

The 1611 Holy Bible versus Christmas Cut-Ups

Introduction

Various familiar passages of scripture are read out at Christmas time. The modern counterfeits typically cut up and distort the correct AV1611 readings for those passages in ways that attack major doctrine and favour Catholic and New Age heresies. Examples follow, showing that it is *fundamental evangelical Christians* “Who changed the truth of God into a lie...” Romans 1:25 “...for ye have perverted the words of the living God, of the LORD of hosts our God” Jeremiah 23:36 in their carelessness towards “the book of the LORD” Isaiah 34:16 “the scripture of truth” Daniel 10:21 “the royal law” James 2:8 and “All scripture” that “is given by inspiration of God” 2 Timothy 3:16 and incur the censure of the Lord through Isaiah and Ezekiel, making this nation and indeed the whole world riper for the judgement of God.

“The earth also is defiled under the inhabitants thereof; because they have transgressed the laws, changed the ordinance, broken the everlasting covenant” Isaiah 24:5.

“And I will send a fire on Magog, and among them that dwell carelessly in the isles: and they shall know that I am the LORD” Ezekiel 39:6.

See attached studies “The Royal Law” James 2:8, **AV1611 Authority – Absolute**, “The book of the LORD” Isaiah 34:16* that describe those things that *fundamental evangelical Christians* “let...slip” because they did not obey Hebrews 2:1 “Therefore we ought to give the more earnest heed to the things which we have heard, lest at any time we should let them slip” and thereby each and every one “...hath trodden under foot the Son of God, and hath counted the blood of the covenant, wherewith he was sanctified, an unholy thing, and hath done despite unto the Spirit of grace” Hebrews 10:29.

*Note that this study addresses the sin of ‘originals-onlyism’ i.e. ‘only ‘the original’ is perfect,’ ‘holy scripture as originally given is the final authority in all matters of faith and practice’ etc. *Never* give in to the sin of ‘originals-onlyism’ if as this writer does you profess that the AV1611 is “the book of the LORD” Isaiah 34:16 “the scripture of truth” Daniel 10:21 “the royal law” James 2:8 and “All scripture” that “is given by inspiration of God” 2 Timothy 3:16. ‘Originals-onlyism’ is despicable and this writer has never yet come across an ‘originals’-onlyist’ who didn’t despise the AV1611 “the book of the LORD” Isaiah 34:16 “the scripture of truth” Daniel 10:21 “the royal law” James 2:8 and “All scripture” that “is given by inspiration of God” 2 Timothy 3:16. It is as the Lord Jesus Christ said so incisively. “No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon” Matthew 6:24.

“a virgin” Isaiah 7:14, Matthew 1:23

Email exchange between Gail Riplinger, author of *New Age Bible Versions* and other groundbreaking works¹ and this writer

December 22nd 2013

Dear Gail

I hope all is well...

I was going over *New Age Bible Versions* Chapter 7 *Mystery Babylon the Great*, noting your citations concerning *THE Virgin*. You will have observed that some modern versions, NIVs, NKJV, ESV, HCSB, NLT, read *the virgin* in Isaiah 7:14, not a virgin as in the 1611 Holy Bible. This reading is a fairly modern change in that even the DRB, RV, ASV, NASVs read *a virgin* and almost all the historic versions from Wycliffe onward read *a virgin* with the 1611 Holy Bible, as Bro. Kinney’s article shows². Bro. Kinney shows that the 1587 Geneva Bible reads *a virgin* but the reading was changed to *the virgin* for the 1599 Edition. Just as well that the 1611 Holy Bible came out 12 years later.

It appears to me that the modern reading in Isaiah 7:14 is yet another satanic New Age change, aimed at glorifying the demonic *queen of heaven* Jeremiah 7:18, 44:17, 18, 19, 25 and substituting antichrist for the Lord Jesus Christ, in the same manner as you showed for Isaiah 14:12 with the devil trying to put the Lord Jesus Christ there in place of himself.

Yours in the Lord Jesus Christ
Alan O'R

December 22nd 2013

Dear Brother,

When I was a Catholic as a child, I recall Mary being called, The Blessed Virgin. So when I saw the Virgin, I immediately recognized it as a Catholic intrusion. I like your idea about it. It is very good...

Gail

"For unto us a child is born, unto us a son is given: and the government shall be upon his shoulder: and his name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor, The mighty God, The everlasting Father, The Prince of Peace" Isaiah 9:6

Modern texts cut out each "***The***" for the sake of New Age inclusiveness. Today's believer should note John's admonition.

"And we know that the Son of God is come, and hath given us an understanding, that we may know him that is true, and we are in him that is true, even in his Son Jesus Christ. This is the true God, and eternal life" 1 John 5:20³.

The Lord Jesus Christ is "**The mighty God, The everlasting Father, The Prince of Peace" according to all Editions of the AV1611 since 1611, noting that each of the "***The's***" is capitalised. Wycliffe lacks the "***The's***" but the Bibles of the 16th century English Reformation, Coverdale, Bishops', Geneva, all contain them. Challoner's 1745 Revision of the Douay-Rheims alters "***The mighty God***" to "*God the Mighty*" and initiates the modern trend, as found in the RV, NIV, TNIV, NKJV, JB, NWT etc. to omit the "***The's***" entirely, low-rating the Lord Jesus Christ and allowing for a New Age panoply of "*mighty Gods*," including Allah for the Moslems (Mohammedans), 'Mary' (a mighty Goddess) for the Papists and Krishna, Kali, Shiva etc. for the Hindus, with the Lord Jesus Christ simply being 'Mighty God' for the Christians.**

The Lord Jesus Christ is, however, "**The mighty God**" because "***He doeth great things; marvellous and unsearchable; marvellous things without number:***" Job 5:9 and He is "***the LORD God, the God of Israel, who only doeth wondrous things***" Psalm 72:14, He is "**The everlasting Father**" because He is "***the everlasting God, the LORD, the Creator of the ends of the earth,***" and He "***fainteth not, neither is weary...there is no searching of his understanding***" Isaiah 40:28 and "***In him was life; and the life was the light of men***" John 1:4. Note also John 14:9, "***he that hath seen me hath seen the Father.***" He is "**The Prince of Peace**" for the reasons given in Isaiah 9:7 [**"Of the increase of his government and peace there shall be no end, upon the throne of David, and upon his kingdom, to order it, and to establish it with judgment and with justice from henceforth even for ever. The zeal of the LORD of hosts will perform this"**]. Note the comments above about the Lord's absolute, global and universal rule with respect to Isaiah 2:1-4, Daniel 2:34, 35, Colossians 1:16, Revelation 11:15. The threefold title "**The mighty God, The everlasting Father, The Prince of Peace**" can also be a reference to the Godhead, 1 John 5:7, "***the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost.***"

For detailed manuscript evidence and additional comment on the following New Testament verses that this work addresses, Matthew 1:25, Luke 2:14, 1 Timothy 3:16, see the following extracts with references amended for this work⁴.

[“firstborn son” Matthew 1:25⁵](#)

Matthew 1:25

“**firstborn**” is omitted by the RV, Ne, NIV, NKJV f.n., JB, NJB, NWT to uphold catholic teaching of Mary as a perpetual virgin.

Burgon⁶ states that only 3 uncials, Aleph (Sinaiticus), B (Vaticanus), Z and two cursives omit “**firstborn**.” Ruckman⁷ states that the word is found in the “*Egyptian*” family of manuscripts (e.g. C), the “*Western*” (D) and the “*Byzantine*” (i.e. the Receptus). He states that it is also found in Tatian’s Diatessaron⁸, a Syrian translation of the Gospels, circa 170 AD.

