ² *Ruckman Reference Bible*, p 1638
- ³ *The Oxford Bible Reader’s Dictionary & Concordance*
- ⁴ *Dr D. A. Waite and The Dead Bible Society* www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php p 6
- ⁵ en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coronation_of_the_British_monarch, en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coronation_of_Queen_Elizabeth_II
- ⁶ *The Trumpet Sounds for Britain*, Volume 1 by David E. Gardner, Chapter 3, www.electronic-bibles.co.uk/jesusalive/trumpet.html
- ⁷ *This England* petersengland.blogspot.co.uk/2012/02/coronation-of-queen-elizabeth-ii.html
- ⁸ www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *Royal Law – James 2:8*
- ⁹ kiv.benabraham.com/html/chapter-10.html
- ¹⁰ *The Christian’s Handbook of Manuscript Evidence* by Dr Peter S. Ruckman p 126. All subsequent references to Dr Ruckman’s work in this work are from his Reference Bible. See Reference 11 for consecutive page numbers.
- ¹¹ *The Ruckman Reference Bible* pp 169, 278, 818, 1468, 1662-1663
- ¹² www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php *D. A. Waite Response* p 37
- ¹³ www.oilrefineryplant.com/oil-refinery-process/
- ¹⁴ en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oil_refinery
- ¹⁵ www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *The purification of the Lord’s word – Psalm 12 v 6-7*
- ¹⁶ *Hazardous Materials* by G. A. Riplinger www.avpublications.com/avnew/home.html pp 1097ff
- ¹⁷ *In Awe of Thy Word* p 600 and *The Hidden History of the English Scriptures* pp 49-51 both by G. A. Riplinger
- ¹⁸ *Hazardous Materials, Greek & Hebrew Study Dangers*, by Gail Riplinger, A. V. Publications, 2008, Introduction
- ¹⁹ *Cleaning Up Hazardous Materials* by Kirk DiVietro, The Dean Burgon Society, 2010, pp 139-140
- ²⁰ *The King James Version Defended* by Edward F. Hills, Chapter 8, wilderness-cry.net/bible_study/books/kiv-defended/chapter8.html
- ²¹ *The Big Picture* by David W. Norris, Authentic Word, 2004, pp 372, 384-385
- ²² *Hidden History of The English Scriptures* by Gail Riplinger, A. V. Publications, 2011
- ²³ *In Awe of Thy Word* by Gail Riplinger, A. V. Publications, 2011, 2003, p 956
- ²⁴ en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sodom_and_Gomorrah
- ²⁵ *Ruckman Reference Bible*, pp 1629, 1641
- ²⁶ www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-17375736
- ²⁷ www.chick.com/reading/tracts/1070/1070_01.asp
- ²⁸ www.familyresearchinst.org/2009/02/pro-gay-bias-in-study-of-pedophilia/