

The 1611 Holy Bible versus Dodgy Duke and Smarty Marty

Introduction

The following studies are this writer's responses to two Bible critics who took exception to Bro. John Davis' Time for Truth newsletter www.timefortruth.co.uk/tft-newsletters/ because they did not like how Bro. Davis **"plentifully declared the thing as it is"** Job 26:3.

It will be seen that they did not like **"the sword of the Spirit, which is the word of God"** Ephesians 6:17 either.

It will be seen further that these two Bible critics, whom this writer has designated Dodgy Duke and Smarty Marty, put forward typical objections to the 1611 Holy Bible that are designed to **"by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple"** Romans 16:18.

Dodgy Duke was a multiple versionist supporter and Smarty Marty was an NKJV supporter, so they differed in that respect. Their points of agreement were of course their 'originals-onlyism' and their opposition to the 1611 Holy Bible as **"All scripture"** that **"is given by inspiration of God"** 2 Timothy 3:16. Smarty Marty had been in contact with Bro. Davis before the exchange that this work addresses. He had been given links to articles revealing the truth of the NKJV that he then took issue with in a further communication with Bro. Davis. This writer's response to that communication is the substance of this work's study on Smarty Marty.

It is hoped that the Biblical responses that follow will encourage readers to see more clearly that what the Lord said through Isaiah has direct practical application to **"the book of the LORD"** Isaiah 34:16 itself.

"No weapon that is formed against thee shall prosper; and every tongue that shall rise against thee in judgment thou shalt condemn. This is the heritage of the servants of the LORD, and their righteousness is of me, saith the LORD" Isaiah 54:17.

What follows are the transcripts of the email exchanges between the two Bible critics, Bro. Davis and this writer.

Note that except for the direct exchanges between Bro. Davis and this writer, in the transcripts that follow Bro. Davis' statements are in green, the critics' comments in normal type and this writer's responses to the critics in **blue bold** for the first study and in **red bold** for the second study.

Annotations have been inserted in **red braces** [] for the first study and in **blue braces** [] for the second study.

First Exchange with Dodgy Duke

From: [John Davis](#)

Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 1:58 AM [to this writer]

Subject: FW: How do I know? [note from Bible critic Mike LeDuke]

Reading through your newspaper 'The Bible 4 Life' you mentioned about comparing different Bible versions.

[Quote from John Davis followed by examples] My question is this, 'If the different versions say the opposite to one another, how do I KNOW which one is right???' I.e. Compare the AV with the NIV...

1. **Psa 10v4&5**— prosperous or grievous? - the sense in the KJV is that the prosperity of the wicked is grievous. **A lie. Prosperity does not fit the context** [because **"He sitteth in the lurking places of the villages: in the secret places doth he murder the innocent: his eyes are privily set against the poor"** Psalm 10:8]. **Moreover, the scripture cites many examples where the wicked are not prosperous, e.g. those of Noah's time lost everything ["And spared not the old world, but saved Noah the eighth person, a preacher of righteousness, bringing in the flood upon the world of the ungodly" 2 Peter 2:5].**
2. **Ecc 8v10**— receive praise in the city or forgotten in the city? – the sense here can go either way – the wicked who prospered died and were buried and the very city that used to praise him have now forgotten him. **The sense of the KJB is now** [i.e. the wicked are out of sight, out of mind at the time of writing and said to be so], **the sense of the NIV is in the past** [harking back to past history and failing to reflect current perception, also inserting a made-up reading implying the wicked may have done some good for which they were praised], **which is entirely different. The individual** [i.e. Dodgy Duke] **is trying to impose an unwarranted interpretation on the NIV to conceal the difference.**