Burgon cites the Latin Vulgate, Peshitta and Philoxenian Syriac, the Ethiopic, Armenian, Georgian, and Slavonian versions in favour of the AV1611 reading.

Burgon also cites the following “*Fathers*” as bearing witness to the word.

2nd Century: Tatian

4th Century: Ambrose, Athanasius, Augustine, Basil, Cyril of Jerusalem, Chrysostom, Didymus, Ephraem Syrus, Epiphanius, Gregory of Nyssa

5th Century: Isidorus Pelus, Proclus

8th Century: John Damascene

9th Century: Photius.

See also Will Kinney’s detailed article⁹.

[“and on earth peace, good will toward men” Luke 2:14¹⁰](#)

Luke 2:14

“**on earth peace, good will toward men**” is changed to “on earth peace to men on whom his favour rests” or similar wording by the RV, 1978, 1984 NIV, JB, NJB or to “towards men of good will” or similar wording by the DR, Ne, NKJV f.n. and NWT. [The gender-neutral 2011 NIV changes “men” to “those.”](#)

The evidence in favour of the AV1611 against the modern textual critics is cited by Burgon¹¹, by Fuller quoting Burgon¹² and the TBS¹³. Only five codices (Aleph, A, B, D, W) support the modern textual critics, against *every existing copy of the Gospels, amounting to many hundreds* according to Burgon.

Although the Latin, Sahidic and Gothic versions support the modern textual critics, the AV1611 reading is supported by:

2nd Century: Syriac versions, Irenaeus

3rd Century: Coptic version, Origen, Apostolical Constitutions

4th Century: Eusebius, Aphraates the Persian, Titus of Bostra, Didymus, Gregory of Nazianzus, Cyril of Jerusalem, Epiphanius, Gregory of Nyssa, Ephraem Syrus, Philo, Bishop of Carpasus, Chrysostom

5th Century: Armenian version, Cyril of Alexandria, Theodoret, Theodotus of Ancyra, Proclus, Paulus of Emesa, Basil of Seleucia, the Eastern bishops of Ephesus collectively

6th Century: Georgian and Ethiopic versions, Cosmos, Anastasius Sinaita, Eulogius, Archbishop of Alexandria

7th Century: Andreas of Crete

8th Century: Cosmos, Bishop of Maiuma, John Damascene, Germanus, Archbishop of Constantinople, pope Martinus.

Berry's Greek text¹⁴ supports the AV1611.

See also Will Kinney's detailed article¹⁵ and the following extract by this writer.

Persecution of Christians¹⁶

I submit first the following. It is an extract from a response to an anti-Biblical book by James White, prominent cheer-leader for the 'originals-onlyism' fundamentalist band wagon in the US. [name removed, former church pastor] kindly lent me the book some years ago.

Luke 2:14 ["Glory to God in the highest, and on earth peace, good will toward men"]

An insightful comment on the AV1611 reading "**good will toward men**" emerges from the pen of the late General Sir Anthony Farrar-Hockley¹, 1924-2006². In 1951, General Sir Anthony Farrar-Hockley was a captain and adjutant in the Gloucestershire Regiment, when it was surrounded and taken prisoner by the Communist Chinese after sustaining heavy casualties at the battle of the Imjin River during the Korean War.

General Farrar-Hockley spent two and a half years as a prisoner-of-war and made these observations about a special 'Christmas' message delivered to the Allied POWs by a representative of Camp Commandant Ding named Chang on Christmas Day, 1952.

He began to read from a page of typescript in his hand...It was in the worst possible taste; for after starting mildly, Ding [the camp commandant] had been unable to restrain his fanaticism for the Communist cause. He quoted – or rather, misquoted – the Scriptures, particularly the teachings of Christ. We heard the beloved Christmas words, for instance, rendered as follows: "Peace on earth to men of good will"; and the only men of good will, it seemed, were those who followed the policies of the Cominform group of governments. As Chang read on, the silence seemed to intensify. When he had finished, no one spoke; but I have neither felt nor seen before such profound disgust expressed silently by a body of men.

White's 'preferred reading' in Luke 2:14 is the same as Commandant Ding's, with slight variation (Calvinists might have to compete with CommUNists for favoured-species status). Little more need be said, except that, providentially, bible believers do not have to remain silent about their profound disgust with White's 'preference.'

References

1. www.amazon.co.uk/Edge-Sword-Anthony-Farrar-Hockley/dp/1844156923 *The Edge of the Sword* by General Sir Anthony Farrar-Hockley, pp 259-260
2. en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anthony_Farrar-Hockley

Berry's 1897 Greek-English Interlinear Edition of Stephanus' 1550 Received Text and the Farstad-Hodges Greek-English Interlinear Edition of the 'Majority' Text (it's only an approximation) mostly agree with the AV1611 Text. Their English interlinear readings agree with the AV1611 in Luke 2:14.


Nestle's 21st Edition Greek-English Interlinear reads "**peace among men of good will**" i.e. the same as that of the Communist camp commandant officials Ding and Chang. Nestle's 21st Edition text is very largely that of the 1984, 2011 NIVs which read respectively "**peace to men on whom his favor rests,**" "**on earth peace to those on whom his favor rests,**" the 2011 NIV doing its usual gender-neutral Apache dance to appease the pc contingent. Subject to a bit of paraphrase tweaking, the NIVs readings are clearly that of the Communist camp commandant officials Ding and Chang.

[The reds took "men of good will" to be kingdom-builders of their crowd i.e. 'making the world a better place etc.' The Calvinists on the NIV committee led by 5-pointer Edwin Palmer took "men of good will" to be those upon whom God's good will is bestowed i.e. Calvin's elect, those whom Calvin's God would exclusively favour i.e. Palmer and his crowd. Calvin's elect are of course yet more kingdom builders. AJO'R 22/12/14.]

The reaction of hard men, i.e. professional soldiers who were the modern counterparts of the centurions of old, Matthew 8:8, 27:54, Acts 10:1-2, to the Nestle, NIVs readings for Luke 2:14 should prayerfully be noted. Gail Riplinger notes¹⁷ that linguistic analysis of the literary style of the pre-2011 NIV shows that it was written either by a woman or an effeminate man. The increased gender-neutral trend of the 2011 NIV would no doubt reinforce that conclusion.



The relevance to persecution is that whatever their persuasion be it Marxist, Mohammedan, Hindu etc., today's persecutors are simply those of *Mama's Girls* i.e. of the Catholic Church descended from ancient Babylon **“And upon her forehead was a name written, MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH...And in her was found the blood of prophets, and of saints, and of all that were slain upon the earth”** Revelation 17:5, 18:24 as the following items make clear¹⁸.



Bro. Daniels shows in some detail how Mohammedans venerate Mary, showing that they are definitely among *Mama's Girls*. (Bro. Daniels also shows how December 25th is the son god's birthday,. We know a lot more about Catholic attempts to infiltrate our belief system than the Allah gang think we do and we also know a lot more about the basics of their belief system than even they do. Next time one of those junior jihadists confronts you, ask him what the word “Allah” means. John 4:22 can then be put on him, though he won't like it one little bit. **“Ye worship ye know not what: we know what we worship: for salvation is of the Jews.”**)

Returning to persecution of Christians, it was not anything like it is today during the Philadelphian Church Age and the great missionary movement of the 19th and early 20th centuries. Note that as Dr Ruckman¹⁹ points out, it was the Philadelphian Church, not the Apostolic Church of 'the originals,' that the Lord commended for keeping His word. **“I know thy works: behold, I have set before thee an open door, and no man can shut it: for thou hast a little strength, and hast kept my word, and hast not denied my name”** Revelation 3:8.

The Philadelphian Church of the great missionary movement of the 19th and early 20th centuries had ONE Book as the Standard and the Lord promised His protection when that standard was upheld. Note the missionary emphasis in what follows.