3. **Col2v18**– has seen or has NOT seen? – again the sense is the same – the false teacher is going on about things he claims to have seen and not really seen. Other versions translate the same word as “visions”. In context the meaning is the same. **The meaning is not and cannot be the same. Again, the individual is imposing an unwarranted interpretation on the NIV to try to conceal the obvious difference in meaning – a thing is either seen or not seen. It can’t be both.**
4. **Isa 9v1**– honour Galilee or grievously afflict Galilee? The discrepancy between the two versions can be decided by consulting other versions [typical fundamentalist smorgasbord DIY multiple versionist approach i.e. **“I will be like the most High”** Isaiah 14:14]. The more modern translations which have access to a broader manuscript base agree with the NIV rendering same with verse 3. **What broader manuscript base? The individual does not say. He is trying to skate around the fact that a real, non-trivial difference exists in meaning that he has denied in his comments above. Though in the past tense, the passage is speaking of the tribulation of the End Times, when Galilee WILL be grievously afflicted. That is why the words are not found in Matthew 4:15, 16, because they deal with the Second Advent, not the First. The NIV and versions that agree with it are united in error, as usual, when they depart from “the scripture of truth” Daniel 10:21.**
5. **Isa 9v3**– increased their joy or NOT increased the joy? **The difference in meaning is such that even this individual can’t skate around it, so he refrains from comment.**
6. **Prov 26v22**– choice morsels or wounds? again all modern translations agree that “choice morsels” or “tasty trifles” [New King James Version] is correct. **The modern versions are again united in error. Again, however, the critic has to deny the AV1611 because he can’t pretend that the difference is trivial. He also forgot to read Proverbs 26:20 [“Where no wood is, there the fire goeth out: so where there is no talebearer, the strife ceaseth”] and to check all 6 references in the AV1611 to the word “talebearer,” [Leviticus 19:16, Proverbs 11:13, 18:8, 20:19, 26:20, 22] all of which are severely critical and never “choice” or “tasty.” See Leviticus 19:16 [“Thou shalt not go up and down as a talebearer among thy people: neither shalt thou stand against the blood of thy neighbour: I am the LORD”], important as the first mention of “talebearer.” (Nothing will, of course (short of the Judgement Seat of Christ Romans 14:10, at least for Christians) prevent these individuals from indulging in their sophistry and “vain deceit” Colossians 2:8 in order to try to make things different equal that aren’t equal and never will be.)**
7. **Hos 11v12**– Judah is unruly against God or ruleth with God? Again the modern and literal translations agree with the “unruly” translation. **Again, he has to deny the AV1611 in order to skate around a difference that is not trivial. Again, the modern versions (except the NKJV) are united in error. The modern versions altered the verse to match Hosea 5:5, 13, 8:14, 12:2 but they forgot Ezekiel 23:4, 11, indicating, as Hosea 11:12 does, that Judah did not immediately go into apostasy with Israel. See 2 Chronicles 11:17, 12:1 [“So they strengthened the kingdom of Judah, and made Rehoboam the son of Solomon strong, three years: for three years they walked in the way of David and Solomon...And it came to pass, when Rehoboam had established the kingdom, and had strengthened himself, he forsook the law of the LORD, and all Israel with him” i.e. Judah is then included in “all Israel”] that the modern translators also overlooked, along with the critic. They also don’t understand that the prophets don’t necessarily record events in chronological order – Jeremiah is a striking example [Jeremiah 21, 22, 34, 35, 37, 44, 45].**
8. **Prov 25v23**– brings rain or driveth away rain? all modern translations agree that “brings rain” or “raises clouds” is correct. **Again, the critic evades a clear and obvious difference by denying the AV1611. Again, the modern versions are united in error. The modern reading is stupid. It would have to be a WEST wind that brought rain to the land of Israel, 1 Kings 18:43-45 [“And it came to pass at the seventh time, that he said, Behold, there ariseth a little cloud out of the sea, like a man’s hand. And he said, Go up, say unto Ahab, Prepare thy chariot, and get thee down, that the rain stop thee not” 1 Kings 18:44]. Dr Ruckman states in his commentary on Proverbs 25:23 that even in the USA, north winds tend to drive away rain, not bring it. He also points out that north winds bring cold and frost, not rain, Job 37:9 [“Out of the south cometh the whirlwind: and cold out of the north”]. The critic should at least not try to insult anyone’s intelligence, regardless of his opinions on Bible versions.**

9. **Psa 55v18** – oppose me or with me? even the NKJV says “against me”. **Again, he lines up with the modern versions against the AV1611 to get around what is a distinct, non-trivial difference. It is the battle that is against the Psalmist, not his God-given allies. 2 Kings 6:16, 17 explain what is happening spiritually. Note also (from Dr Ruckman’s commentary on Psalms, Vol. 1) 2 Samuel 18 [with respect to] David’s allies [2 Samuel 18:1-4] and that prophetically, the context is the Second Advent, as indicated by the word “Selah” in Psalm 55:19. See Psalm 18, 68, especially Psalm 68:17 [“The chariots of God are twenty thousand, even thousands of angels: the Lord is among them, as in Sinai, in the holy place”]. Again, the modern versions are united in error. The modern translators often have distorted, tunnel vision that ignores the full revelation of scripture.**

On the whole, the critics of the 1611 Holy Bible are clueless when it comes to “all the counsel of God” Acts 20:27.