“So shall they fear the name of the LORD from the west, and his glory from the rising of the sun. When the enemy shall come in like a flood, the Spirit of the LORD shall lift up a standard against him” Isaiah 59:19.

That explains the great missionary strides of the 19th and early 20th centuries the like of which has long gone because the standard has been abandoned for the re-hashed Catholic Jesuit-Rheims ver-

sion that the 1984, 2011 NIVs basically are as are virtually all modern departures from the AV1611 Text, including those of the NKJV **“falsely so called”** 1 Timothy 6:20.

It should finally be noted that consistent with the AV1611 reading **“on earth peace, good will toward men”** Luke 2:14 and contrary to the corrupt departures from that reading such as **“on earth peace to men/those on whom his favour rests”** NIVs or **“on earth peace among men of good will”** Ne God’s will is good toward *all* men, as Paul states. **“For this is good and acceptable in the sight of God our Saviour; Who will have all men to be saved, and to come unto the knowledge of the truth”** 1 Timothy 2:3-4.

“God was manifest in the flesh” 1 Timothy 3:16²⁰

1 Timothy 3:16 – A Vindication

1 Timothy 3:16

The AV1611 reading **“God was manifest in the flesh”** is changed in the RV and most modern versions, including the NIV, to “He who was manifested in the flesh” or similar. Burgon²¹ showed that ΘΕΟΣ “Theos” or “God” was invariably written ΘΣ, “THS” in the uncial manuscripts and could easily become ΟΣ, “OS” or “who”, as it appears in Aleph and C or “O,” “which,” in D. These are the only unequivocal uncial witnesses against “THS”.

Writing to Bishop Ellicott, chairman of the RV committee, Burgon²² states that *The sum of the available cursive copies of S. Paul’s Epistles is exactly 254...Permit me to submit to your consideration as a set off against those two copies of S. Paul’s Epistles which read ος, “os” - the following TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY TWO COPIES which read Θεος “Theos.”* Again, Burgon provides further evidence from early citations overwhelmingly in favour of the AV1611 reading.

He warns Bishop Ellicott²³:

It will be for you, afterwards, to come forward and prove that, on the contrary, “Theos” is a ‘plain and clear error:’...You are further reminded, my lord Bishop, that unless you do this, you will be considered by the whole Church to have dealt unfaithfully with the Word of God.

To this day, Burgon’s case has never been answered. Ever **“valiant for the truth”** Jeremiah 9:3, he sought to safeguard the Body of Christ from the peril about which the Earl of Shaftesbury gave solemn warning in 1856.

When you are confused or perplexed by a variety of versions, you would be obliged to go to some learned pundit in whom you reposed confidence, and ask him which version he recommended; and when you had taken his version, you must be bound by his opinion. I hold this to be the greatest danger that now threatens us. It is a danger pressed upon us from Germany, and pressed upon us by the neological spirit of the age. I hold it to be far more dangerous than Tractarianism, or Popery, both of which I abhor from the bottom of my heart. This evil is tenfold more dangerous, tenfold more subtle than either of these, because you would be ten times more incapable of dealing with the gigantic mischief that would stand before you²⁴.

1 Timothy 3:16

“God” has been altered to “He” or “Who” by the RV, Ne, NIV, NKJV f.n., JB, NJB, NWT. The DR has “which.”

The alteration of **“God”** in 1 Timothy 3:16 to “He” or “Who” is a direct attack by the modern textual critics on the Deity of the Lord Jesus Christ. This alteration has been discussed exhaustively by Burgon²⁵, whose researches have been summarised by the TBS and Fuller. The TBS states that all the early Greek editions of the New Testament (Ximenes, Erasmus, Beza, Stephens - see Berry’s Greek text - the Elzevirs) read **“God was manifest”** and this must have been the reading of the manuscripts available to those editors. The wording of their editions is reflected in all the early English translations (Tyndale 1534, Great Bible 1539, Geneva 1557, Bishops’ 1568) except the surviving copies of Wyclif (1380) derived in part from the Vulgate. Moreover, the European versions associ-

ated with true Bible believers (Italian (Diodati), French (Osterwald), Spanish (Valera), German (Luther), Portuguese (Almeida)) all concur with the AV1611.

However, the 19th and 20th century Greek editions of the New Testament, culminating in those of Westcott and Hort and Nestle, all rejected **“God”** in 1 Timothy 3:16 in favour of “who.” These corrupt texts form the basis for most of the modern translations. According to Burgon²⁶, the only ancient witness in support of “who” is Aleph (4th century), while D (6th century) has “which.” C (5th century) and F and G (9th century) are indistinct in this place and their testimony therefore equivocal, while Codex B does not contain 1 Timothy. In addition Burgon cites only one cursive copy of Paul’s Epistles, designated Paul 17, as reading “who” in 1 Timothy 3:16. (Paul 73, a second copy, was thought to be possibly in agreement with Paul 17 but Burgon states it is actually an abridgement of Ecumenius’ citation, see later, which reads **“God.”**) Burgon states that of the ancient versions, only the Gothic (4th century) unequivocally witnesses to “who.” Agreeing with D in exhibiting “which” in 1 Timothy 3:16 are the Old Latin (2nd century), Vulgate (4th century), Peshitta Syriac (2nd century) Coptic and Sahidic (3rd and 4th centuries) and Ethiopic (6th-7th centuries) versions. The Armenian and Arabic versions are indeterminate in this place according to Burgon.

The only fathers in opposition to **“God”** are Gelasius of Cyzicus (476 AD), who cites “which” and an unknown author of uncertain date, who also cites “which.” The TBS²⁷ state that the Latin, Peshitta and other versions may well have been influenced by the erroneous reading in D, of the ‘Western’ family. Later copies of the Peshitta (4th century) may have been influenced by the views of Nestorius, who evidently denied that Christ was both God and man. It is probable therefore that the earliest copies of the Peshitta, now non-extant, in fact read **“God,”** rather than “who”. The most ancient Greek uncial in favour of **“God”** in 1 Timothy 3:16, is Codex A (5th century). Burgon²⁸ cites in detail the witnesses who attest to the horizontal stroke of “Theta” in “Theos” being clearly visible up to the mid-18th century. The TBS pamphlet provides an excellent summary. In support of A are uncials K, L and P, (‘Mosquensis,’ ‘Angelicus’ and ‘Porphyrianus’) all of the 9th century. The extant cursive copies of Paul’s letters number 300 of which 254 (designated Paul 1 to Paul 301) contain 1 Timothy 3:16. Of these, no less than 252 read **“God”** in agreement with the AV1611. (The two exceptions, which have already been discussed, are Paul 17 and Paul 73 of which the latter is a doubtful witness.) Added to this favourable testimony are 29 out of 32 Lectionary copies from the Eastern Church reaching back to earliest times i.e. before Aleph, which support the reading **“God.”** Burgon declares the 3 exceptions to be *Western documents of suspicious character*. Burgon also cites the Georgian (6th century), Harkleian Syriac (616 AD) and the Slavonic (9th century) versions as reading **“God.”** The fathers in support of the AV1611 are as follows according to Burgon.

1st Century: Barnabas, Ignatius (90 AD)

2nd Century: Hippolytus (190 AD)

3rd Century: Apostolic Constitutions, Epistle ascribed to Dionysius of Alexandria (264 AD), Gregory Thaumaturgus

4th Century: Basil the Great (355 AD), Chrysostom (380 AD), Didymus (325 AD), Diodorus (370 AD), Gregory of Nazianzus (355 AD), Gregory of Nyssa (370 AD), ‘Euthalian’ chapter title of 1 Timothy 3, attesting to “God in the flesh.”

5th Century: Anon. citation in works of Athanasius (430 AD), Cyril of Alexandria (410 AD), Euthalius (458 AD), Macedonius II (496 AD), Theodoret (420 AD)

6th Century: Severus, Bishop of Antioch (512 AD)

8th Century: Epiphanius of Catana (787 AD), John Damascene (730 AD), Theodorus Studita (790 AD)

10th Century: Ecumenius (990 AD)

11th Century: Theophylact (1077 AD)

12th Century: Euthymius (1116 AD).

See also Fuller²⁹ summarising Burgon's final findings as 300 Greek manuscripts (uncial, cursive, lectionary), reading "God" in 1 Timothy 3:16, versus 7 which do not, Hills³⁰, Ruckman³¹, Kinney³².

14.2 1 Timothy 3:16

Our critic's next attack is on the verse used by the Westminster Divines in support of the Deity of Christ, 1 Timothy 3:16, which reads "God was manifest in the flesh." See Section 13.1.

Our critic states "*The manuscript evidence is decidedly in favour of "He". "God" has no support at all in the early manuscripts nor the versions. It does not appear in the quotations of any of the Fathers before the late 4th Century. No uncial (in the first hand) supports it before the 8th Century. By contrast 'He' is in the earliest extant codices (except Vaticanus which does not include the Pastorals) the quotations of the Ante Nicene Fathers, and various versions in other languages.*"

Taking our critic's first assertion, none of the manuscript evidence is in favour of "He." ALL the manuscript evidence is in favour of either "God" or "Who" or "Which." I described in Section 6.2 how "THEOS" or "God", which is found in the majority of manuscripts and is written "THS", can easily be changed into "OS", "Who", or "O", "Which".

Pickering³³ summarises Burgon's findings on 1 Timothy 3:16 as follows:

Burgon found that 300 Greek MSS (uncial, minuscule, lectionary) read the word "God" in 1 Timothy 3:16 and only seven did not.

Our critic has ignored all of this evidence. The ONLY early witness which could be in favour of "Who" is Aleph³⁴. The bad character of this manuscript has been discussed in the parent work³⁵.

The TBS³⁶ states that (*Aleph*) was characterised by numerous alterations and omissions.

Dr Hills states further that *The Traditional Text reads "God was manifest in the flesh", with A (according to Scrivener), C (according to the "almost supernaturally accurate" Hoskier)...the Western text (represented by D2 and the Latin versions) reads "which was manifest in the flesh."*

Burgon³⁷ identifies D2 as the VIth-century codex *Claramontanus D*, the ONLY Greek manuscript containing "which." Gail Riplinger³⁸ states *The uncials, Aleph and especially A and C, have been altered here so that EITHER "God" or "who" can be deduced.*

This is hardly evidence "*decidedly in favour of "He".*" Moreover, Gail Riplinger states *Those few copies that have "who" in place of "God" do not have a complete sentence. There is no subject without "God." In addition, a neuter noun "mystery" cannot be followed by the masculine pronoun "who." To avoid having a clause with no subject, the NIV and JW bible arbitrarily drop the word "who" and invent a new word, "He"...By making these additions and subtractions, the new versions, in 1 Timothy 3:16, follow no Greek manuscripts at all, not even the five late uncials.* She states that these five manuscripts are of the 9th, 12th and 13th centuries.

Dr Hills states³⁹ *But if the Greek is "who", how can the English be "He"? This is not translation but the creation of an entirely new reading.*

Concerning the versions, Burgon⁴⁰ shows that the Old Latin does NOT bear witness to "He" but rather to "O," "which" and that *From a copy so depraved, the Latin Version was altered in the second century.* See Hills, above. The TBS⁴¹ states *While the Syriac "Peshitto" version has been justly described as "the oldest and one of the most excellent of the versions"...It was evidently influenced by Greek manuscripts like Codex D and the Latin versions, which have "which was manifested"...It is probable that the earliest Syriac copies had "God was manifested."*

One of the Syriac versions which was remarkable for its literal adherence to the Greek was attributed to Philoxenus Bishop of Hierapolis in Eastern Syria, A.D. 488-518. This version actually includes the name of God in 1 Timothy 3:16 and indicates that Philoxenus found "God" in the Greek or Syriac copies in his hands.

As for the quotations by the fathers, Burgon⁴² found only Gelasius (A.D. 476) and *an unknown author of...uncertain date* citing “which” and NOT ONE citing “who.” By contrast, the fathers citing “**God**” are numerous. They include Gregory of Nyssa (d. A.D. 394⁴³), who *in at least 22 places, knew of no other reading but “Theos”*⁴⁴. Patristic citations before 400 A.D. include the following.

Barnabas and Ignatius	A.D. 90
Hippolytus	A.D. 190
Dionysius of Alexandria	circa A.D. 264
Gregory Thaumaturgus and Apostolic Constitutions	also 3 rd Century
Didymus	circa A.D. 330, <i>clearly witnesses to what was the reading of the first quarter of the IVth century</i>
Gregory of Nazianzus	A.D. 355
Diodorus	A.D. 370

Burgon allows that the testimonies before 300 A.D., apart from Dionysius, are *open to cavil* because *the very early Fathers are ever observed to quote Scripture thus partially*. However, they do NOT bear witness to “he,” “who” or “which”.

Our critic states that “*the earliest uncials...call Christ “God” elsewhere in the New Testament*” but he does not SAY where! In any case, this is beside the point. The point is the WORDING of 1 Timothy 3:16, “**God was manifest in the flesh**” which our critic evaded.

Again, reviewing ALL the evidence, it is significant that 1 Timothy 3:16 certainly meets 6 if not all of Burgon’s tests of truth. It may be that some *respectability of witnesses* is lacking in the aberrant readings of some ancient versions but other *respectable* witnesses are numerous as Kinney⁴⁵ shows.

Our critic’s parting shot on this reading is that “*the idea that questioning the authenticity of one late highly doubtful reading, means denying the truth that Christ is God manifest in the flesh, is quite indefensible. This truth is taught repeatedly in the N.T. especially in Johannine and Pauline theology.*”

In reply, it can be said unequivocally that the reading is NOT “late.” Nor is it “highly doubtful,” although our critic’s “evidence” certainly IS. Once again, the point at issue NOT what the Bible TEACHES but what the BIBLE SAYS.

Unless there is certainty about what the Bible SAYS, there can no certainty about what it TEACHES, although fundamentalists who worship their egos and their education may find this hard to accept. Reference to “theology” is merely more evasion. One wonders what the Westminster Divines would have made of our critic’s evaluation of 1 Timothy 3:16.

“**All the words that I command thee...speak unto them; diminish not a word**” Jeremiah 26:2.