Second Exchange with Dodgy Duke

From: [John Davis](#)
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 11:05 AM
To: [Alan O’Reilly](#)
Subject: Fwd: How do I know? [note from Bible critic Mike LeDuke]

The usual!!!

John Davis

From: [Alan O’Reilly](#)
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 1:29 PM
To: [John Davis](#)
Subject: Re: Fwd: How do I know?

It certainly is, John, sheer waffle

The differences are not trivial. This individual is trying to trivialise them as a cloak for sin, John 15:22 [**“If I had not come and spoken unto them, they had not had sin: but now they have no cloke for their sin”**]

The new versions themselves e.g. NIV, NKJV, [Gideons] NIV etc., contradict each other. The scholarship is apostate, including a Catholic cardinal, Martini and practising sex perverts, Virginia Mollenkott, Martin Woudstra (deceased) [friend of sodomites]. [See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ ‘O Biblios’ – The Book pp 235, 245, 260, www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php The AV1611 Holy Bible versus three unclean spirits – Revelation 16 p 5]

Who are the ‘experts’ and why and how does this individual know about the supposedly superior resources modern translators have vs. those of the King’s men? He does not say. The truth is that the King’s men had effectively the same resources as are available today. [See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ ‘O Biblios’ – The Book pp 113-114]

He clearly has no ‘Bible’ as any final authority. His own opinion is his final authority.

See comments below in **blue bold**.

Alan

From: “Mike LeDuke”
Date: 24 June 2011 04:08:33 GMT
To: [John E. Davis]
Subject: RE: How do I know?

Hi John,

These are fairly trivial differences – see my comments against each of them below. This is true of most such apparent “contradictions”. They certainly should not inform one’s decision regarding the inspiration of the Bible as a whole [*Which Bible? DD does not say. The critics never do*].

The critic is a liar. Of the 9 passages cited, he cannot show that 5 of them are “fairly trivial” and one (Isaiah 9:3) is so NON-trivial that he fails to comment. He can only get around the differences in the others by lining up with the modern versions AGAINST the AV1611.

The King James Version is definitely not the last word in translations in spite of the beauty of its language and the dramatic impact it has had on the language and culture of English speaking peoples everywhere. [Typical fundamentalist **"vain repetitions"** Matthew 6:7]

We are blessed with having a large number of other versions readily accessible in English with a high degree of scholarship behind them to compare the KJV with the translation decisions of scholars who have had more resources behind them than did the translators of the KJV. [DD is a liar. See remarks above about the resources available to the King James translators]

You are really dealing with the differences between the KJV and almost all other more modern translations. It is relatively easy to consult expert opinion [**"I will be like the most High"** Isaiah 14:14] on these differences so there is no need to be confused unless one wants to be [sic]. It is relatively easy with Bible tools such as the Online Bible, E-Sword, QuickVerse etc. and a large array of versions and lexicons to lay to rest any translation difficulties [**"For the transgression of a land many are the princes thereof"** Proverbs 28:2]. I was able to do so with your examples below in about 20 minutes – hardly a reason for the apparent dismay you express in your Email. [DD got 8 examples wrong and had to skip one]

The bottom line is that you can trust the Bible [*Which Bible? DD does not say. The critics never do*] – the differences in translation are trivial [DD is a liar. See remarks above about the 9 passages cited] and do not impact its overall message [Typical fundamentalist **"vain repetitions"** Matthew 6:7]; never mind the fact that virtually all of them are resolvable, if one wishes to try, in a matter of minutes.

He never resolved anything and could not cite 'chapter and verse' to support his assertions if his life and the lives of his family depended on it.