Conclusion

The above examples show that the modern version counterfeits in their cutting up and distortion of AV1611 readings for familiar Biblical passages read out at Christmas time attack major doctrine in favour of Catholic and New Age heresies with respect to:

- *The virgin birth of the Lord Jesus Christ versus the satanic counterfeit like “Cain, who was of that wicked one”* 1 John 3:12, Isaiah 7:14, Matthew 1:23.
- *The Deity of the Lord Jesus Christ*, Isaiah 9:6, 1 Timothy 3:16. The explicit AV1611 reading of “**God was manifest in the flesh**” via the virgin birth of the Lord Jesus Christ, 1 Timothy 3:16, “**the Word...made flesh, and dwelt among us, (and we beheld his glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the Father,) full of grace and truth**” John 1:14, is essential to distinguish the 1st Advent from the numerous manifestations in the Old Testament of the Lord Jesus Christ “**whose**

goings forth have been from of old, from everlasting” Micah 5:2 as **“the angel of the LORD”** Genesis 16:7, 9, 10, 11, 22:11, 15, Exodus 3:2, Numbers 22:22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 31, 32, 34, 35, Judges 2:4, 5:23, 6:12, 21 twice, 13:3, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 2 Samuel 24:16, 1 Kings 19:7, 2 Kings 1:3, 15, 19:35, 1 Chronicles 21:12, 15, 16, 18, 30, Psalm 34:7, 35:5, 6, Isaiah 37:36, Zechariah 1:11, 12, 3:1, 5, 6, 12:8, 50 references in all. See Paul’s statements in Acts 27:23 with respect to **“the angel of God, whose I am, and whom I serve”** and Galatians 4:14 **“And my temptation which was in my flesh ye despised not, nor rejected; but received me as an angel of God, even as Christ Jesus.”** (Besides being totally wrong for the reasons given above, the 1984 NIV’s “He appeared in a body” misses the distinction. While still wrong for the reasons given above, the 2011 NIV with “He appeared in the flesh” has possibly sensed that its predecessor missed the vital distinction between the numerous Old Testament manifestations of **“the angel of the LORD”** and **“God was manifest in the flesh”** 1 Timothy 3:16.)

- *Biblical proof that Mary was not a perpetual virgin, contrary to Catholic heresy, Matthew 1:25.*
- *Biblical proof that the will of **“God our Saviour; Who will have all men to be saved, and to come unto the knowledge of the truth”** 1 Timothy 2:3-4 is good toward all men, not just the Communist or Calvinist ‘elect,’ Luke 2:14.*

In sum, as indicated in the **Introduction**, it is *fundamental evangelical Christians* **“Who changed the truth of God into a lie...”** Romans 1:25 **“...for ye have perverted the words of the living God, of the LORD of hosts our God”** Jeremiah 23:36 in their carelessness towards **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16 **“the scripture of truth”** Daniel 10:21 **“the royal law”** James 2:8 and **“All scripture”** that **“is given by inspiration of God”** 2 Timothy 3:16 and incur the censure of the Lord through Isaiah and Ezekiel, making this nation and indeed the whole world riper for the judgement of God.

“The earth also is defiled under the inhabitants thereof; because they have transgressed the laws, changed the ordinance, broken the everlasting covenant” Isaiah 24:5.

“And I will send a fire on Magog, and among them that dwell carelessly in the isles: and they shall know that I am the LORD” Ezekiel 39:6.

It is therefore *fundamental evangelical Christians fixated with modern version counterfeits and the accompanying sin of ‘originals-onlyism’* who need **“rebuke...sharply, that they may be sound in the faith”** Titus 1:13 with respect to **“one...your Master, even Christ”** Matthew 23:8, 10 according to Paul’s admonition in 1 Timothy 6:1 **“Let as many servants as are under the yoke count their own masters worthy of all honour, that the name of God and his doctrine be not blasphemed.”**

“The Royal Law” James 2:8



“The Royal Law” James 2:8



The Queen Enthroned with “The Royal Law”

British Governance

British governance is embodied in the Coronation Oath⁴⁶. Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II undertook the Oath when she was crowned. David Gardner⁴⁷ explains the significance of the Oath.


When the Sovereign is crowned, he or she is required to place one hand on the open Bible, and is then required to take a solemn oath before Almighty God ‘to uphold to the utmost of my power, the Laws of God within the Realm, and the true profession of the Christian Gospel.’ Parliament, through its peers, pledges itself to support the sovereign in this. This is the British position constitutionally.

It still is, as shown below, regardless of how much it has been violated in practice or by whom.

The Coronation Oath

The monarch-to-be is seated upon the Chair of Estate in Westminster Abbey. The Archbishop of Canterbury gives the Coronation Oath for the monarch’s enthronement. The Oath states in part:

Archbishop: *“Will you to the utmost of your power maintain the Laws of God and the true profession of the Gospel? Will you to the utmost of your power maintain in the United Kingdom the Protestant Reformed Religion established by law? Will you maintain and preserve inviolably the settlement of the Church of England, and the doctrine, worship, discipline, and government thereof as by law established in England? And will you reserve unto the Bishops and Clergy of England, and to the Churches there committed to their charge, all such rights and privileges, as by law do or shall appertain to them of any of them?”*



The Coronation Bible and Title Page

Queen: *“All this I promise to do.”*

The Oath is sealed with the King James Bible⁴⁸, presented to the monarch. The presenter at Queen Elizabeth II’s Coronation was the Moderator of the Church of Scotland, with these words. *“Our gracious Queen: to keep your Majesty ever mindful of the Law and the Gospel of God as the Rule for the whole life and government of Christian Princes, we present you with this Book, the most valuable thing that this world affords. Here is Wisdom [Revelation 13:18]; This is the royal Law [James 2:8]; These are the lively Oracles of God [Acts 7:38, Romans 3:2, Hebrews 5:12, 1 Peter 4:11].”*

The King James Bible used for the Coronation contains the Apocrypha but the Apocrypha is *not* part of “the royal law.” See figure **The Coronation Bible and Title Page**.

“The Royal Law”

James 2:8 states ***“If ye fulfil the royal law according to the scripture, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself, ye do well:”*** ***“The royal law”*** and ***“the scripture”*** are each ***“the whole law”*** James 2:10 and the Coronation Oath is unequivocal that the King James Bible is ***“the royal law”*** for ***“the Rule for the whole life and government of”*** Her Majesty and her subjects. In turn, nothing is above the King James Bible ***“for thou hast magnified thy word above all thy name”*** Psalm 138:2.

“The royal law” states in Numbers 15:16* with respect to Great Britain and the Old Dominions that:

“One law and one manner shall be for you, and for the stranger that sojourneth with you.”

*To Israel first but not rescinded for other nations by Paul, the author of specific Christian doctrine

Numbers 15:16 means that for governance of Britain’s inhabitants by ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611:

- Criticism of ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 is treason against God and the Crown.
- Hostility towards Israel and/or the Jewish people is treason against God and the Crown.
- Catholicism by its hatred of ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 is treason against God and the Crown.
- Britain’s membership of the papal European Union is treason against God and the Crown.
- Entry of foreigners alien to ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 is treason against God and the Crown.
- Mohammedanism and all non-Biblical religions are treason against God and the Crown.
- Secular belief systems e.g. Darwinism, Marxism etc. are treason against God and the Crown.
- ***“Whoremongers...them that defile themselves with mankind...menstealers...liars...perjured persons”*** 1 Timothy 1:10 ***“and all that do unrighteously, are an abomination unto the LORD thy God”*** Deuteronomy 25:16 and traitors to ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611, God and the Crown.

The Coronation Oath has been repeatedly violated since the Coronation and it still is. However, as Rev Gardner states, the Oath is ***“a solemn oath before Almighty God”*** so God the Offended Party must punish the violators.

God the Offended Party

Men in scripture are likened to trees. ***“And he looked up, and said, I see men as trees, walking”*** Mark 8:24.

God promises a judgement by fire in the End Times. ***“And I will send a fire on Magog, and among them that dwell carelessly in the isles: and they shall know that I am the LORD”*** Ezekiel 39:6.



The Fire of Jeremiah

“The isles” and ***“trees, walking”*** are easily identified.

Jeremiah 21:14 is therefore a grim warning for Britain.

“...I will punish you according to the fruit of your doings, saith the LORD: and I will kindle a fire in the forest thereof, and it shall devour all things round about...”


Proverbs 13:13 is a further warning, though with ***“mercy...against judgment”*** James 2:13: ***“Whoso despiseth the word shall be destroyed: but he that feareth the commandment shall be rewarded.”***

Britain *must* therefore regain her only firebreak ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 to receive mercy when God’s End Times judgement by fire finally descends ***“that the whole nation perish not”*** John 11:50.