Proverbs 25:2 [KJV] *It is* the glory of God to conceal a thing: but the honour of kings *is* to search out a matter. [DD was searched out. The outcome was **"TEKEL; Thou art weighed in the balances, and art found wanting"** Daniel 5:27]

Rather than use such minor discrepancies as a basis for laying aside the Bible [*Which Bible? DD does not say. The critics never do*] as "untrustworthy", the faithful person will use such apparent discrepancies as an opportunity to delve deeply into the mind of God Himself as He has revealed it in His Word. Such an attitude and such effort will not go unrewarded.

He did not "delve deeply" into anything. He is a charlatan. See additional comments below.

Here are links which you might find helpful:

The Divine Origin of the Bible: www.thisisyourbible.com/media.asp?id=160

The Miracle of the Bible: www.thisisyourbible.com/media.asp?id=149

[Neither link uploads. It appears that these too are **"TEKEL; Thou art weighed in the balances, and art found wanting"** Daniel 5:27]

May God bless and keep you,
Mike LeDuke

www.thisisyourbible.com

[The above link exists. www.thisisyourbible.com/index.php?page=contact reveals that the owners are the heretical Christadelphians]

[Additional Comments]

Hi John

Just a further note on Proverbs 25:23. See Luke 12:54: "And he said also to the people, When ye see a cloud rise out of the **west**, straightway ye say, There cometh **a shower**; and so it is."

The modern versions also have "west" in Luke 12:54, so they contradict themselves (as well as being wrong) in Proverbs 25:23.

Here in NE England, I would say that though we are close to the North Sea, most of our rain comes from the west, which is why NE England is, on the whole, drier than NW England, because much of the rain gets shed over the Pennines. So however much the weather is a popular topic in England leading to unending speculation, the KJB controls our weather and the modern versions are united in stupidity, ignorance and contradiction.

"When he uttereth his voice, there is a multitude of waters in the heavens, and he causeth the vapours to ascend from the ends of the earth; he maketh lightnings with rain, and bringeth forth the wind out of his treasures" Jeremiah 10:13, 51:16]

Final Exchange with Smarty Marty

From: [John Davis](#)
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 1:54 PM
To: [\[Alan O'Reilly\]](#)
Subject: Fwd: NKJV

He's back!!!

From: [\[Alan O'Reilly\]](#)
 To: [\[John Davis\]](#)
 Subject: Re: Fwd: NKJV
 Date: Mon, 1 Apr 2013 21:43:45

Hi John

Hope what follows is helpful. He still doesn't have a single identifiable final authority between two covers – the Hebrew/Greek so-called is just a smokescreen.

I would suggest insisting that he doesn't get back to you unless he can specify a single identifiable final authority between two covers. You have been courteous enough to specify yours, the AV1611. He should have enough conviction to do the same in return, though basically of course, he's just another fundamentalist pope.

Note that the our critic of 'O Biblios' also used to constantly consult the original languages, [\[See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ 'O Biblios' – The Book\]](#) Section 8.2.11, by his own profession. Yet he was opposed to the AV1611 whereas MW [\[Martin Wells aka Smarty Marty\]](#) purports to support it. The original languages clearly are not a consistent guide. It appears they can lead you in opposite directions or maybe just in circles.

Alan

From: Martin Wells
Date: 1 April 2013 12:31:06 BST
To: [\[John Davis\]](#)
Subject: NKJV

Dear John,

thank you for your email response. I have read the two articles about the NKJV [\[www.av1611.org/nkjv.html, www.avpublications.com/avnew/downloads/PDF/Tracts/NKJV_tract.pdf\]](#) and have started the process of analysing the data to see if you are correct. Beginning first with your table of omissions, I find that the numbers are not correct. I have done the same superficial **[Comparing "the scripture of truth" Daniel 10:21 with a satanic counterfeit to reprove "the unfruitful works of darkness" Ephesians 5:11 is neither superficial nor a game. It is a scriptural ministry]** numbers game and find:

Lord	AV 7970 times,	NKJV 7914 times: difference = 56 not 66 as you have
God	AV 4473 times,	NKJV 4425 times: difference = 48 not 51 as you have,
Heaven	AV 583 times,	NKJV 532 times: difference = 51 not 50 as you have,
repent*	AV 83 times,	NKJV 44 times: difference = 39 not 44 as you have.

Most of the above is gnat-straining. However, the above NKJV figures appear to be based on the current online version*. The original figures may have been compiled from an earlier edition which could account for some discrepancies. *Note in passing however that a search for the term repent with partial match gives 112 for the AV1611 and 68 for the NKJV i.e. 44 cut-outs by the NKJV. [See [Appendix AV1611 Word Occurrences versus NKJV Omissions](#)]

Hell, blood, Jehovah and new testament: I agree with your numbers. But this is such a superficial and misleading analysis **[The Devil would say the same, Psalm 91:11, 12 with Matthew 4:6]** [\[Note also that "A little leaven leaveneth the whole lump" Galatians 5:9\].](#)

Take the word Jehovah. We both know that the most common translation of the Hebrew original **[It does not exist as such. See *Hazardous Materials Part V* [by Gail Riplinger]. "Thou shalt not bear false witness" Romans 13:9]** - the perfectly preserved final standard of authority for the OT **[It does not exist as such. See *Hazardous Materials Part V*. "Thou shalt not bear false witness" Romans 13:9]** - is LORD **[JEHOVAH is God's name, Exodus 6:3, noting also the Law of 1st Mention. The NKJV obliterates God's name. It is later that Jeremiah 16:21 reveals that "my name is THE LORD" equivalent to JEHOVAH]**

Just because NKJV has LORD instead of Jehovah doesn't make it a perversion! **[The NKJV's removal of God's name makes the NKJV as blasphemous as the Qur'an, likewise all that follow suit, including today's 'DIY' translations]**

Take the word heaven. The Hebrew original **[[Specifically] the underlying Hebrew word. No 'original' exists. "Thou shalt not bear false witness" Romans 13:9]** is translated by the AV as both heaven and heavens, depending on the context. Just because it's translated heavens in Gen 1v1 by the NKJV doesn't make it doctrinally incorrect **[It does. It fails to reveal the original creation, as indicated by Genesis 1:8]**

The AV translates it in Ps 19 'The **heavens** declare the glory of God' **[These are "the heavens...which are now" 2 Peter 3:7 following the creation after Genesis 1:2]**, showing that they understand it to mean the created moon, stars etc - just as it does in Gen 1v1 **[It does not, as Genesis 1:8 indicates [with the absence of the expression "and God saw that it was good" Genesis 1:10, 12, 18, 21, 25]. "Thou shalt not bear false witness" Romans 13:9]. [See *The Gap Fact* by Perry Demopoulos]**

You are just nit picking at tiny differences in translation **[Typical 'originals-onlyist' arrogance, as well as being a false accusation. "Thou shalt not bear false witness" Romans 13:9. They are not tiny. They are essential with respect to understanding the nature of God's cosmology].** Both are perfectly valid **[They are not, for the reasons given. "Thou shalt not bear false witness" Romans 13:9].** Well this is only a tiny beginning, but I shall plough on **[When you're in a hole, stop digging].**

Meanwhile, perhaps you would refrain from further slander in your newsletters until I have given you a thoroughly researched reply in my own time frame **[Cease bearing false witness. That is not slander but sound advice]**

kind regards,
Martin

ps

I have read the AV Bible all my life and still use nothing else for personal Bible study. However, I recognise it is as just a very good translation **[A mere subjective opinion with no substance derived from two-and-a-half pints of human brains [The Book of Matthew by Dr Peter S. Ruckman p 30]].** To plumb the depths of meaning **["the depths of Satan" Revelation 2:24. See *Hazardous Materials* by Gail Riplinger]** in the original languages is a lifetime's work **[Which amounts to a wasted life because nothing useful will emerge, see examples above. The AV1611 sets forth everything needful anyway by "words...which the Holy Ghost teacheth" 1 Corinthians 2:13].**

That's why I consult the Hebrew and Greek - they are my final authority **[Which Hebrew? Which Greek? There is no such thing as 'the' Hebrew and 'the' Greek - and what there is is not finally authoritative, simply various differing editions of critical texts and interlinears. See again *Hazardous Materials* and note again Romans 13:9 "Thou shalt not bear false witness" - violated for the seventh time now by the correspondent. The final authority, so-called, has no warrant from scripture, is a blatant violation of the priesthood of all believers, 1 Peter 2:5, 9 and again amounts to no more than two-and-half pints of human brains].**