AV1611 Authority - Absolute

“The book of the purchase” Jeremiah 32:12

“The book of the purchase” Jeremiah 32:12



AV1611 authority is absolute and cannot be detracted from. All detractions, whether from modern versions or ‘the Greek’ etc., are by subversives ***“which corrupt the word of God”*** 2 Corinthians 2:17 because the AV1611 is ***“the book of the purchase”*** Jeremiah 32:12 and God oversaw the purchase:

- It was initiated by ***“The word of the Lord.”*** ***“And Jeremiah said, The word of the LORD came unto me saying, Behold, Hanameel the son of Shallum thine uncle shall come unto thee, saying, Buy thee my field that is in Anathoth”*** Jeremiah 32:6.
- It was confirmed by ***“the right of redemption...thine to buy it...according to the word of the LORD.”*** ***“for the right of redemption is thine to buy it. So Hanameel mine uncle’s son came to me...according to the word of the LORD, and said unto me, Buy my field,...that is in Anathoth...for the right of inheritance is thine, and the redemption is thine...Then I knew that this was the word of the LORD”*** Jeremiah 32:7-8.

King James Bible, Oxford Brevier Edition

- It was enacted by the purchaser. ***“And I bought the field of Hanameel...and weighed him the money, even seventeen shekels of silver”*** Jeremiah 32:9.
- It was formalised by ***“the evidence of the purchase.”*** ***“And I subscribed the evidence, and sealed it...So I took the evidence of the purchase, both that which was sealed according to the law and custom, and that which was open...And I gave the evidence of the purchase unto Baruch the son of Neriah, the son of Maaseiah, in the sight of Hanameel mine uncle’s son”*** Jeremiah 32:10-12.
- It was underwritten by ***“the book of the purchase...in the presence of the witnesses that subscribed the book of the purchase, before all the Jews that sat in the court of the prison”*** Jeremiah 32:12. God covenanted the purchase and ***“wrote it in a book”*** 1 Samuel 10:25. The significance for the AV1611’s absolute authority is this:

Covenanted Purchase

Even if for evil, a purchase in scripture is a covenant. ***“And they were glad, and covenanted to give him money”*** Luke 22:5 and in scripture, not even a manmade covenant may be objected to after it has been confirmed. ***“Brethren, I speak after the manner of men; Though it be but a man’s covenant, yet if it be confirmed, no man disannulleth, or addeth thereto”*** Galatians 3:15. That is, even ***“a man’s covenant”*** may not be detracted from once confirmed. Jeremiah’s covenanted purchase was delineated in five specific steps. It was initiated, confirmed, enacted, formalised and underwritten by ***“the book of the purchase.”*** That Book cannot be detracted from. *Neither can the AV1611.*

“The book of the purchase” and of ***“the purchased possession”***

The AV1611 is both ***“the book of the purchase”*** Jeremiah 32:12 and of ***“the purchased possession”*** as Paul explains with respect to the Lord Jesus Christ ***“In whom ye also trusted, after that ye heard the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation: in whom also after that ye believed, ye were sealed with that holy Spirit of promise, Which is”***

the earnest of our inheritance until the redemption of the purchased possession, unto the praise of his glory” Ephesians 1:13-14. Compare with Jeremiah 32:6-12:

- **“the word of truth”** Ephesians 1:13 matches **“The word of the Lord”** Jeremiah 32:6.
- **“sealed with that holy Spirit of promise”** Ephesians 1:13 matches **“subscribed the evidence, and sealed it”** Jeremiah 32:10.
- **“the earnest of our inheritance”** Ephesians 1:14 matches **“the right of inheritance”** Jeremiah 32:8 and **“the evidence of the purchase”** Jeremiah 32:11.
- **“the redemption of the purchased possession”** Ephesians 1:14 matches **“the right of redemption”** Jeremiah 32:7 and **“the book of the purchase”** Jeremiah 32:12 **“For whatsoever things were written aforetime were written for our learning, that we through patience and comfort of the scriptures might have hope”** Romans 15:4.

The AV1611 is both **“the book of the purchase”** and the Book of **“the purchased possession”** because it is **“the word of a king”** Ecclesiastes 8:4 *in that it is the only Bible since 1611 translated under a king and Jeremiah’s purchase was initiated by the King* **“For God is the King of all the earth”** Psalm 47:7. Note too that Ephesians is written in a Book. Note also with respect to **“the purchased possession”** that:

- **“ye are not your own...ye are bought with a price”** 1 Corinthians 6:19-20.
- God covenanted the purchase **“through the blood of the everlasting covenant”** Hebrews 13:20 which is **“my blood of the new testament”** Matthew 26:28.
- God **“wrote it in a book”** 1 Samuel 10:25, which in addition to being **“the book of the purchase”** and the Book of **“the purchased possession”** is also **“the book of the covenant”** Exodus 24:7, 2 Kings 23:2, 2 Chronicles 34:30.
- This Book consists of **“the old testament”** 2 Corinthians 3:14 and **“the new testament”** 2 Corinthians 3:6 and is **“the book of the law of the LORD”** 2 Chronicles 17:9, 34:14, Nehemiah 9:3 matching **“the law and custom”** Jeremiah 32:11.
- This Book is **“the royal law according to the scripture”** James 2:8⁴⁹, matching Jeremiah 32:11. Only *one* Book satisfies *all* the above conditions. *No modern version has any legitimate claim to being called royal, as Wilkinson⁵⁰ shows. Twice [the 1881 revisers] had appealed to the Government in hopes that, as in the case of the King James in 1611, the King would appoint a royal commission. They were refused.*

Detractors without Authority, “wells without water” 2 Peter 2:17

With the AV1611 as **“the book of the purchase”** and **“of the purchased possession”** its detractors are as **“wells without water”** 2 Peter 2:17. They have no *Biblical* authority to:

- Call any modern version **“the word of God”** 1 Samuel 9:27.
- Circulate any modern version as **“the word of God”** as, for example, the Gideons do.
- Convene any translating committee to set up a rival to the AV1611 *King James* Text, especially insofar as **“Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?”** Ecclesiastes 8:4. See Wilkinson’s comment above.
- Exalt anything **“in the Greek”** or **“in the Hebrew”** Revelation 9:11 over the AV1611 **“the book of the purchase”** and **“of the purchased possession.”**


AV1611 Absolute Authority

As **“the book of the purchase”** and **“of the purchased possession”** the AV1611 has absolute authority as **“the word of a king.”** Detractors should therefore note Proverbs 16:14. **“The wrath of a king is as messengers of death: but a wise man will pacify it.”**

“The book of the LORD” Isaiah 34:16⁵¹

Introduction

“**The book of the LORD**” is the 1611 Holy Bible. There is no other. **“Seek ye out of the book of the LORD, and read: no one of these shall fail, none shall want her mate: for my mouth it hath commanded, and his spirit it hath gathered them”** Isaiah 34:16.



Practical Considerations

- The Lord has *one* Book, **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16, the *one* mention of that phrase in scripture.
- The Lord’s *one* Book, **“the book of the LORD”** therefore matches the *oneness* of **“one body, and one Spirit,...one hope of your calling; One Lord, one faith, one baptism, One God and Father of all”** Ephesians 4:4-6.
- The Lord’s *one* Book, **“the book of the LORD”** is for **“every man...in his own language”** Acts 2:6 insofar as **“Peter...with the eleven”** Acts 2:14 **“were all filled with the Holy Ghost, and began to speak with other tongues, as the Spirit gave them utterance”** Acts 2:4 such that the listeners said **“hear we every man in our own tongue, wherein we were born...we do hear them speak in our tongues the wonderful works of God”** Acts 2:8, 11.
- The Lord’s *one* Book, **“the book of the LORD”** therefore exists in many languages, *but the standard for “the book of the LORD” is the 1611 Holy Bible in English.* See *A Brief Analysis of Missionary Authority* by Jonathan Richmond⁵², Bible Baptist Mission Board director.

*The espousal of a particular translation being equal to or superior to the **King James** leaves one in a precarious position in relation to Bible believers versus the Alexandrian Cult.*

*Bible believers believe that the **King James (Authorized Version)** is the perfect, inerrant words of God and is the final authority. It is the standard to which all versions and translations are compared. And since the AV is the standard, it is superior to anything and everything that is compared to it. Stated another way, nothing compared to the standard is equal to or superior to the standard. English is the standard for time, place, distance, size, quantity, volume, language, etc. When the English standard showed up, both the German and Spanish Bibles [i.e. any non-English Bible] should have been corrected and/or updated with the English.*

The Greek Textus Receptus (any edition) is not superior to English. It was an interim, early New Testament, a stepping stone to the purification of the words of God in English. The world does not speak Greek and never will again...

Jonathan Richmond concludes with a rebuke to ‘originals-onlyists’ and ‘Greekiolators’:

*So then **your** brain determines which is correct; **your** brain is the final authority; **you** have made **yourself** equal to God.*

As Gail Ripplinger⁵³ has rightly said, this writer’s emphases:

*The desire to appear intelligent or superior by referring to ‘the Greek’ and downplaying the common man’s Bible, exposes a naivety concerning textual history and those documents which today’s pseudo-intellectuals call ‘the critical text,’ ‘the original Greek,’ the ‘Majority Text,’ or the ‘Textus Receptus.’ **There existed a true original Greek (i.e. Majority Text, Textus Receptus). It is not in print and never will be, because it is unnecessary. No one on the planet speaks first century Koine Greek, so God is finished with it. He needs no ‘Dead Bible Society’ to translate it into “everyday English,” using the same corrupt secularised lexicons used by the TNIV, NIV, NASB and HCSB***

[Holman Christian Standard Bible]. *God has not called readers to check his Holy Bible for errors. He has called his Holy Bible to check us for errors.*

- The Lord's one Book, "**the book of the LORD**" is:
 - "**the book of the covenant**" Exodus 24:7, 2 Kings 23:2, 21, 2 Chronicles 34:30, "**the everlasting covenant**" Hebrews 13:20 between God and believers
 - "**thy book**" Exodus 32:32, one witness to "**the book of the LORD**"
 - "**my book**" Exodus 32:33, two witnesses, 2 Corinthians 13:1, to "**the book of the LORD**"
 - "**the book of the law of God**" Joshua 24:26, Nehemiah 8:18 i.e. "**the book of the law of the LORD**" 2 Chronicles 17:9, 34:14, Nehemiah 9:3 or simply "**the book of the law**" Joshua 8:31, 34, 2 Kings 22:8, 11, 2 Chronicles 34:15, Nehemiah 8:3, Galatians 3:10. That Book is now "**the law of Christ**" Galatians 6:2.
 - "**the book of the living**" Psalm 69:28 i.e. "**the book of life**" Philippians 4:3, Revelation 3:5, 17:8, 20:12, 15, 22:19, "**the book of life of the Lamb**" Revelation 13:8, "**the Lamb's book of life**" Revelation 21:27
 - "**the book of the LORD**" Isaiah 34:16
 - "**the book of the purchase**" Jeremiah 32:12 for "**the purchased possession**" Ephesians 1:14, "**us accepted in the beloved**" Ephesians 1:6⁵⁴.


Principles of Understanding

- The Lord does *not* recognise "**many books**" Ecclesiastes 12:12 i.e. multiple *differing* translations in any one language. That is "**confused noise**" Isaiah 9:5 and "**God is not the author of confusion**" 1 Corinthians 14:33.
- The Lord has *commanded* "**Seek ye out of the book of the LORD, and read.**" That is, "**the book of the LORD**" not "**many books**" must be *sought after* and *read*.
- The command "**Seek ye out of the book of the LORD, and read**" can only be fulfilled if "**the book of the LORD**" is in "**words easy to be understood**" 1 Corinthians 14:9.
- An 'originals-onlyist' does not and never can have *one* Book to seek after and read. 'Originals-onlyism' is among the "**damnable heresies**" 2 Peter 2:1.

Permanence of "the book of the LORD"

- "**no one of these shall fail**" because "**the word of the Lord endureth for ever**" 1 Peter 1:25 and is "**The words of the LORD**" Psalm 12:6. "**Thy words were found, and I did eat them; and thy word was unto me the joy and rejoicing of mine heart: for I am called by thy name, O LORD God of hosts**" Jeremiah 15:16.
- "**none shall want her mate**" because those words are "**the words...which the Holy Ghost teacheth; comparing spiritual things with spiritual**" 1 Corinthians 2:13 i.e. cross-referencing of "**the words...which the Holy Ghost teacheth**" so that the student "**might understand the scriptures**" Luke 24:45.
- "**my mouth it hath commanded**" because it is "**the word which he commanded to a thousand generations**" 1 Chronicles 16:15, Psalm 105:8 and "**the word of the Lord**" 1 Peter 1:25 is "**The words of the LORD**" Psalm 12:6 with Jeremiah 15:16 "**Thy words...thy word.**"
- "**and his spirit it hath gathered them**" because "**the words that I speak unto you, they are spirit, and they are life**" John 6:63 and "**the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost...he shall teach you all things, and bring all things to your remembrance, whatsoever I have said unto you**" John 14:26.

Therefore "**receive with meekness the engrafted word**" James 1:21 "**the book of the LORD**" as "**obedient children**" 1 Peter 1:14 without any "**Not so, Lord**" Acts 10:14⁵⁵.



Post Script – Countering Year-Round AV1611 Criticisms

The Agape-Phileo-Love Triangle

See Dr Gipp's study⁵⁶.

Italics in the AV1611⁵⁷

To illustrate:

Romans 12:9-10 "**Let** love be without dissimulation. Abhor that which is evil; cleave to that which is good. **Be** kindly affectioned one to another with brotherly love; in honour preferring one another;"

Let has been inserted for grammatical sense. It is authoritative in that it ensures that love between believers is not marred by ungodly preferences, Galatians 2:11-13.

Be has been inserted for grammatical sense. It too is authoritative and ensures that love between believers is present and continuous, Hebrews 13:1.

The AV1611 italics are scripture – those in 2 Timothy 3:16 are vital for the sense of the passage. No-one has the authority to take liberties with them. "**God is no respecter of persons**" Acts 10:34.

King James Only versus 'Originals-only' - Reminder

You are or will be one or the other. "**No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon**" Matthew 6:24. See the following illustration.

Matthew 12:40⁵⁸

"**whale**" AV1611, RV, Ne

"huge (great) fish" NIV, NWT, NKJV, "sea monster" JB, NJB

"Ketos" is "**whale**," from which cetology, the study of whales, is derived. The whale is a type of Satan, Ezekiel 32:2 and as such is the only animal NOT named by Adam. See comments on **Genesis 1:21**. Whoever is behind the modern translations seeks to obscure this fact.

References

Web reference and author are given the first time a particular work is cited. Only the work itself is cited in subsequent references.

Note that page references to *Early Manuscripts, Church Fathers and the Authorized Version with Manuscript Digests and Summaries* by Dr J. A. Moorman actually refer to the earlier edition of his work entitled *Early Manuscripts, Church Fathers and the Authorized Version A Closer Look! with Manuscript Digests and Summaries*.