We actually don't differ in practice at all **[the difference is as night and day. Romans 13:9 "Thou shalt not bear false witness"],** yet you just like to separate from true believers **[undefined]** and treat those who differ from you as the scum of the earth **['originals-onlyists' anarchists, actually, who wilfully violate "the royal law" James 2:8]** This is clear from an analysis of the first few pages of your Issue 40:

Arrogant 3X
Baby Pete 5X
Idiot 3X
Pink and fluffy Christian 1X
Looney 1X

I don't know this Peter you are referring to, but it is quite scandalous to refer to a fellow Christian in this way **[Then find out who he is and read what he actually said and how that was answered from scripture before recklessly passing judgement. "He that answereth a matter before he heareth it, it is folly and shame unto him" Proverbs 18:13].**

I wonder where it all comes from and then I see: 'my friend Dr Ruckman,' 'I love the way Ruckman handles these...' 'I thank God that he has raised up men like Ruckman...' 'Straight talk from Ruckman!' 'Ruckman writes...' You follow a man called Dr Ruckman clearly **[The remarks essentially have to do with Dr Ruckman wielding "the sword of the Spirit, which is the word of God" Ephesians 6:17. This is now the ninth violation of Romans 13:9 "Thou shalt not bear false witness." The accuser must be following "a liar and the father of it" John 8:44],** while accusing others of following a man called Calvin. Is this not hypocritical? **[It is consistent. See previous remark.]**

Finally, I note no less than 5 pictures of you on an expensive looking motorbike. This looks very much like self projection **[That looks very much like a cheap jibe stemming from "An heart that deviseth wicked imaginations" Proverbs 6:18]**

From: [John Davis](#)

Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 10:53 PM

To: [\[Alan O'Reilly\]](#)

Subject: RE: NKJV

Alan that is great thanks! Really appreciate it!

I think you scare all these Bible 'correctors' with your answers! Thanks again for all your help!

Christian love

John

Glad to help, John

I think this was the individual who evaded the issue of what is all scripture that's given by inspiration of God 2 Timothy 3:16 between two covers. If so, he hasn't changed [\[see 2 Peter 2:22 below\]](#).

When he says the AV1611 is a very good translation, he is also declaring that it is not the word of God [\[reiterating that "I will be like the most High" Isaiah 14:14\]](#).

Yours in the Lord Jesus Christ

Alan

[\[For all opponents of the 1611 Holy Bible, without exception: "But it is happened unto them according to the true proverb, The dog *is* turned to his own vomit again; and the sow that was washed to her wallowing in the mire" 2 Peter 2:22\]](#)

Appendix AV1611 Word Occurrences versus NKJV Omissions

Extract from www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/version-comparison.php
1611, 2011 AV1611 Precision versus Modern Version Impurity p 17

See www.avpublications.com/avnew/downloads.html *New King James Omissions Tract*

Note that not all editions of the NKJV are the same. See **Table AV1611 Text versus NKJV Omissions** below, with comparative figures extracted from *New King James Omissions Tract...* The AV1611, NKJV Online figures are for Partial Match and Case Sensitive search criteria...

Table AV1611 Word Occurrences versus NKJV Omissions

Word	AV1611	NKJV Online	NKJV Online Omissions	NKJV Tract Omissions
<i>Lord, total</i>	1145	1055	90	66
<i>Lord, NT</i>	681	598	83	n.a.
<i>God, total</i>	4111	3993	118	51
<i>God, NT</i>	1370	1287	83	n.a.
<i>heaven, excluding heavens</i>	586	529	57	50
<i>repent</i>	104	58	46	44
<i>blood</i>	465	455	10	23
<i>hell</i>	50	29	21	22

Notes

1. The table shows some agreement between the online and tract NKJVs omissions but suggests that they are different NKJV editions. The omissions in both editions are serious, nevertheless.
2. Inclusion of the words *LORD* and *GOD* into the search, carried out by separate evaluation, distorts the results. The NKJV omissions for *Lord, LORD* and *God, GOD* are **3** and **59** respectively.
3. However, those amended results should not be taken at face value because the distortion masks the appreciable number of NKJV omissions of the words *Lord* and *God* in the New Testament. These omissions are serious in that the New Testament focuses on the Lord Jesus Christ and the ministry of God in the church. The NKJV has therefore weakened that focus.