- ¹ www.avpublications.com/avnew/home.html
- ² brandplucked.webs.com/kjbarticles.htm *Matthew 1:23 and Isaiah 7:14 “a virgin”, “the virgin”*
- ³ www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/version-comparison.php *God’s Judgment, Grace and Mercy* p 56 on Isaiah 9:6
- ⁴ www.amazon.co.uk/Manuscripts-Fathers-Authorized-Manuscript-Summaries/dp/1568480482 *Early Manuscripts, Church Fathers and the Authorized Version with Manuscript Digests and Summaries* by Dr J. A. Moorman, pp 61, 86, 135
- ⁵ www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ ‘*O Biblios*’ – *The Book* p 41
- ⁶ www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/36722?msg=welcome_stranger#toc9 *The Revision Revised* by Dean John William Burgon, Centennial Edition, 1883-1983, A. G. Hobbs Publications, P.O. Box 14218, Fort Worth TX76117, 1983, pp 9, 123 printed edition. All page numbers given subsequently for *The Revision Revised* refer to this edition
www.amazon.co.uk/Revision-Revised-Refutation-Westcott-Theory/dp/1888328010 *The Revision Revised* by Dean John William Burgon
- ⁷ store.kjv1611.org/ *The New ASV - Satan’s Masterpiece* by Dr Peter S. Ruckman, p 12
- ⁸ store.kjv1611.org/ *The Christian’s Handbook of Manuscript Evidence* by Dr Peter S. Ruckman, pp 80-81
- ⁹ brandplucked.webs.com/kjbarticles.htm *Matthew 1:25 “her FIRSTBORN Son” – Luke 1:28 “Blessed art thou among women” – Is your bible a Catholic Bible?*
- ¹⁰ ‘*O Biblios*’ – *The Book* p 49
- ¹¹ *The Revision Revised* pp 42-43, 422-423
- ¹² www.amazon.co.uk/True-False-David-Otis-Fuller/dp/0825426146 *True or False?* 2nd Edition by Dr David Otis Fuller, p 96
- ¹³ Articles and Reprints from *The Quarterly Record* The Trinitarian Bible Society, London, *Good Will Toward Men*
- ¹⁴ www.amazon.co.uk/Interlinear-Greek-English-New-Testament/dp/B000XE6EQC *The Interlinear Greek-English New Testament, Stephens’ 1550 Edition* edited by George Ricker Berry
- ¹⁵ brandplucked.webs.com/kjbarticles.htm *Luke 2:14 “Good will toward men” or Vatican Version “men of good will”?*
- ¹⁶ www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php *The 1611 Holy Bible Cleanses Fundamental Evangelical Modern Version Falsehood* pp 12-14
- ¹⁷ www.avpublications.com/avnew/home.html *The Language of the King James Bible* by Gail Riplinger, p 115
- ¹⁸ www.chick.com/ *Mama’s Girls*
www.chick.com/ *Babylon Religion* by David W. Daniels, pp 67-68, 120, 174-177, 191-194, 206-207, 212
- ¹⁹ *Ruckman Reference Bible* p 1648
- ²⁰ www.timefortruth.co.uk/alan-oreilly/ *1 Timothy 3 – Summary Thoughts* pp 15-19
‘*O Biblios*’ – *The Book* pp 33-34, 60-61, 252-253
- ²¹ *The Revision Revised* pp 425-443
- ²² *The Revision Revised* p 492
- ²³ *The Revision Revised* p 430
- ²⁴ www.amazon.co.uk/Which-Bible-David-Otis-Fuller/dp/0944355242 *Which Bible?* 5th Edition by Dr David Otis Fuller, pp 274-275
- ²⁵ *The Revision Revised* pp 101-105, 424-504
www.tbsbibles.org/page/articles *God was Manifest in the Flesh: 1 Timothy 3:16*
True or False? 2nd Edition pp 24-41
- ²⁶ *The Revision Revised* pp 443, 99 twice, 483, 454 in order of citation
- ²⁷ *God was Manifest in the Flesh: 1 Timothy 3:16* p 8
- ²⁸ *The Revision Revised* pp 432-436, 478, 450, 454, 489-490, 486-490 in order of citation
- ²⁹ *Which Bible?* 5th Edition pp 110-111
True or False? 2nd Edition pp 98, 260
- ³⁰ standardbearers.net/uploads/The_King_James_Version_Defended_Dr_Edward_F_Hills.pdf *The King James Version Defended* 3rd Edition by Dr Edward F. Hills, Chapter 5 pp 137-138 printed edition

- www.amazon.co.uk/King-James-Version-Defended/dp/0915923009 *The King James Version Defended* 3rd Edition by Dr Edward F. Hills, Chapter 5 pp 137-138
- ³¹ store.kjv1611.org/ *Problem Texts* p 330 now *The "Errors" in the King James Bible* by Dr Peter S. Ruckman, pp 332-334
- The New ASV - Satan's Masterpiece* pp 46-48
- ³² brandplucked.webs.com/kjbarticles.htm *1 Timothy 3:16 "GOD was manifest in the flesh" or the Vatican Versions "He"?*
- ³³ *True or False?* 2nd Edition p 260
- ³⁴ *The King James Version Defended* 3rd Edition Chapter 5 p 137 printed edition
- ³⁵ *'O Biblios' – The Book* Chapter 1 Section 1.6, Chapter 9
- ³⁶ *God was Manifest in the Flesh: 1 Timothy 3:16* p 10
- ³⁷ *The Revision Revised* p 479
- ³⁸ *New Age Bible Versions* pp 352, 353 2019 Edition pp 391, 392
- ³⁹ *The King James Version Defended* 3rd Edition Chapter 5 p 138 printed edition
- ⁴⁰ *The Revision Revised* pp 426, 448
- ⁴¹ *God was Manifest in the Flesh: 1 Timothy 3:16* p 8 printed pamphlet
- ⁴² *The Revision Revised* p 479
- ⁴³ *God was Manifest in the Flesh: 1 Timothy 3:16* p 16
- ⁴⁴ *The Revision Revised* pp 45, 486ff
- ⁴⁵ brandplucked.webs.com/kjbarticles.htm *1 Timothy 3:16 "GOD was manifest in the flesh" or the Vatican Versions "He"?*
- ⁴⁶ en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coronation_of_the_British_monarch, en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coronation_of_Queen_Elizabeth_II
- ⁴⁷ www.amazon.co.uk/Trumpet-Sounds-Britain-Volumes-One/dp/1903725208 *The Trumpet Sounds for Britain*, Volume 1 by David E Gardner, Chapter 3
- ⁴⁸ petersengland.blogspot.co.uk/2012/02/coronation-of-queen-elizabeth-ii.html *This England*
- ⁴⁹ www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *Royal Law – James 2:8*
- ⁵⁰ kjv.benabraham.com/html/our_authorized_bible_vindicated.html *Our Authorized Bible Vindicated* by Benjamin Wilkinson, Chapter 10
- ⁵¹ um-insight.net/blogs/jim-burklo/how-to-read-the-bible/ *How to Read the Bible* by Jim Burklo
- ⁵² store.kjv1611.org/ *Bible Believers' Bulletin* August 2013, p 6 *A Brief Analysis of Missionary Authority* by Jonathan Richmond, Bible Baptist Mission Board director
- ⁵³ www.avpublications.com/avnew/home.html *In Awe of Thy Word* by Gail Riplinger, p 956
- ⁵⁴ www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/version-comparison.php *AV1611 Authority - Absolute*
- ⁵⁵ www.slideshare.net/chreezmd/is-the-godhead-a-mystery
- ⁵⁶ www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/the-answer-book-by-sam-gipp.php *The Answer Book* by Dr Sam Gipp, Q47 *What About the Nuggets Found Only in the Greek?*
- ⁵⁷ *The Answer Book* Q11 *What About the Italicized Words?*
- ⁵⁸ *'O Biblios' - The Book'* p 44